1	DONALD A. GREEN (SBN 225171)		
2	LAW OFFICES OF DONALD A. GREEN, I 440 N. BARRANCA AVE. # 1794	PC	
3	COVINA, CA 91723 TEL: (760) 431-5290 ♦ FACSIMILE (760) 2	68-9889	
4	Attorneys for Defendants, DANIEL KUNZ, dba D.K. PROJECT MANAGEMENT, and TA	NIVA VIINIZ	
5	dua D.K. PROJECT MANAGEMENT, and TA	INTARONZ	
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN D	DIEGO, NORTH COUNTY DIVISION	
10	LILIA GARCIA-BROWER, California State	Case No.: 37-2023-00022018-CU-WT-NC	
11	Labor Commissioner, Division of Labor Standards Enforcement, Department of	DEFENDANTS' VERIFIED AMENDED	
12	Industrial Relations, State of California, on behalf of the People of the State of California,	ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
13	Plaintiff,	[CAL.CIV.PROC § 446(a)]	
14	v.		
15		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
16	DANIEL KUNZ, an individual, dba D.K. PROJECT MANAGEMENT; TANYA KUNZ,		
17	an individual, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20		IIEL KUNZ, dba PROJECT MANAGEMENT, and	
21	TANYA KUNZ (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), for themselves alone answer the Complaint		
22	on file herein with a verified answer pursuant C	Cal.Civ.Proc §446(a) as follows:	
23	1. Defendants admit the allegations	s in paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's complaint.	
24	2. Defendants admit the allegations	s in paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's complaint.	
25	3. Defendants admit the allegations	s in paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's complaint in that they are	
26	married and had business operations in the City	y of Vista, in the County of San Diego, in the State of	
27	California. All other allegations in paragraph 3	of the complaint are denied.	
28			

- 4. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 4 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 4 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).
- 5. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 5 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 5 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).
- 6. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 6 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 6 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).
- 7. Defendants admit or deny as previously provided in paragraphs 1 through 6 of this verified answer.
 - 8. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 8 of plaintiff's complaint.
- 9. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's complaint in that Defendants requested Complainant sign a W-9 and to receive a check, versus cash, going forward. All other allegations in paragraph 9 of the complaint are denied.
 - 10. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 10 of plaintiff's complaint.
- 11. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 11 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 11 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).
- 12. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's complaint in that Defendants made a check payable as the complainant instructed. All other allegations in paragraph 12 of the complaint are denied.
 - 13. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 13 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 14. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 14 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 15. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 15 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 16. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 16 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 17. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- 18. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's complaint in that Defendants attended a wage conference at the Labor Commissioner's Office on February 8, 2017. All other allegations in paragraph 18 of the complaint are denied on the basis that defendants lack sufficient information or belief to admit or deny.

- 19. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 19 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 19 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).
 - 20. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 20 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 21. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 21 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 22. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 22 of plaintiff's complaint.
- 23. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 23 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 23 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).
- 24. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 24 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 24 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).
 - 25. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 25 of plaintiff's complaint.
- 26. Defendants admit they were served with the DLSE letter attached as *Exhibit A* to the complaint as alleged in paragraph 26 of the complaint, but denies all other allegations contained in paragraph 26.
- 27. Defendants admit or deny as previously provided in paragraphs 1 through 26 of this verified answer.
 - 28. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 28 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 29. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 29 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 30. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 30 of plaintiff's complaint.
- 31. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 31 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 31 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).
- 32. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 32 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 32 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).
 - 33. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 33 of plaintiff's complaint.

- 34. Defendants admit they were served with the DLSE letter attached as *Exhibit A* to the complaint as alleged in paragraph 34 of the complaint, but denies all other allegations contained in paragraph 34.
- 35. Defendants admit or deny as previously provided in paragraphs 1 through 34 of this verified answer.
 - 36. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 36 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 37. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 37 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 38. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 38 of plaintiff's complaint.
- 39. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 39 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 39 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).
- 40. Defendants admit they were served with the DLSE letter attached as *Exhibit A* to the complaint as alleged in paragraph 40 of the complaint, but denies all other allegations contained in paragraph 40.
 - 41. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 41 of plaintiff's complaint.
- 42. Defendants admit they were served with the DLSE letter attached as *Exhibit A* to the complaint as alleged in paragraph 42 of the complaint, but denies all other allegations contained in paragraph 42.
- 43. Defendants admit or deny as previously provided in paragraphs 1 through 42 of this verified answer.
 - 44. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 44 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 45. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 45 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 46. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 46 of plaintiff's complaint.
 - 47. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 47 of plaintiff's complaint.
- 48. Defendants have no information or belief as to plaintiff's allegations in paragraph 48 of the verified complaint and deny all allegations in paragraph 48 pursuant to Cal.Civ.Proc. § 431.30(e).

- 49. Defendants admit they were served with the DLSE letter attached as *Exhibit A* to the complaint as alleged in paragraph 49 of the complaint, but denies all other allegations contained in paragraph 49.
 - 50. Defendants deny all allegations in paragraph 50 of plaintiff's complaint.
- 51. Defendants admit they were served with the DLSE letter attached as *Exhibit A* to the complaint as alleged in paragraph 51 of the complaint, but denies all other allegations contained in paragraph 51.

Defendant believes that after a reasonable opportunity for discovery and further investigation, it is likely that there will be evidentiary support for the following affirmative defenses:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State Facts Sufficient to Constitute a Cause of Action)

52. As and for a first separate and affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that the Complaint and each and every cause of action contained therein fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Contributory and Comparative Negligence - Plaintiff)

53. As and for a second separate and affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that at the time and place of the incident referred to in Plaintiff's Complaint, Plaintiff was negligent, careless and unlawfully conducted herself so as to cause Plaintiffs alleged injuries and damages; which negligence bars, either in whole or in part, the damages sought herein.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Contributory and Comparative Negligence – 3rd Parties)

54. As and for a third separate and affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that if said incident and/or injuries alleged were caused by negligence, defendant requests that

the negligence of all persons or parties and the degree to which such negligence contributed to the happening of the incident and/or the nature and extent of the injuries actually sustained, if any, be determined by the trier of fact.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate)

55. As and for a fourth separate and affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that if plaintiffs sustained any injury or damage as alleged in the Complaint, defendant is informed and believes the same could have been mitigated or prevented, in whole or in part, by the taking of reasonable steps to do so, but plaintiff failed to do so and should therefore be barred from any recovery herein.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Cal.Civ.Proc. §§ 1431, et seq.)

56. As and for a fifth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that any recovery by Plaintiff for non-economic damages shall be several only and shall not be joint pursuant to *California Code of Civil Procedure* Section 1431, *et. seq.*, specifically Section 1431.2, and these answering Defendants shall be liable only for the amount of damages, if any, proportionate to its own degree of responsibility, which responsibility these answering Defendants specifically and generally denies exists.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Ratification)

57. As and for a sixth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint on file herein, and to each purported cause of action set forth therein, Defendant alleges that the facts alleged in the Complaint, if true, were consented to, accepted, ratified and confirmed by complainant.

/	/	/
/	/	/

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unanticipated and Unforeseeable Events)

58. As and for a seventh separate and affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that at all times mentioned in Plaintiff's unverified Complaint, these answering Defendants acted reasonably and properly and any damages sustained by Plaintiff, which damages are specifically denied to exist, were the result of unanticipated and unforeseeable events for which these answering Defendants has no liability.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Damages)

59. As and for an eighth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff did not suffer any detriment or damages in any amount whatsoever, or at all.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Consent)

60. As and for a ninth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that all the actions and/or omissions, and all actions taken in regard to Plaintiff, were with Plaintiff's and/or her authorized representative's express and implied informed consent.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Join Necessary and Indispensable Parties)

61. As and for a tenth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff's unverified Complaint, and each cause of action contained therein, fails to state a cause of action in that there has been an improper failure of joinder of parties and there are other necessary and indispensable parties to this action which exist and which have not been joined.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unavoidable Damages)

62. As and for an eleventh separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that to the extent that Plaintiff suffered damages, which damages are specifically denied to exist, such was unavoidable.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Release)

63. As and for a twelfth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff is barred from recovering the relief sought within her unverified Complaint on file herein by reason of the Doctrine of Release.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Waiver)

64. As and for a thirteenth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff is barred from recovering the relief sought within her unverified Complaint on file herein by reason of the Doctrine of Waiver.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

65. As and for a fourteenth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff is barred from recovering the relief sought within her unverified Complaint on file herein by reason of the Doctrine of Estoppel.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Prejudgment Interest)

66. As and for a fifteenth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these

answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff has failed to state sufficient facts to entitle her to the recovery of prejudgment interest.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches)

67. As and for a sixteenth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff is barred from recovering the relief sought within her unverified Complaint on file herein by reason of the Doctrine of Laches.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Special Damages)

68. As and for a seventeenth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff failed to state sufficient facts to entitle her to the recovery of any special damages incurred.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Privilege)

69. As and for an eighteenth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff is barred from recovering the relief sought within her unverified Complaint on file herein by reason of the common law and codified Doctrines of Privilege.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

70. As and for a nineteenth separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff's Complaint and each cause of action contained therein are barred by all applicable statutes of limitation.

28 | / /

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Apportionment)

71. As and for a twentieth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants are informed and believe and thereon allege that the matters complained of in the Complaint were proximately caused, in whole or in part, by the acts or omissions of a third party or parties or plaintiff. Accordingly, the liability of the plaintiff and responsible parties, named or unnamed, should be apportioned according to their respective degrees of fault or other legal responsibility, and the liability, if any, of these answering Defendants should be reduced accordingly.

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Excuse)

72. As and for a twenty-first separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff is barred from recovering the relief sought within her unverified Complaint on file herein by reason of the Doctrine of Excuse.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Necessity)

73. As and for a twenty-second separate and distinct affirmative defense to each and every purported cause of action contained within Plaintiff's unverified Complaint on file herein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff is barred from recovering the relief sought within her unverified Complaint on file herein by reason of the Doctrine of Necessity.

TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Bar of Express Contract Terms)

74. As and for a twenty-third separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint on file herein, and to each purported cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that complainant is barred from recovery by express and implied terms of the agreements made with Defendants.

28 | / /

TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Uncertainty)

75. As and for a twenty-fourth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint on file herein, and to each purported cause of action set forth therein, Defendant alleges that the Complaint, and each cause of action thereof, and every allegation thereof, is so vague, ambiguous, incomplete, uncertain and unintelligible as to be subject to dismissal on the grounds of uncertainty.

TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(In Pari Delicto)

76. As and for a twenty-fifth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants allege that the plaintiff herein and each and every purported cause of action in the Complaint are barred because plaintiff has engaged in acts and courses of conduct which rendered them in *pari delicto*.

TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unclean Hands)

77. As and for a twenty-sixth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants allege that Plaintiff's conduct constitutes unclean hands and Plaintiff is therefore barred from obtaining relief against this answering Defendant.

TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Offset and Indemnity and Contribution)

78. As and for a twenty-seventh separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants have a right to offset and/or indemnity and/or contribution from the Plaintiff and/or other third parties for any damages or costs these answering Defendants may have to pay Plaintiff or to any other parties.

TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Conspiracy)

79. As and for a twenty-eighth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that Plaintiff

and other third parties acted jointly, in concert, conspired and agreed to cause damage to this answering Defendant.

TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Violation of Constitutional Protections – Due Process)

80. As and for a twenty-ninth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because they violate the Due Process provisions of the United States and California Constitutions by, among other things, depriving Defendant of procedural and substantive due process rights.

THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Intervening or Superseding Events)

81. As and for a thirtieth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that if Plaintiff has sustained any injuries or incurred any expenses, or otherwise suffered any damage, such injuries, expenses or damage, if any, were the result of intervening or superseding events, factors, occurrences or conditions, which were in no way caused by Defendant, and for which these answering Defendants is not responsible and/or liable.

THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unjust Enrichment)

82. As and for a thirty-first separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that any recovery by Plaintiff would constitute unjust enrichment.

THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Excessive Fines and Penalties)

83. As and for a thirty-second separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that Plaintiff's claims for relief, on her Complaint and as applied, are barred because they violate the Excessive Fines and Penalties Clauses of the United States and California Constitutions.

THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Settlement, Accord, Satisfaction)

84. As and for a thirty-third separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that Plaintiff's claims and the relief she seeks are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that Plaintiff or any entity acting on behalf of Plaintiff, has released, settled, entered into an accord and satisfaction or otherwise compromised them.

THIRTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Damages Unforeseeable)

85. As and for a thirty-fourth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that Plaintiff's damages, if any, were not foreseeable to Defendants.

THIRTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Public Policy)

86. As and for a thirty-fifth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that Plaintiff's conduct is contrary to law and public policy and Plaintiff is therefore barred from obtaining relief against these answering Defendants.

THIRTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Spoliation of Evidence)

87. As and for a thirty-sixth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that Plaintiff failed to preserve evidence and permitted and caused the spoliation of evidence and Plaintiff is therefore barred from obtaining relief against these answering Defendants and gives rise to liability for damages to Defendants.

/	/	/
/	/	/

THIRTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Federal Preemption)

88. As and for a thirty-seventh separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that the actions and/or omissions of Defendants, as alleged in the Complaint, are not illegal or unlawful as any state law purported to proscribe them is(are) preempted by Federal Law and/or to proscribe Defendants' actions and/or omissions as illegal or unlawful conduct would require Defendants to violate Federal Law.

THIRTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Employer-Employee Relationship)

89. As and for a thirty-eighth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that they are not liable to Plaintiff due to the lack of an employer-employee relationship between the parties.

THIRTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Adverse Action)

90. As and for a thirty-ninth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants affirmatively allege that any actions and/or omissions as alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint do not constitute actionable adverse actions, including, but not limited to, retaliation, and Plaintiff is therefore barred from obtaining relief against these answering Defendants.

FORTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Other Affirmative Defenses)

91. As and for a fortieth separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, and to each purported cause of action therein, these answering Defendants state that they do not presently know all facts concerning the conduct of Plaintiff and her claims sufficient to state all affirmative defenses at this time Defendant will seek leave to amend this Answer should Defendant later discover facts demonstrating the existence of additional affirmative defenses. This affirmative defense is for the purpose of avoiding any waiver of the affirmative defense and is based on information and belief. The

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

affirmative defense is likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery.

FORTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Additional Affirmative Defenses)

92. As and for a forty-first separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint, Defendants hereby give notice that they intend to rely on any additional affirmative defenses that become available or apparent during discovery or otherwise and thus reserve the right to amend their Answers to assert such additional defenses. Defendants also hereby give notice that they intend to rely on and reserve their right to assert such other or related defenses as may become available in the event of a determination that this action, or some part thereof, is governed by the substantive law of a state other than California or of a foreign country, or in the event the facts on which this action is premised lack any necessary nexus to California.

WHEREFORE, answering defendant prays for an order of this court as follows:

- 1. That Complainant take nothing by way of her Complaint;
- 2. For an award of attorney's fees and costs incurred by defendants herein;
- 3. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

Dated: JANUARY 24, 2024 LAW OFFICES OF DONALD A. GREEN, PC

DONALD A. GREEN

Attorneys for Defendants,

DANIEL KUNZ and TANYA KUNZ

<u>VERIFICATION</u>

We, <u>DANIEL KUNZ</u> and <u>TANYA KUNZ</u>, are Defendants in this action. We have read the foregoing Verified Amended Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and know the contents thereof. The same is true to our own knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, we believe them to be true.

We declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

01 / 25 / 2024 Dated:

01 / 25 / 2024 Dated:

Daniel Kunz

DANIEL KUNZ

Tanya Kunz

TANYA KUNZ

1 2 3 4 5	DONALD A. GREEN (SBN 225171) LAW OFFICES OF DONALD A. GREEN, PC 440 N. BARRANCA AVE. # 1794 COVINA, CA 91723 TEL: (760) 431-5290 ◆ FACSIMILE (760) 268-4 Attorneys for Defendants, DANIEL KUNZ, dba D.K. PROJECT MANAGEMENT, and TANY	
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIE	GO, NORTH COUNTY DIVISION
10	LILIA GARCIA-BROWER, California State	Case No.: 37-2023-00022018-CU-WT-NC
11	Labor Commissioner, Division of Labor Standards Enforcement, Department of Industrial Relations, State of California, on	PROOF OF SERVICE
12	behalf of the People of the State of California,	
13	Plaintiff,	
14	V.	
15 16	DANIEL KUNZ, an individual, dba D.K.	
17	PROJECT MANAGEMENT; TANYA KUNZ, an individual, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	/ / /	
	/ / /	
22	/ / /	
23	/ / /	
24	/ / /	
25	/ / /	
26	/ / /	
27		
28	/ / /	

1	LILIA GARCIA-BROWER v.DANIEL KUNZ, et al Case No. 37-2023-00022018-CU-WT-NC		
2			
3	PROOF OF SERVICE		
4	I, <u>Donald A. Green</u> , declare as follows:		
5	I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action; I am an attorney with Law Offices of Donald A. Green, PC, located in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business		
6 7	address is located in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, at 440 N. Barranca Ave, Suite # 1794, Covina, CA 91723, telephone number (760) 431-5290; facsimile number (760) 268-9889.		
8	On January 25, 2024, I served the document(s) described as:		
9 10	1. DEFENDANTS' VERIFIED AMENDED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		
11	on the interested parties in this action as listed below:		
12	BY MAIL:		
13	As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; and that the correspondence		
14	shall be deposited with the United States Postal Service this same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if		
15 16	postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.		
17 18	BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION: From Fax No. (760) 268-9889 to the <i>facsimiles of the below-listed facsimile numbers</i> . The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3), and no error was reported by the machine.		
19	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I delivered the above documents by hand to the offices of the		
20	addressee(s).		
21	BY EMAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: Sent by email to the email address listed below. I did not receive any undeliverable email message and the email was not		
22	returned to me as undeliverable.		
23	(State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on <u>January 25, 2024</u> at Bluffton, South Carolina.		
24	Torogonig is true und correct. Executed on <u>surroury 23, 2021</u> at Brancon, South Euronna.		
25	Donald A. Green		
26			
27			
28			

1	<u>LILIA GARCIA-BROWER v.DANIEL KUNZ, et al</u>
2	Case No. 37-2023-00022018-CU-WT-NC
3	<u>SERVICE LIST</u>
4	Attorney for Plaintiff, Ms. Felicia Espinosa, Esq. LILIA GARCIA-BROWER, State of California
5	California State Labor Commissioner Division of Labor Standards Enforcement
6	Division of Labor Standards Enforcement, Department of Industrial Relations 770 E. Shaw, Suite 222
7	Department of Sacramento, CA 93710 Industrial Relations, State of Tel: (415) 792-3164
8	California, on Fax: N/A behalf of the People of the State of California Fax: N/A Email: fespinosa@dir.ca.gov
9	State of California
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	



Title URGENT: Verified Answer

File name Verified Amended Answer to Complaint.pdf

Document ID e76c353d39ed02947aa8e6258e25623933e732ce

Audit trail date format MM / DD / YYYY

Status • Signed

Document History

O1 / 25 / 2024 Sent for signature to Daniel Kunz (dkunzsd@gmail.com) and

SENT 01:42:44 UTC Tanya Kunz (dksolta@gmail.com) from donald@dgreenlaw.com

IP: 64.20.146.226

O1 / 25 / 2024 Viewed by Tanya Kunz (dksolta@gmail.com)

VIEWED 02:02:35 UTC IP: 76.88.25.199

O1 / 25 / 2024 Viewed by Daniel Kunz (dkunzsd@gmail.com)

VIEWED 14:42:46 UTC IP: 76.88.25.199

<u>▶</u> 01 / 25 / 2024 Signed by Daniel Kunz (dkunzsd@gmail.com)

SIGNED 16:33:31 UTC IP: 76.88.25.199

SIGNED 16:37:02 UTC IP: 76.88.25.199

7 O1 / 25 / 2024 The document has been completed.

16:37:02 UTC