

Evidence-Based Medicine Educational subject description sheet

Basic information

| Department Faculty of Medicine | | Didactic cycle 2016/17 | |
|---|---|--|-----------------------|
| Field of study Medical Program | | Realization year 2019/20 | |
| Study level long-cycle master's degree program | | Lecture languages English | |
| Study form full-time | | Block obligatory for passing in the course of stud | lies |
| Education profile general academic | | Mandatory obligatory | |
| Disciplines Medical science | | Examination examination | |
| Subject related to scientific research Yes | | Standard group D. Behavioral and social sciences with elements of professionalism | |
| Subject coordinator | Anetta Undas, Wiktoria Leśniak | | |
| Lecturer | Filip Mejza, Miłosz Jankowski, Małgorzata Bała, Wiktoria Leśniak, Monika Piwowar, Joanna Żuk | | |
| Periods Semester 7, Semester 8 | Examination examination | | Number of ECTS points |

Activities and hours practical classes: 40

Goals

| C1 | To teach students about concepts and the language of evidence-based medicine that are necessary to effectively communicate with health care professionals and patients |
|----|--|
| C2 | To explain how to critically appraise evidence (primary studies, systematic reviews and clinical practice guidelines) on treatment, diagnosis and prognosis |
| С3 | To teach the basics of statistics |
| C4 | To encourage students to be critical while analyzing evidence and to gain skills necessary to learn and practice EBM |
| C5 | To make students aware of the problems related to misinterpretation of study results |

Subject's learning outcomes

| Code | Outcomes in terms of | Effects | Examination methods | |
|---|--|---------|------------------------------|--|
| Knowledge | Knowledge - Student knows and understands: | | | |
| W1 | basics of evidence-based medicine | D.W23 | written examination | |
| W2 | methods of conducting scientific research | O.W5 | written examination | |
| Skills - Stu | udent can: | | | |
| U1 | critically analyse medical literature, including in English, and draw conclusions | D.U17 | written examination, project | |
| U2 | critically evaluate the results of scientific research and adequately justify the position | O.U9 | project | |
| Social competences - Student is ready to: | | | | |
| K1 | use objective sources of information | O.K7 | project | |

Calculation of ECTS points

| Activity form | Activity hours* |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| seminar | 27 |
| classes | 9 |
| preparation of a paper | 5 |
| preparation for examination | 10 |
| information collection | 7 |
| preparation for classes | 2 |
| Student workload | Hours |
| Staucht Workload | 60 |
| Workload involving teacher | Hours 40 |

^{*} hour means 45 minutes

Study content

| No. | Course content | Subject's learning outcomes | Activities |
|-----|--|-----------------------------|------------|
| 1. | Philosophy of EBM, asking clinical questions, types of clinical studies used in efficacy assessment, concepts related to methodology of clinical studies (randomization, concealment of allocation, intention-to-treat analysis, completeness of follow-up, blinding), types of study design (parallel, cross-over, factorial design), clinically important and surrogate outcomes | W1 | seminar |
| 2. | Presentation of the study results and their interpretation (risk, RR, RRR, RRI, ARR, OR, HR, NNT, NNH), statistical significance and clinical relevance, p-values and confidence intervals | W1, U1 | seminar |
| 3. | Practical - critical appraisal of the articles about therapy and prevention | W1, U1, U2, K1 | seminar |
| 4. | Critical appraisal of diagnostic studies | W1, U1, U2, K1 | seminar |
| 5. | Systematic reviews, metaanalysis - glossary and critical appraisal | W1, U1, U2, K1 | seminar |
| 6. | Cochrane reviews, network metaanalysis. Reporting of clinical studies - randomized controlled trials (CONSORT), observational studies (STROBE) and diagnostic studies (STARD) | W1, W2, U1, U2, K1 | seminar |
| 7. | Misleading claims in medical research - analysis of examples of most common traps and mistakes. Clinical practice guidelines - glossary, critical appraisal (AGREE II Instrument), methodology used to develop valid guidelines (GRADE) | W1, U1, K1 | seminar |
| 8. | Valid sources of evidence, principles of searching and using medical databases | K1 | seminar |
| 9. | Basic statistics – descriptive statistics, comparison of two or more populations, relationship between two quantitative/qualitative measures, analysis of the example data | W2 | classes |
| 10. | Comparison of two or more populations: t test, paired t-test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) | W2 | classes |
| 11. | Relationship between two quantitative/ qualitative measures: correlation, simple linear regression, chisqure test | W2 | classes |
| 12. | Project presentation (asking the clinical question, searching for evidence, critical appraisal of the identified study and interpretation of its results) | U1, U2 | seminar |

Course advanced

Teaching methods:

case study, textual analysis, computer classes, discussion, group work, assignments solving, seminar, lecture with multimedia presentation

| Activities | Examination methods | Credit conditions |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| seminar | written examination | receiving at least 60% points |
| classes | project | preparing and presenting the project |

Entry requirements

knowledge of types of epidemiological studies; knowledge of pathophysiology and propedeutics of medicine; basic knowledge on the use of medicines; good English skills

Literature

Obligatory

- 1. Users' Guides to the Medical Literature: A Manual for Evidence-Based Clinical Practice. Guyatt G, Rennie D, Medea M, Cook D (editors). 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill Professional, 2015
- 2. http://ktclearinghouse.ca/cebm/intro

Optional

- 1. The GRADE Working Group: Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ. 2004; 328: 1490
- 2. Brignardello-Petersen R., Rochwerg B., Guyatt G.H.: What is a network meta-analysis and how can we use it to inform clinical practice? Pol Arch Med Wewn, 2014; 124 (12): 659–660

Standard effects

| Code | Content |
|-------|--|
| D.U17 | critically analyse medical literature, including in English, and draw conclusions |
| D.W23 | basics of evidence-based medicine |
| O.K7 | use objective sources of information |
| O.U9 | critically evaluate the results of scientific research and adequately justify the position |
| O.W5 | methods of conducting scientific research |