Usability	review

Gra	anada Cooking	Score	Comments				
	Hover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to the overall user experience.	68					
Feat	ures & functionality			Weighting (out of 5)	Weighting ratio	Rating (0 - 5)	Score
1	Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives.	Poor	Dificultad a la hora de encontrar cursos activos, los ususarios no llegan a poder realizar la compra	5	100%	2	2
2	Features and functionality support users desired workflows.	Moderate		5	100%	3	3
3	Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the homepage) and well supported (e.g. short cuts are available).	Good	Contiene una barra de inicio que nos sigue a cualquier pagina y a traves de la cual es muy facil desplazarse por la pagina web	4	80%	4	3,2
4	Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise (e.g. short cuts for expert users, help and instructions for novice users).	Good	La pagina web dispone de una barra de busqueda a traves de la cual los usuarios menos experimentados pueden buscar alguna opcion	3	60%	4	2,4
5	Call to actions (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable.	Moderate	Actualiza el precio pero no avisa que se añadio a la cesta	3	60%	3	1,8
Hom	nepage / starting page						
6	The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of the content, features and functionality available.	Good		3	60%	4	2,4
7	The home page / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks.	Good	Rapidamente al entrar se puede entender toda la informacion que proporciona la pagina	4	80%	4	3,2
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space'.	Moderate	No termina de respetar los margenes y abarca todo el ancho lo que puede provocar sobrecarga de informaciión	3	60%	3	1,8
Nav	igation						.,0
9	Users can easily access the site or application (e.g. the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines).	Excellent	Facilmente reconocible y facil de encontrar	2	40%	5	2

10	The navigational scheme (e.g. menu) is easy to find, intuitive and consistent.	Moderate		4	80%	3	2,4
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means (e.g. searching, browse by type, browse by name, most recent etc).	Moderate	Permite hacer una busqueda general pero no permite filtrar la busqueda	3	60%	3	1,8
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals.	Moderate		5	100%	3	3
13	Links are clear, descriptive and and well labelled.	Very poor		3	60%	1	0,6
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported.	Excellent		4	80%	5	4
15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item).	Excellent		2	40%	5	2
16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point.	Excellent		2	40%	5	2
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary).	Moderate		1	20%	3	0,6
Sea	ırch						
18	A consitent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout (where desirable).	Good	La barra superior contiene una funcion de busqueda que funciona correctamente	4	80%	4	3,2
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals (e.g. multi-parameter, prioritised results, filtering search results).	Very poor	La función de busqueda no muestra los resultados mas comunes ni está adaptado al lenguaje natural, como puede ser poner una pregunta	4	80%	1	0,8
20	The search facility deals well with common searchs (e.g. showing most popular results), misspellings and abbreviations.	Very poor	Si el usuario se equivoca no se le corrige la errata, ni muestra las busquedas mas comunes	2	40%	1	0,4
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed.	Good		4	80%	4	3,2
Cor	ntrol & feedback				23.0		- ,

22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given (e.g. following a successful or unsuccessful action).	Good	Se avisa a la hora de realizar una acción incorrecta como puede ser intentar meter un curso en la cesta sin poner la hora o el día en que se asiste	4	80%	4	3,2
23	Users can easily undo, go back and change or cancel actions; or are at least given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order).	Poor		3	60%	2	1,2
24	Users can easily give feedback (e.g. via email or an online feedback / contact us form).	Excellent		1	20%	5	1
For	ms						
25	Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.	Moderate	Por ejemplo: a la hora de finalizar la compra la introducción de información es muy lineal pero no hay ningún indicador de cuantos pasos nos quedan para terminar la compra	3	60%	3	1,8
26	A minimal amount of information is requested and where required justification is given for asking for information (e.g. date of birth, telephone number).	Moderate	Telefono, fecha de nacimiento informacion obligatoria a la hora de realizar una compra	2	40%	3	1,2
27	Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated.	Good	Casillas necesarias marcadas con un *	2	40%	4	1,6
28	Appropriate input fields (e.g. calendar for date selection, drop down for selection) are used and required formats are indicated.	Moderate	Calendario funcional, pero tipografia poco visible	3	60%	3	1,8
29	Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary.	Good	Pocas instrucciones son requeridas mas que los campos obligatorios	3	60%	4	2,4
Erre	ors						
30	Errors are clear, easily identifiable and appear in appropriate location (e.g. adjacent to data entry field, adjacent to form, etc.).	Good		4	80%	4	3,2
31	Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary.	Moderate		3	60%	3	1,8
32	Common user errors (e.g. missing fields, invalid formats, invalid selections) have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented.	Excellent		3	60%	5	3
33	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors.	Good	Cuando sucede algun error simplemente hay que volver a la pestaña anterior y volver a intentarlo	3	60%	4	2,4

## Content & text

34	Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals.	Excellent		5	100%	5	5	
35	Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context.	Poor	Los enlaces de la pagina son entre paginas de la misma pagina no de otras paginas externas que amplien la información	2	40%	2	0,8	
36	Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience.	Good		4	80%	4	3,2	
37	Terms, language and tone used are consitent (e.g. the same term is used throughout).	Good		3	60%	4	2,4	
38	Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast.	Poor	Fondo blanco letra negra la mayoria de la pagina, pero en algunas secciones cruciales como puede ser a la hora de elegir una fecha para la actividad el color es tan claro que los usuarios facilmente pueden no verla	3	60%	2	1,2	
Hel	р							
39	Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand language and only uses recognised terms). Where appropriate contextual help is provided.	Excellent		4	80%	5	4	
40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language.	Good		3	60%	4	2,4	
41	Accessing online help does not impede users (i.e. they can can resume work where they left off after accessing help).	Excellent		3	60%	5	3	
42	Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address).	Good	Los usuarios tienen a su disposición el telefono y correo de la empresa, además de redes sociales, pero no indica el tiempo de respuesta	2	40%	4	1,6	
Per	Performance							
43	Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays).	Very poor	Sección de calendario a veces da errores que obligan a recargar la página	4	80%	1	0,8	
44	Errors and reliabilty issues don't inhibit the user experience.	Very poor	Sección de calendario mal optimizada, a veces da errores y tarda en carga: afectando a la experiencia del usuario	4	80%	1	0,8	

Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported.

Moderate

Overall usability score (out of 100) \*

68 - Moderate

- \* Very poor (less than 29) Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete a significant number of important tasks.
- \* Poor (between 29 and 49) Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete some important tasks.
- \* Moderate (between 49 and 69) Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the user experience could be significantly improved.
- \* Good (between 69 and 89) Users should be able to use this site or system with relative ease and should be able to complete the vast majority of important tasks.
- \* Excellent (more than 89) This site or system provides an excellent user experience for users. Users should be able to complete all important tasks on the site or system.

3 60% 3 1,8

5

97,4