

# Technologies of Criminalization

Oliver Rollins,<sup>1</sup> Julien Larregue,<sup>2</sup>  
and Hannah Pullen-Blasnik<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Program in Science, Technology, and Society, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA; email: orollins@mit.edu

<sup>2</sup>Department of Sociology, Université Laval, Québec City, Québec, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Department of Sociology, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

**ANNUAL  
REVIEWS CONNECT**

[www.annualreviews.org](http://www.annualreviews.org)

- Download figures
- Navigate cited references
- Keyword search
- Explore related articles
- Share via email or social media

Annu. Rev. Law Soc. Sci. 2025. 21:469–87

First published as a Review in Advance on  
July 4, 2025

The *Annual Review of Law and Social Science* is online  
at [lawsocsci.annualreviews.org](http://lawsocsci.annualreviews.org)

<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-lawsocsci-061824-073536>

Copyright © 2025 by the author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See credit lines of images or other third-party material in this article for license information.



## Keywords

technologies, criminalization, race, brain, genetics, AI, artificial intelligence

## Abstract

Technologies play a central role in decision-making processes within criminal legal systems, creating what we call technologies of criminalization. These tools are based on the idea of calculated truths about future riskiness, but they often reinforce structural biases that underlie the concept of criminality. Their development and use demonstrate efforts to define the abstract criminal: a notion that embodies the presumed natural realities and discoverable aspects of criminality believed to be objectively discoverable and statistically predictable. This perspective neglects the socially constructed nature of criminality and the impact of human biases in the design and implementation of these technologies. Three interlinked processes drive their adoption: quantification, prediction, and pathologization. By examining neuroscientific, genomic, and algorithmic technologies, we critically assess their social impacts and the risks of exacerbating social inequalities under the facade of technical neutrality. Finally, we emphasize the increasing involvement of private industries in criminalization processes.