

OSPREY 3: Open-Source Protein Redesign for You, Refactored, with Powerful New Features

Donald Lab

September 13, 2017

1 Abstract

2 Introduction

For over a decade, the OSPREY software package [7, 9, 10] has offered the protein design community a unique combination of continuous flexibility modeling, ensemble modeling, and algorithms with provable guarantees. Having begun as a software release for the K^* algorithm, which approximates binding constants using ensemble modeling, it now boasts a wide array of algorithms found in no other software. OSPREY has been used in many designs that were empirically successful—*in vitro* [2, 5, 8, 12, 22, 24, 27] and *in vivo* [5, 12, 22, 24] as well as in non-human primates [24]. OSPREY’s predictions have been validated by a wide range of experimental methods, including binding assays, enzyme kinetics and activity assays, in cell assays (MICs, fitness) and viral neutralization, *in vivo* studies, and crystal structures [21, 24].

However, as we added more and more algorithms into OSPREY, the code became somewhat complicated and messy. Thus, we have now refactored it, to facilitate the adding of new features both by ourselves and by any others. We have also introduced a convenient Python interface and GPU support, allowing designs to be completed much more quickly and easily than in previous version of OSPREY. We believe OSPREY 3 will be a very useful tool for both developers and users of provably accurate protein design algorithms.

2.1 Past successes of OSPREY

OSPREY has been used for an impressive number of empirically successful designs, ranging from enzyme design to antibody design to prediction of antibiotic resistance mutations. Notably, OSPREY has been successful in many *prospective* experimental studies, i.e., studies in which our designed sequences are tested experimentally, thus providing more realistic validation than a retrospective comparison of OSPREY calculations to previous experimental results. OSPREY is most applicable to problems that can be posed in terms of

binding, allowing the K^* algorithm and its variants to select the optimal sequence based on an estimate of binding free energy. But most protein design problems can be posed in this way, sometimes in terms of binding to more than one ligand.

For example, we have successfully predicted novel resistance mutations to new inhibitors in MRSA (methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*), by searching for sequences that have impaired drug binding compared to wild-type DHFR, but still form the enzyme-substrate complex as usual, allowing catalysis [5, 21]. Our predictions were validated not only biochemically and structurally, but also at an organismal level [18, 21]. Similarly, we have successfully changed the preferred substrate of an enzyme—the phenylalanine adenylation domain of gramicidin S synthetase—from phenylalanine to leucine by modeling of the two enzyme-substrate complexes, searching for sequences with improved binding to leucine and less to phenylalanine.

Still other successes of OSPREY have involved improving a single binding interaction, like the interaction of the antibody VRC07 with its antigen, the gp120 surface protein of HIV. Using this approach, we designed a broadly neutralizing antibody VRC07-523LS against HIV with unprecedented breadth and potency that is now in clinical trials [1, 24]. Likewise, we have used OSPREY to develop peptide inhibitors of CAL, a protein involved in cystic fibrosis [22]. This is a protein design problem of direct therapeutic significance that consists of optimizing a protein-protein binding interaction.

In addition, a number of other research groups have successfully used the OSPREY algorithms and software (by themselves) to perform biomedically important protein designs, *e.g.*, to design anti-HIV antibodies that are easier to induce [11]; to design a soluble prefusion closed HIV-1-Env trimer with reduced CD4 affinity and improved immunogenicity [3]; to design a transmembrane Zn^{2+} -transporting four-helix bundle [16]; to optimize stability and immunogenicity of therapeutic proteins [20, 25, 29]; and to design sequence diversity in a virus panel and predict the epitope specificities of antibody responses to HIV-1 infection [4].

We believe OSPREY 3 will enable an even greater range of successful designs.

3 New features

3.1 LUTE: Putting advanced modeling into a form suitable for efficient, discrete design calculations

OSPREY 3 comes with LUTE [15], a new algorithm that addresses two issues with previous versions of OSPREY.

First, previous versions modeled continuous flexibility by enumerating conformations in order of a *lower bound* on minimized conformational energy [6, 9]. This approach is often inefficient in that many conformations—possibly even a number exponential in the number of mutable residues—can have lower bounds below the GMEC energy, and thus will all have to be enumerated. Only a small gain in efficiency is obtained by minimizing the energies of

the partial conformations corresponding to nodes of the A* tree [14], again because of the gap between lower bounds and actual minimized energies. LUTE addresses this problem by directly optimizing the minimized energies of full conformations, which are estimated using an expansion in low-order tuples of residue conformations. Thus, the burden of modeling continuous flexibility is shifted from the combinatorial optimization (A*) step, which has unfavorable asymptotic scaling, to a precomputation step that only scales quadratically with the number of residues. This precomputation step consists of sampling a “training set” of conformations, computing their minimized energies, and then inferring the coefficients of the expansion. These coefficients can then be used as residue interaction energies in combinatorial search, whether single- or multistate. The combinatorial search will have the form of a discrete search and thus achieve high efficiency, but will accurately match the results of a continuously flexible search.

Second, all previous combinatorial protein design algorithms have relied on an explicit decomposition of the energy as a sum of local (e.g., pairwise) terms. This made design with energy functions that do not have this form difficult. For example, previous use of the Poisson-Boltzmann [26] energy function, the gold standard of implicit solvent modeling, in design has relied either on *post-hoc* reranking of a limited number of favorable designs from a calculation based on pairwise energies, which would cause all other designs favored by the Poisson-Boltzmann energetics to be missed, or on a decomposition that is incompatible with continuous flexibility [28]. However, LUTE need only calculate the energies of entire conformations in order to infer its coefficients—explicit pairwise energies are not part of this calculation. Thus LUTE can straightforwardly support general energy functions, and as shown in [15] it can obtain good fits at least in the case of Poisson-Boltzmann energies.

OSPREY users can now turn on LUTE for continuously flexible calculations simply by setting the configuration “useTupExp” to true. OSPREY 3 also supports design with Poisson-Boltzmann solvation energy calculations, which use the DelPhi [17,23] software for the single-point Poisson-Boltzmann calculations (we ask the user to download DelPhi separately for licensing reasons). But as an algorithm, LUTE’s abilities go well beyond these features—it is a general tool for taking advanced modeling of a single voxel in a system’s conformation space and putting into a suitable form for efficient, discrete combinatorial optimization calculations yielding the best design sequence. As mentioned in [15], we are currently working on adding other capabilities like continuous entropy modeling this way. Moreover, any other researchers who would like to model some phenomenon in protein design, but find it difficult to fit into the usual discrete pairwise framework used in design calculations, are encouraged to try LUTE and OSPREY 3 as a framework for their modeling. Such improved modeling is essential to increasing the reliability of and range of feasible uses for computational protein design.

3.2 CATS: Local backbone flexibility in all biophysically feasible dimensions

OSPNEY pioneered protein design calculations that model local continuous flexibility of sidechains in the vicinity of rotamers in all biophysically feasible dimensions (i.e., the sidechain dihedrals). This continuous flexibility was often critical in finding optimal sequences [6], and especially in eliminating artificial steric problems for ideal rotameric conformations that are chosen without consideration of protein context. In OSPNEY 3, we now extend this ability to the backbone: allowing local continuous backbone flexibility in the vicinity of the native backbone in all biophysically feasible dimensions.

This flexibility is enabled by the CATS algorithm [13]. CATS uses a new parameterization of backbone conformational space, along with the voxel framework that OSPNEY has always included. CATS is equivalent to searching over all changes in backbone dihedrals (ϕ and ψ) subject to keeping the protein conformation constant outside of a specified flexible region. This constraint is necessary to keep larger backbone dihedral changes from propagating down the backbone and unfolding the protein. CATS includes an efficient Taylor series-based algorithm for computing atomic coordinates from its new degrees of freedom, enabling efficient energy minimization. CATS is intended to be run along with OSPNEY’s other algorithms, yielding efficient calculations with continuous flexibility in both the sidechains and the backbone. In Ref 13, we have shown that backbone flexibility as modeled by CATS is sometimes critical for resolving artificial steric problems and often affects energetics significantly, just as has previously been shown for continuous sidechain flexibility [6].

3.3 BBK*: Efficiently computing the tightest binding sequences from a combinatorially large number of binding partners

[19]

References

- [1] VRC 605: A Phase 1 Dose-Escalation Study of the Safety and Pharmacokinetics of a Human Monoclonal Antibody, VRC07-523LS, Administered Intravenously or Subcutaneously to Healthy Adults. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03015181. NIAID And National Institutes of Health Clinical Center. January (2017). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03015181>.
- [2] Cheng-Yu Chen, Ivelin Georgiev, Amy C. Anderson, and Bruce R. Donald. Computational structure-based redesign of enzyme activity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 106(10):3764–3769, 2009.

- [3] G. Y. Chuang, H. Geng, M. Pancera, K. Xu, C. Cheng, P. Acharya, M. Chambers, A. Druz, Y. Tsybovsky, T. G. Wamning, Y. Yang, N. A. Doria-Rose, I. S. Georgiev, J. Gorman, M. G. Joyce, S. O’Dell, T. Zhou, A. B. McDermott, J. R. Mascola, and P. D. Kwong. Structure-Based Design of a Soluble Prefusion-Closed HIV-1 Env Trimer with Reduced CD4 Affinity and Improved Immunogenicity. *J. Virol.*, 91(10), May 2017.
- [4] N. A. Doria-Rose, H. R. Altae-Tran, R. S. Roark, S. D. Schmidt, M. S. Sutton, M. K. Louder, G. Y. Chuang, R. T. Bailer, V. Cortez, R. Kong, K. McKee, S. O’Dell, F. Wang, S. S. Abdool Karim, J. M. Binley, M. Connors, B. F. Haynes, M. A. Martin, D. C. Montefiori, L. Morris, J. Overbaugh, P. D. Kwong, J. R. Mascola, and I. S. Georgiev. Mapping Polyclonal HIV-1 Antibody Responses via Next-Generation Neutralization Fingerprinting. *PLoS Pathog.*, 13(1):e1006148, Jan 2017.
- [5] Kathleen M. Frey, Ivelin Georgiev, Bruce R. Donald, and Amy C. Anderson. Predicting resistance mutations using protein design algorithms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 107(31):13707–13712, 2010.
- [6] Pablo Gainza, Kyle Roberts, and Bruce R. Donald. Protein design using continuous rotamers. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 8(1):e1002335, 2012.
- [7] Pablo Gainza, Kyle E. Roberts, Ivelin Georgiev, Ryan H. Lilien, Daniel A. Keady, Cheng-Yu Chen, Faisal Reza, Amy C. Anderson, David C. Richardson, Jane S. Richardson, and Bruce R. Donald. OSPREY: Protein design with ensembles, flexibility, and provable algorithms. *Methods in Enzymology*, 523:87–107, 2013.
- [8] I. Georgiev, P. Acharya, S. Schmidt, Y. Li, D. Wycuff, G. Ofek, N. Doria-Rose, T. Luongo, Y. Yang, T. Zhou, B. R. Donald, J. Mascola, and P. Kwong. Design of epitope-specific probes for sera analysis and antibody isolation. *Retrovirology*, 9(Suppl. 2):P50, 2012.
- [9] Ivelin Georgiev, Ryan H. Lilien, and Bruce R. Donald. The minimized dead-end elimination criterion and its application to protein redesign in a hybrid scoring and search algorithm for computing partition functions over molecular ensembles. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 29(10):1527–1542, 2008.
- [10] Ivelin Georgiev, Kyle E. Roberts, Pablo Gainza, Mark A. Hallen, and Bruce R. Donald. OSPREY (Open Source Protein Redesign for You) user manual. Available online: www.cs.duke.edu/donaldlab/software.php. Updated, 2015. 94 pages., 2009.
- [11] Ivelin S. Georgiev, Rebecca S. Rudicell, Kevin O. Saunders, Wei Shi, Tatsiana Kirys, Krisha McKee, Sijy O’Dell, Gwo-Yu Chuang, Zhi-Yong Yang, Gilad Ofek, Mark Connors, John R. Mascola, Gary J. Nabel, and Peter D. Kwong. Antibodies VRC01 and 10e8 neutralize HIV-1 with high breadth and potency even with ig-framework regions

substantially reverted to germline. *The Journal of Immunology*, 192(3):1100–1106, Feb 2014.

- [12] Michael J. Gorczynski, Jolanta Grembecka, Yunpeng Zhou, Yali Kong, Liya Roudaia, Michael G. Douvas, Miki Newman, Izabela Bielnicka, Gwen Baber, Takeshi Corpora, Jianxia Shi, Mohini Sridharan, Ryan Lilien, Bruce R. Donald, Nancy A. Speck, Milton L. Brown, and John H. Bushweller. Allosteric inhibition of the protein-protein interaction between the leukemia-associated proteins Runx1 and CBF β . *Chemistry and Biology*, 14:1186–1197, 2007.
- [13] Mark A. Hallen and Bruce R. Donald. CATS (Coordinates of Atoms by Taylor Series): protein design with backbone flexibility in all locally feasible directions. *Bioinformatics*, 33(14):i5–i12, 2017.
- [14] Mark A. Hallen, Pablo Gainza, and Bruce R. Donald. A compact representation of continuous energy surfaces for more efficient protein design. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 11(5):2292–2306, 2015.
- [15] Mark A. Hallen, Jonathan D. Jou, and Bruce R. Donald. LUTE (Local Unpruned Tuple Expansion): Accurate continuously flexible protein design with general energy functions and rigid-rotamer-like efficiency. In *International Conference on Research in Computational Molecular Biology*, pages 122–136. Springer, 2016.
- [16] Nathan H Joh, Tuo Wang, Manasi P Bhate, Rudresh Acharya, Yibing Wu, Michael Grabe, Mei Hong, Gevorg Grigoryan, and William F DeGrado. De novo design of a transmembrane zn-transporting four-helix bundle. *Science*, 346(6216):1520–4, Dec 2014.
- [17] Anthony Nicholls and Barry Honig. A rapid finite difference algorithm, utilizing successive over-relaxation to solve the Poisson-Boltzmann equation. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 12(4):435–445, 1991.
- [18] Adegoke Ojewole, Anna Lowegard, Pablo Gainza, Stephanie M. Reeve, Ivelin Georgiev, Amy C. Anderson, and Bruce R. Donald. OSPREY predicts resistance mutations using positive and negative computational protein design. In *Computational Protein Design*, volume 1529 of *Methods in Molecular Biology*. Humana Press, New York, 2017. In press.
- [19] Adegoke A. Ojewole, Jonathan D. Jou, Vance G. Fowler, and Bruce R. Donald. *BBK* (Branch and Bound over K*): A Provable and Efficient Ensemble-Based Algorithm to Optimize Stability and Binding Affinity over Large Sequence Spaces*, volume 10229, pages 157–172. Research in Computational Molecular Biology (RECOMB): 21st Annual International Conference, RECOMB 2017, Hong Kong, China, May 3-7, 2017.

- [20] Andrew S Parker, Yoonjoo Choi, Karl E Griswold, and Chris Bailey-Kellogg. Structure-guided deimmunization of therapeutic proteins. *J Comput Biol*, 20(2):152–65, Feb 2013.
- [21] Stephanie M. Reeve, Pablo Gainza, Kathleen M. Frey, Ivelin Georgiev, Bruce R. Donald, and Amy C. Anderson. Protein design algorithms predict viable resistance to an experimental antifolate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 112(3):749–754, 2015.
- [22] Kyle E. Roberts, Patrick R. Cushing, Prisca Boisguerin, Dean R. Madden, and Bruce R. Donald. Computational design of a PDZ domain peptide inhibitor that rescues CFTR activity. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 8(4):e1002477, 2012.
- [23] Walter Rochia, Sundaram Sridharan, Anthony Nicholls, Emil Alexov, Alessandro Chiabrera, and Barry Honig. Rapid grid-based construction of the molecular surface and the use of induced surface charge to calculate reaction field energies: Applications to the molecular systems and geometric objects. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 23(1):128–137, 2002.
- [24] Rebecca S. Rudicell, Young Do Kwon, Sung-Youl Ko, Amarendra Pegu, Mark K. Louder, Ivelin S. Georgiev, Xueling Wu, Jiang Zhu, Jeffrey C. Boyington, Xuejun Chen, Wei Shi, Zhi-Yong Yang, Nicole A. Doria-Rose, Krisha McKee, Sijy O’Dell, Stephen D. Schmidt, Gwo-Yu Chuang, Aliaksandr Druz, Cinque Soto, Yongping Yang, Baoshan Zhang, Tongqing Zhou, John-Paul Todd, Krissey E. Lloyd, Joshua Eudai-ley, Kyle E. Roberts, Bruce R. Donald, Robert T. Bailer, Julie Ledgerwood, NISC Comparative Sequencing Program, James C. Mullikin, Lawrence Shapiro, Richard A. Koup, Barney S. Graham, Martha C. Nason, Mark Connors, Barton F. Haynes, Srinivas S. Rao, Mario Roederer, Peter D. Kwong, John R. Mascola, and Gary J. Nabel. Enhanced potency of a broadly neutralizing HIV-1 antibody *in vitro* improves protection against lentiviral infection *in vivo*. *Journal of Virology*, 88(21):12669–12682, 2014.
- [25] Regina S Salvat, Yoonjoo Choi, Alexandra Bishop, Chris Bailey-Kellogg, and Karl E Griswold. Protein deimmunization via structure-based design enables efficient epitope deletion at high mutational loads. *Biotechnol Bioeng*, Feb 2015.
- [26] Doree Sitkoff, Kim A. Sharp, and Barry Honig. Accurate calculation of hydration free energies using macroscopic solvent models. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 98:1978–1988, 1994.
- [27] Brian W. Stevens, Ryan H. Lilien, Ivelin Georgiev, Bruce R. Donald, and Amy C. Anderson. Redesigning the PheA domain of gramicidin synthetase leads to a new understanding of the enzyme’s mechanism and selectivity. *Biochemistry*, 45(51):15495–15504, 2006.

- [28] Christina L. Vizcarra, Naigong Zhang, Shannon A. Marshall, Ned S. Wingreen, Chen Zeng, and Stephen L. Mayo. An improved pairwise decomposable finite-difference Poisson-Boltzmann method for computational protein design. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 29(7):1153–1162, 2008.
- [29] Hongliang Zhao, Deeptak Verma, Wen Li, Yoonjoo Choi, Christian Ndong, Steven N Fiering, Chris Bailey-Kellogg, and Karl E Griswold. Depletion of T cell epitopes in lysostaphin mitigates anti-drug antibody response and enhances antibacterial efficacy in vivo. *Chem Biol*, 22(5):629–39, May 2015.