Grassmann formula for vector space dimensions

Donato Martinelli

March 4, 2024

Theorem 0.1. Let U and W be two finite-dimensional subspaces of the vector space V. Denoting by U + W the sum subspace of U and W given by:

$$U + W = \{ \vec{w} + \vec{v} \mid \vec{w} \in W, \, \vec{u} \in U \}$$
 (a)

and by $U \cap W$ the intersection subspace, then $U \cap W$ and U + W have finite dimension and

$$\dim(U) + \dim(W) = \dim(U + W) + \dim(U \cap W).$$

In particular, U + W is a direct sum of U and W if and only if

$$\dim(U+W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W).$$

Proof. $U \cap W$ is a subspace of U of finite dimension, so $U \cap W$ also has finite dimension.

Let

$$B_{U\cap W} = \{\vec{z}_1, \dots, \vec{z}_q\}$$

be a basis of $U \cap W$. Then there exist $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_t \in U$ and $\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_s \in W$ such that

$$B_U = \{\vec{z}_1, \dots, \vec{z}_q, \vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_t\}$$

is a basis of U and

$$B_W = \{\vec{z}_1, \dots, \vec{z}_q, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_s\}$$

is a basis of W.

Therefore, $\dim(V) = q + t$, $\dim(W) = q + s$, and $\dim(U \cap W) = q$. Thus,

$$\dim(U) + \dim(W) - \dim(U \cap W) = (q+t) + (q+s) - q = q+t+s.$$

To prove (a), it suffices to prove that

$$\{\vec{z}_1,\ldots,\vec{z}_a,\vec{u}_1,\ldots,\vec{u}_t,\vec{w}_1,\ldots,\vec{w}_s\}$$

is a basis of U + W.

Let

$$\vec{u} + \vec{w} \in U + W$$
.

then there exist

$$a_1, \ldots, a_q, a'_1, \ldots, a'_q, b_1, \ldots, b_t, c_1, \ldots, c_s \in K$$

such that

$$\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{z}_1 + \ldots + a_q \vec{z}_q + b_1 \vec{u}_1 + \ldots + b_t \vec{u}_t,$$

 $\vec{w} = a'_1 \vec{z}_1 + \ldots + a'_q \vec{z}_q + c_1 \vec{w}_1 + \ldots + c_s \vec{w}_s.$

Therefore,

$$\vec{u} + \vec{w} = (a_1 \vec{z}_1 + \dots + a_q \vec{z}_q + b_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + b_t \vec{u}_t) + (a'_1 \vec{z}_1 + \dots + a'_q \vec{z}_q + c_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + c_s \vec{w}_s)$$

$$= (a_1 + a'_1) \vec{z}_1 + \dots + (a_q + a'_q) \vec{z}_q + b_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + b_t \vec{u}_t + c_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + c_s \vec{w}_s.$$

Thus,

$$\vec{u} + \vec{w} \in \langle \vec{z}_1, \dots, \vec{z}_a, \vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_t, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_s \rangle.$$

Since this holds for every $\vec{u} + \vec{w}$, we have

$$\langle \vec{z}_1, \ldots, \vec{z}_q, \vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_t, \vec{w}_1, \ldots, \vec{w}_s \rangle = U + W.$$

Let $a_1, \ldots, a_q, b_1, \ldots, b_t, c_1, \ldots, c_s \in K$ such that

$$a_1\vec{z}_1 + \ldots + a_q\vec{z}_q + b_1\vec{u}_1 + \ldots + b_t\vec{u}_t + c_1\vec{w}_1 + \ldots + c_s\vec{w}_s = \vec{0}.$$

We define

$$\vec{z} = a_1 \vec{z}_1 + \dots + a_q \vec{z}_q,$$

 $\vec{u} = b_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + b_t \vec{u}_t,$
 $\vec{w} = c_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + c_s \vec{w}_s.$

Thus,

$$\vec{z} + \vec{u} + \vec{w} = \vec{0} \rightarrow \vec{w} = -\vec{z} - \vec{u}.$$

Since

$$\vec{z} = a_1 \vec{z}_1 + \ldots + a_q \vec{z}_q = a_1 \vec{z}_1 + \ldots + a_q \vec{z}_q + 0 \vec{u}_1 + \ldots + 0 \vec{u}_t \in U$$

$$\vec{u} = b_1 \vec{u}_1 + \ldots + b_t \vec{u}_t = 0 \vec{z}_1 + \ldots + 0 \vec{z}_q + b_1 \vec{u}_1 + \ldots + b_t \vec{u}_t \in U$$

we can say that $\vec{w} \in U$, which implies $\vec{w} \in U \cap W$.

Since $\{\vec{z}_1, \ldots, \vec{z}_q\}$ is a basis of $U \cap W$, there exist

$$e_1\vec{z}_1 + \ldots + e_q\vec{z}_q \in K$$

such that

$$a_1\vec{z}_1 + \ldots + a_q\vec{z}_q + b_1\vec{u}_1 + \ldots + b_t\vec{u}_t = e_1\vec{z}_1 + \ldots + e_q\vec{z}_q$$

which implies

$$(a_1 - e_1)\vec{z_1} + \ldots + (a_q - e_q)\vec{z_q} + b_1\vec{u_1} + \ldots + b_t\vec{u_t} = \vec{0}$$

by linear independence of $\vec{z}_1, \ldots, \vec{z}_q, \vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_t$, all coefficients are 0, and in particular $b_1 = \ldots = b_t = 0$. Thus,

$$a_1\vec{z}_1 + \ldots + a_q\vec{z}_q + c_1\vec{w}_1 + \ldots + c_s\vec{w}_s = \vec{0}$$

By linear independence of $\vec{z}_1, \dots, \vec{z}_q, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_s$ we also have

$$a_1 = \ldots = a_q = c_1 = \ldots = c_s = 0.$$

Thus, $\vec{z}_1, \ldots, \vec{z}_q, \vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_t, \vec{w}_1, \ldots, \vec{w}_s$ are linearly independent.

The last assertion of the theorem immediately follows from (0.1) and the definition of direct sum.