

# The F-test in R

In this lesson, we will perform both the full and partial F-tests in R.

Recall again, the Amazon book data. The data consists of data on  $n = 325$  books and includes measurements of:

- `aprice` : The price listed on Amazon (dollars)
- `lprice` : The book's list price (dollars)
- `weight` : The book's weight (ounces)
- `pages` : The number of pages in the book
- `height` : The book's height (inches)
- `width` : The book's width (inches)
- `thick` : The thickness of the book (inches)
- `cover` : Whether the book is a hard cover or paperback.
- And other variables...

We'll explore model using `lprice`, `pages`, and `width` to predict `aprice`. But first, we'll repeat the data cleaning from our lesson on t-tests. For all tests in this lesson, let  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

```
In [6]: library(RCurl) #a package that includes the function getURL(), which allows for
library(ggplot2)
url = getURL(paste0("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/bzaharatos/",
                    "-Statistical-Modeling-for-Data-Science-Applications/",
                    "master/Modern%20Regression%20Analysis%20Datasets/amazon.tx
amazon = read.csv(text = url, sep = "\t")
names(amazon)
df = data.frame(aprice = amazon$Amazon.Price, lprice = as.numeric(amazon$List.Pr
                pages = amazon$NumPages, width = amazon$Width, weight = amazon$W
                height = amazon$Height, thick = amazon$Thick, cover = amazon$Har

#cleaning the data, as was done in our lesson on t-tests
df$weight[which(is.na(df$weight))] = mean(df$weight, na.rm = TRUE)
df$pages[which(is.na(df$pages))] = mean(df$pages, na.rm = TRUE)
df$height[which(is.na(df$height))] = mean(df$height, na.rm = TRUE)
df$width[which(is.na(df$width))] = mean(df$width, na.rm = TRUE)
df$thick[which(is.na(df$thick))] = mean(df$thick, na.rm = TRUE)
df = df[-205,]
summary(df)
```

1. 'Title'
2. 'Author'

3. 'List.Price'
4. 'Amazon.Price'
5. 'Hard..Paper'
6. 'NumPages'
7. 'Publisher'
8. 'Pub.year'
9. 'ISBN.10'
10. 'Height'
11. 'Width'
12. 'Thick'
13. 'Weight..oz.'

aprice		lprice		pages		width	
Min.	: 0.770	Min.	: 1.50	Min.	: 24.0	Min.	:4.100
1st Qu.:	8.598	1st Qu.:	13.95	1st Qu.:	208.0	1st Qu.:	5.200
Median	: 10.200	Median	: 15.00	Median	:320.0	Median	:5.400
Mean	: 13.010	Mean	: 18.58	Mean	:335.8	Mean	:5.584
3rd Qu.:	13.033	3rd Qu.:	19.95	3rd Qu.:	416.0	3rd Qu.:	5.900
Max.	:139.950	Max.	:139.95	Max.	:896.0	Max.	:9.500

  

weight		height		thick		cover	
Min.	: 1.20	Min.	: 5.100	Min.	:0.100	H: 89	
1st Qu.:	7.80	1st Qu.:	7.900	1st Qu.:	0.600	P:235	
Median	:11.20	Median	: 8.100	Median	:0.900		
Mean	:12.48	Mean	: 8.161	Mean	:0.908		
3rd Qu.:	16.00	3rd Qu.:	8.500	3rd Qu.:	1.100		
Max.	:35.20	Max.	:12.100	Max.	:2.100		

Let's fit the "full" model from our lesson on t-tests, namely, the model that includes `lprice`, `pages`, and `width` as predictors.

```
In [7]: lm_amazon = lm(aprice ~ lprice + pages + width, data = df)
summary(lm_amazon)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = aprice ~ lprice + pages + width, data = df)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-19.3092	-1.7824	-0.0695	1.3374	22.9248

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	0.862994	1.573723	0.548	0.584
lprice	0.854834	0.017848	47.895	< 2e-16 ***
pages	-0.006044	0.001348	-4.482	1.03e-05 ***
width	-0.305456	0.285426	-1.070	0.285

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 3.774 on 320 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.9089, Adjusted R-squared: 0.908

F-statistic: 1064 on 3 and 320 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

First, note that the full F-test has a very large F-statistic (1064), and very small p-value ( $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ , effectively zero). Typically, we should look at the full F-test first, to see if there is

any evidence that any of the predictors are necessary in the model. Only after a significant full F-test should we look at an individual t-test.

We note again that the t-test associated with `width` is not significant, suggesting that there is no evidence that the parameter associated with `width` is different from zero.

But even though `pages` is significant, it seems clear that `lprice` is most strongly associated with `aprice` (`pages` predictor value is very close to 0. So, we might look at an F-test comparing the models:

$$H_0 : Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{lprice}(lprice) + \varepsilon_i$$

with

$H_1$  : number of pages or width (or both) should be included in the model.

In [14]:

```
lm_amazon_reduced = lm(aprice ~ lprice, data = df)
anova(lm_amazon_reduced, lm_amazon)
```

Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
322	4846.160	NA	NA	NA	NA
320	4557.841	2	288.3194	10.12126	5.46791e-05

Note that the p-value associated with this partial F-test is small ( $5.46791 \times 10^{-5} < \alpha = 0.05$ ). This, we conclude that there is evidence that the reduced model is insufficient, and that we need at least one of the other predictors. We know that `width` is not statistically significant, and so we will only add back `pages`. This would leave us with the model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{lprice}(lprice) + \beta_{pages}(pages) + \varepsilon_i.$$

Interestingly, F-tests can be used when comparing two models that differ only by one predictor. For example, comparing

$$\omega : Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{lprice}(lprice) + \beta_{pages}(pages) + \varepsilon_i$$

with

$$\Omega : Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{lprice}(lprice) + \beta_{pages}(pages) + \beta_{width}(width) + \varepsilon_i.$$

Does the individual t-test and the F-test give consistent results? Let's check!

In [16]:

```
lm_amazon_reduced2 = lm(aprice ~ lprice + pages, data = df)
anova(lm_amazon_reduced2, lm_amazon)
summary(lm_amazon)
```

Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
321	4574.153	NA	NA	NA	NA
320	4557.841	1	16.31249	1.145279	0.2853462

```
Call:
lm(formula = aprice ~ lprice + pages + width, data = df)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-19.3092  -1.7824  -0.0695   1.3374  22.9248

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  0.862994    1.573723   0.548   0.584
lprice       0.854834    0.017848  47.895 < 2e-16 ***
pages       -0.006044    0.001348  -4.482 1.03e-05 ***
width       -0.305456    0.285426  -1.070   0.285
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 3.774 on 320 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.9089,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.908
F-statistic: 1064 on 3 and 320 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Notice that the p-value for the individual t-test for the parameter associated with `width`, and the p-value for this partial F-test are the same! This is not an accident, but a consequence of the relationship between the t-distribution and the F-distribution: if  $X \sim t(n)$  then  $X^2 \sim F_{1,n}$ .

In [20]:

```
summary(lm_amazon_reduced2)
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = aprice ~ lprice + pages, data = df)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-19.0969  -1.8256  -0.0329   1.4436  23.3954

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -0.727973    0.516361  -1.410   0.16
lprice       0.844690    0.015127  55.841 < 2e-16 ***
pages       -0.005824    0.001333  -4.369 1.69e-05 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 3.775 on 321 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.9086,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.908
F-statistic: 1595 on 2 and 321 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

If wanting to do a confident interval for the mean response in R, we do the following:

`predict(lm_data, new=x*, interval="confidence")`. Where `x*` is the new data points we're implementing. The `level` parameter sets the confidence level.

For a CI of the parameters we would have `confint(lm_data)`

In [ ]: