WashU Fall 2019 Instructor Report for FL2019.L32.Pol Sci.4373.01 - Immigration Identity and the Internet (Dominique Lockett)

Project Title: **WashU Fall 2019 Course Evaluations**

Courses Audience: **21**
Responses Received: **7**
Response Ratio: **33.33%**

Report Comments

Welcome to your Instructor Report for WashU Course Evaluations. Below you will find response data from the specified course section. Responses to personalized questions appear at the bottom of the report.

The intention of this report is to provide feedback, and also to prompt improvement in areas that may be lacking. This report is accessible to appropriate department level and school level users, as determined by your school. We appreciate your dedication to our learning community at Washington University.

If you have questions about this report, please contact evals@wustl.edu

Creation Date: **Thursday, March 5, 2020**

Technology powered by BLUE

Course and Instructor Evaluation

Past research shows that the students' answers to any one question can be noisy, more prone to biases, and provide less useful data for evaluating courses and instructors. Since interpreting individual questions, including their relative highs and lows, can easily lead to inaccurate conclusions due to low reliability, individual question responses are not available in any standard report.

However, combining students' responses to several questions aimed at measuring the same underlying attribute can improve the quality of the measures. Therefore, the statistics displayed for each attribute (mean, median, mode, and standard deviation) are calculated from the grouped responses to all the questions in each topical block.

All questions below use a 5-point response scale: 1-strongly disagree to 5-strongly agree

Learning

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	4.75
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	0.44

1. I have found the course intellectually challenging and stimulating



2. I have learned something which I consider valuable



3. My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course



4. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course



Organization

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	4.61
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	0.69

1. Instructor's explanations were clear



2. Course materials were well prepared and carefully explained



3. Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught so I knew where the course was going



4. Instructor gave lectures that facilitated taking notes



Comparison Detail for Course and Instructor Evaluation

Learning



Organization



Enthusiasm (Dominique Lockett)



Individual rapport (Dominique Lockett)



Varied Rating Scale Responses

The varied rating scale responses are statistically reliable as individual questions.

Course difficulty relative to other courses was

Course difficulty relative to other courses was



Statistics	Value
Mean	3.43
Median	3.00
Mode	3
Standard Deviation	0.53

Course workload relative to other courses was

Course workload relative to other courses was



Statistics	Value
Mean	3.29
Median	3.00
Mode	3
Standard Deviation	0.49

Course pace was

Course pace was



Statistics	Value
Mean	3.00
Median	3.00
Mode	3
Standard Deviation	0.00

Hours per week required outside of class

Hours per week required outside of class



Statistics	Value
Mean	2.86
Median	3.00
Mode	3
Standard Deviation	0.38

Comparison Detail for Varied Rating Scale Responses

1. Course difficulty relative to other courses was



2. Course workload relative to other courses was



3. Course pace was



4. Hours per week required outside of class



Short Answer Responses

What would you like to tell other Wash U students thinking about taking this course?

Comments
This is an enjoyable writing intensive that builds the writing components in a logical way and has very interesting and discussion–facilitating subject matter
This course was super interesting. I learned so much. It's a difficult class and a lot of work outside of class, but I enjoyed it.
The class was very open and included class discussions during every meeting, in which people would open up about their experiences relating to the topics we covered, making the class enjoyable and go by very quickly.
Fascinating material and great professor
Interesting, really cool final assignment

Describe at least one thing about this course that helped you learn.

Comments
The way each writing assignment build upon the last
Research paper assignment
The class discussions
Having to submit reading journals and reflections
The final paper – I really liked being able to apply my knowledge that I learned throughout the semester to craft my own immigrant story

Describe at least one thing that could be changed about this course to help you learn.

Comments
Nothing comes to mind
Adding a student presentation
The assignments could be a bit more clear.
More info on actual immigration policies
More realistic expectations of readings – i.e., if we are told to read something for a particular class it would be nice to actually discuss it on that day instead of waiting a week

AI Evaluation for Dominique Lockett

Instruction

Question	Score			
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
Material was presented clearly	7	4.43	0.53	4.00
Questions were answered clearly and concisely	7	4.14	1.07	4.00
Material was presented at an appropriate pace	7	4.29	0.49	4.00
The instructor was well prepared for section	7	3.86	1.35	4.00
The instructor used time well	7	4.00	1.00	4.00
The instructor effectively led the section	7	3.86	1.07	4.00
Topics were effectively related to the course lectures	7	4.29	0.76	4.00
Communicated at a level appropriate for the class	7	4.43	0.53	4.00

1. Material was presented clearly



2. Questions were answered clearly and concisely



3. Material was presented at an appropriate pace



4. The instructor was well prepared for section



5. The instructor used time well



6. The instructor effectively led the section



7. Topics were effectively related to the course lectures



8. Communicated at a level appropriate for the class



1. Material was presented clearly



2. Questions were answered clearly and concisely



3. Material was presented at an appropriate pace



4. The instructor was well prepared for section



5. The instructor used time well



6. The instructor effectively led the section



7. Topics were effectively related to the course lectures



8. Communicated at a level appropriate for the class



Interaction with Students

Question	Score			
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
Expectations were clearly explained	7	4.00	1.00	4.00
Grading procedures were fair	7	3.86	1.07	4.00
Instructor was concerned for students	7	4.00	1.00	4.00
Instructor was available for consultation outside of section	7	4.43	0.53	4.00
Instructor maintained positive environment in section	7	4.29	0.49	4.00

1. Expectations were clearly explained



2. Grading procedures were fair



3. Instructor was concerned for students



4. Instructor was available for consultation outside of section



5. Instructor maintained positive environment in section



1. Expectations were clearly explained



2. Grading procedures were fair



3. Instructor was concerned for students



4. Instructor was available for consultation outside of section



5. Instructor maintained positive environment in section



Please provide any additional feedback on Dominique Lockett that was not covered by the previous questions.

Comments
Dom is awesome!