

Field Theory

Donghyun Park

January 21, 2026

1 Splitting Field and Simple extension

$f \in F[X]$, the field E splitting field of F then $E = F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ so not always equal to the $F[\alpha]$ for some root. Understanding following fundamental theorem is important

Proposition 1 ([Mil22] Proposition 2.1). *Let $F(\alpha)$ a simple extension of F and Ω a second extension of F . (a) Suppose α is transcendental over F . Then the **F -homomorphism** $\varphi : F(\alpha) \rightarrow \Omega$ and **Elements in Ω transcendental over F** has one-to-one correspondence.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{\textit{F-homomorphisms } } F(\alpha) \rightarrow \Omega &\longleftrightarrow \text{\textit{Elements of } } \Omega \text{\textit{ transcendental over } } F \\ \varphi &\longleftrightarrow \varphi(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose α is algebraic over F . Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence **F -homomorphisms** $\varphi : F[\alpha] \rightarrow \Omega$ and **Roots of f in Ω**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{\textit{F-homomorphisms } } \varphi : F[\alpha] \rightarrow \Omega &\longleftrightarrow \text{\textit{Roots of } } f \text{\textit{ in } } \Omega \\ \varphi &\longleftrightarrow \varphi(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

I think this proposition as some **Number of Freeness for extending fields**. We'll recover later.

Next, I want to mention **the** Splitting field. $f \in F[X]$, E is a splitting field if f splits in E and E is generated by roots of f .

By [Mil22] Proposition 2.1, the following holds.

Proposition 2 ([Mil22] Proposition 2.12). *$f \in F[X]$, E be an extension of F generated by roots of f in E and Ω be an extension of F splitting f . There exists at most $[E : F]$ numbers of F -homomorphism $\varphi : E \rightarrow \Omega$ and it equals to $[E : F]$ if f has distinct roots in Ω*

Proof is by inductively defining image of the roots of f . $E = F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m]$ then we choose minimal polynomial of α_1 in F . Then $F[\alpha_1] \rightarrow \Omega$ has degree of freedom $\deg f_1 = [F[\alpha_1] : F]$. Precede with $F[\alpha_1]$ instead of $F[\alpha]$... we have

$$[F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] : F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}]] \cdots [F[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] : F[\alpha_1]][F[\alpha_1] : F] = [E : F]$$

As a Corollary (Corollary 2.13 of [Mil22]), there exists an F -isomorphism between two splitting fields, by the above process. It is remarkable that **an isomorphism is not canonical**

Also we cannot just say $F[\alpha]$ generated by root of f . This only make sense when f is irreducible by Proposition 2.1 of [Mil22]. Also we cannot just say $F[\alpha, \beta]$ generated by two roots of f even if irreducible, because in $F[\alpha]$, f might be not irreducible and the choice of β might be subtle.

Some examples provides good toy models.

- Example 2.8 of [Mil22]: $f(X) = (X^p - 1)/(X - 1) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ then splitting field of f is just $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ since the other roots are $\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{p-1}$

- Example 2.9 of [Mil22]: F has characteristic $p \neq 0$, $f(X) = X^p - X - a$ where $a \in F$. If there exists some extension of F which root is α , the other roots are $\alpha + 1, \dots, \alpha + p - 1$ so Splitting field is $F[\alpha]$

2 Separability

Separability of the polynomial $f \in F[X]$ is amazingly, can be determined in F ! (Since the definition contains root of f in splitting field, more natural is separability determined in the splitting field)

Moreover, very brief criteria exists.

Proposition 3 ([Mil22] Proposition 2.20). *For a nonconstant irreducible polynomial f in $F[X]$, FSAE*

- (a) *f has a multiple root*
- (b) *$\gcd(f, f') \neq 1$*
- (c) *F has nonzero characteristic p and f is a polynomial in X^p*
- (d) *all the roots of f are multiple*

The fact that $\gcd(f, g)$ defined on $F[X]$ where $f, g \in F[X]$ is invariant over field extensions.

3 The Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory

The gist of the Galois theory is, for the galois extension E/F of field, all the subextensions $E \supset M \supset F$ is encoded by the subgroup of Galois group $\text{Gal}(E/F)$.

We call the extension E/F is Galois if it is finite (so must be algebraic), normal, and separable.

$\text{Gal}(E/F) = \text{Aut}(E/F)$, the automorphisms of E fixing F .

Theorem 1 ([Mil22] Theorem 3.10). *For an extension E/F , FSAE*

- (a) *E is the splitting field of a separable polynomial $f \in F[X]$*
- (b) *E is finite over F and $F = E^{\text{Aut}(E/F)}$*
- (c) *$F = E^G$ for some finite group G of automorphisms of E*
- (d) *E is Galois over F*

As an Corollary, if E/F is Galois with Galois group G then

$$[E : F] = (G : 1)$$

Now the gist of the Galois theory is in the next theorem

Theorem 2 (Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory). *Let E be a Galois extension of F with Galois group G . There exists a bijection between*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{subgroups of } H \text{ of } G &\longleftrightarrow \text{subextensions } F \subset M \subset E \\ H &\longleftrightarrow E^H \\ \text{Gal}(E/M) &\longleftrightarrow M \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

- (a) $H_1 \supset H_2 \Leftrightarrow E^{H_1} \subset E^{H_2}$
- (b) $(H_1 : H_2) = [E^{H_2} : E^{H_1}]$
- (c) $\sigma H \sigma^{-1} \leftrightarrow \sigma M$
- (d) $H \text{ normal in } G \Leftrightarrow E^H \text{ is Galois over } F$.

$$\text{Gal}(E^H/F) \simeq G/H$$

Now we can translate the problem on field extension to the group theory.

3.1 Examples

[Mil22] includes remarkable examples. First one is analyzing $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]/\mathbb{Q}$ where ζ is a primitive 7th root of unity. $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ is the splitting field of $X^7 - 1$. So $[\mathbb{Q}[\zeta] : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$, Galois of degree 6.

$\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]/\mathbb{Q})$ sends ζ to the root of minimal polynomial; ζ^i . So let $\sigma : \zeta \mapsto \zeta^3$ then it generates the Galois group. Galois group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$.

There are two intermediate subfields, each corresponding to $\{0, 3\}$ and $\{0, 2, 4\}$. To determine these fields, it is just $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]^H$ where H is a subgroup.

- (1) $H = \{0, 3\}$. $\sigma^3 \zeta = \zeta^{27} = \bar{\zeta}$ so one fixed element is $\zeta + \bar{\zeta}$.

$$\mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \supset \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]^{\langle \sigma^3 \rangle} \supset \mathbb{Q}[\zeta + \bar{\zeta}] \supsetneq \mathbb{Q}$$

By degree analysis, $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]^{\langle \sigma^3 \rangle} = \mathbb{Q}[\zeta + \bar{\zeta}]$. And since H is normal, this extension is Galois

- (2) $H = \{0, 2, 4\}$. $\sigma^2 \zeta = \zeta^2$ and $\sigma^4 \zeta = \zeta^4$ so

$$\mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \supset \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]^{\langle \sigma^2 \rangle} \supset \mathbb{Q}[\zeta + \zeta^2 + \zeta^4] \supsetneq \mathbb{Q}$$

By the degree analysis, $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]^{\langle \sigma^2 \rangle} = \mathbb{Q}[\zeta + \zeta^2 + \zeta^4]$ and since $(\beta - \sigma\beta)^2 = -7$, $\mathbb{Q}[\beta] \supset \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-7}]$ but by degree analysis, it is $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-7}]$

See also the [Mil22] Example 3.23.

Another example: $E = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{(2 + \sqrt{2})(3 + \sqrt{3})}]$ is Galois over \mathbb{Q} with Galois group the quaternion group.

4 Galois Groups of Polynomials

4.1 Discriminant

For $f \in F[X]$ separable and the splitting field F_f over F . $G_f = \text{Gal}(F_f/F)$
In F_f , if $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \alpha_i)$

$$\Delta(f) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$$

$$D(f) = \Delta(f)^2$$

As each Galois group permuting the roots of f , $\sigma\Delta(f) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)\Delta(f)$ and $\sigma D(f) = D(f)$ (Proposition 4.1 of [Mil22]).

Thus by the fundamental theorem of Galois theory, $D(f) \in F$ and if $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$, $G_f \subset A_n$ if and only if $\Delta(f) \in F$ or $D(f) \in F^2$

4.2 Transitivity

Each Galois element permutes the roots of irreducible parts. Conversely, $f(X) \in F[X]$ separable, irreducible then Galois group permutes roots transitively (Proposition 4.5 of [Mil22])

4.3 Degree 2 polynomial

F a field of odd characteristic and f not a square. Then

$$f \text{ irreducible} \Leftrightarrow D(f) \text{ not a square} \Leftrightarrow G_f = S_2$$

4.4 Degree 3 polynomial

F a field of $\text{char}(F) \neq 3$ and f irreducible, separable.

$G_f = A_3$ or S_3 , determined by whether $D(f)$ is a square or not.

- $X^3 - 3X + 1$, $D(f) = 9^2$, $G_f = A_3$

- $X^3 + 3X + 1$, $D(f) = -135$, $G_f = S_3$

4.5 Degree 4 polynomial

Read [Mil22] Chapter 4. Quartic polynomials. It classifies all possible Galois group.

4.6 Existence of polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ having Galois group S_p

Read [Mil22] Chapter 4. Examples of polynomials with S_p as Galois group over \mathbb{Q}

4.7 Dedekind's Theorem

Dedekind theorem provides Galois group of polynomial viewed as permuting roots must contain some element.

Theorem 3 ([Mil22] Theorem 4.28). $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ monic degree m . If p is a prime, f modulo p has only simple roots and $\bar{f} = \prod_{i=1}^r f_i$ which are irreducible with degree m_i in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$. Then G_f contains σ_f represented by cycle $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r$ with each cycle of length m_i

This proof is very beautiful, constructing **Frobenius Automorphism**

For E a finite Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} with Galois group G and \mathcal{O}_E be the ring of integers in E . P be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_E such that $P \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a unique element $\sigma_P \in G$ such that $\sigma_P P = P$ and $\sigma_P(a) \equiv a^p \pmod{P}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_E$. We call σ_P a Frobenius automorphism.

Why is this Frobenius automorphism important? Currently, I cannot give a full answer.

5 Finite Fields

E be a field of characteristic p . It contains subfield $\mathbb{F}_p = \{m1_E | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If E is a field of degree n over \mathbb{F}_p , $q = p^n$ elements. Then E is a splitting field for $X^q - X$.

In other words **Any two field with $q = p^n$ elements are isomorphic**

Proposition 4 ([Mil22] Proposition 4.19). *Every extension of finite fields is simple*

Proof. Using that for the finite field E , E^\times is a cyclic group. For field extension E/F , the generator of E^\times , ζ satisfies $E = F[\zeta]$ \square

Next, we can find the Galois group $Gal(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Proposition 5 ([Mil22] Proposition 4.20). *$Gal(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a cyclic group generated by the Frobenius automorphism $\sigma(a) = a^p$*

Proof. $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ fixed by σ is $a^p = a$ which are roots of $X^p - X = 0$ is exactly \mathbb{F}_p . By Galois theorem.

$$\langle \sigma \rangle = Gal(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p)$$

$$|Gal(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p)| = |\langle \sigma \rangle| = n = [\mathbb{F}_q : \mathbb{F}_p]$$

\square

So we can generate subgroup of $Gal(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p)$ by $\langle \sigma^{n/m} \rangle$ which gives a subfield of p^m elements.

Corollary 1 ([Mil22] Corollary 4.21). *E be a field with p^n elements. For every $m|n$, E contains exactly one field with p^m elements.*

Corollary 2 ([Mil22] Corollary 4.22). *$f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ be a monic irreducible of degree d . If $d|n$ then f occurs exactly once as a factor of $X^{p^n} - X$*

This gives us to find all the monic irreducible polynomials of \mathbb{F}_p . We can just look at factoring of $X^{p^n} - X$. From this, we can prove the existence of algebraic closure.

Proposition 6 ([Mil22] Proposition 4.24). *The field \mathbb{F}_p has an algebraic closure \mathbb{F}*

Proof. Motivation is Proposition 4.23 of [Mil22]. If such algebraic closure exists, then there exists only one copy of each \mathbb{F}_{p^n} and the relationship

$$\mathbb{F}_{p^m} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \iff m \mid n$$

holds.

So defining $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n!}}$ inductively by arguing $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n!}}$ be a splitting field of $X^{p^{n!}} - X$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{(n-1)!}}$

$$\mathbb{F} = \bigcup \mathbb{F}_{p^{n!}}$$

\square

6 Primitive Element Theorem

Theorem 4 ([Mil22] Theorem 5.1). *$E = F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r]$ be a finite extension of F and assume $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ are separable over F . Then there exists $\gamma \in E$ such that $E = F[\gamma]$*

We call this γ a primitive element.

From this, we can see that there are only finitely many intermediate fields.

Proposition 7 ([Mil22] Proposition 5.3). *$E = F[\gamma]$ simple algebraic extension of F . Then there exists only finitely many intermediate fields M ,*

$$F \subset M \subset E$$

Proof. The minimal polynomial of γ on $M[X]$ divides minimal polynomial on $F[X]$ \square

7 The normal basis theorem

Theorem 5 ([Mil22] Theorem 5.18). *Every Galois extension has a normal basis. That is, there exists a basis of form $\{\sigma\alpha \mid \sigma \in Gal(E/F)\}$ for $\alpha \in E$*

Proof is quite complicated. Read [Mil22] Chapter 5. The normal basis theorem section.

8 Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Theorem 6 ([Mil22] Theorem 5.6). \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed.

9 Cyclotomic extensions, Cyclic extensions, Kummer theorem

9.1 Cyclotomic extension

We consider the roots of unity.

Proposition 8 ([Mil22] Proposition 5.8). F be a field of characteristic not dividing n , E a splitting field of $X^n - 1$

- (a) There exists a primitive n th root of 1 in E .
- (b) $E = F[\zeta]$ for primitive n th root of unity ζ
- (c) E/F is Galois, and there exists an injective homomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(E/F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

But $\text{Gal}(E/F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is not always surjective. But it is true in $F = \mathbb{Q}$. The key is **cyclotomic polynomial** $\Phi_n(X) = \prod (X - \zeta)$.

Lemma 1 ([Mil22] Lemma 5.9). F be a field of characteristic not dividing n , ζ a primitive n th root of unity in some extension of F . FSAE

- (a) Φ_n irreducible
- (b) $[F[\zeta] : F] = \varphi(n)$
- (c) $\text{Gal}(F[\zeta]/F) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$

In $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, the cyclotomic polynomial is really irreducible.

9.2 Cyclic extensions

We want to classify all cyclic extensions of F . We assume F **contains primitive n -th root of unity**. Then every degree n extension is of following form.

Proposition 9 ([Mil22] Proposition 5.27). In the above setting, if $E = F[\alpha]$ where $\alpha^n \in F$ and no smaller power of α is in F . Then E/F is Galois with cyclic Galois group of order n . Conversely, if E is a cyclic extension of F of degree n , then $E = F[\alpha]$ for $\alpha^n \in F$

Proof. The key idea and the importance of the condition: F **containing primitive root of unity** is, (in converse direction) σ generating G and ζ primitive n -th root of unity,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta^i \sigma^i$$

is nonzero function (Dedekind's character theorem) so

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta^i \sigma^i \gamma \neq 0$$

satisfies $\sigma\alpha = \zeta^{-1}\alpha$ □

And these extensions are differ by

Proposition 10 ([Mil22] Proposition 5.28). Two cyclic extensions of degree n , $F[a^{\frac{1}{n}}], F[b^{\frac{1}{n}}]$ in common field Ω are equal iff a, b generates the same subgroup of $F^\times / F^{\times n}$

9.3 Kummer theory

More generally, we want to classify all extensions of F (containing primitive n -th root of unity) whose Galois group is abelian of exponent n . (i.e. Every $g \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$, $g^n = 1$ and n is the smallest number satisfying. So this group is isomorphic to a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r$)

By the Hilbert's Theorem 90 (Read [Mil22] Chapter 5. Hilbert's theorem 90)

Theorem 7 ([Mil22] Theorem 5.30). *The map $E \mapsto F^\times \cap E^{\times n}$ defines 1-1 correspondence*
(a) Finite abelian extensions of F of exponent n contained in some fixed algebraic closure Ω of F
(b) Subgroups B of F^\times containing $F^{\times n}$ as a subgroup of finite index.
The inverse map is $B \mapsto F[B^{\frac{1}{n}}]$ which is the smallest subfield of Ω containing F and an n -th root of every element of B . Moreover

$$[E : F] = (B : F^{\times n})$$

This again inherits **Galois philosophy**. We can find abelian extensions of exponent n by finding groups. Main idea is the isomorphism

$$F^\times \cap E^{\times n} / F^{\times n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(E/F), \mu_n)$$

established by the Hilbert's Theorem 90. If E/F is abelian extension of exponent n ,

$$(F^\times \cap E^{\times n} : F^{\times n}) = |\text{Hom}(G, \mu_n)| = |(G : 1)| = [E : F]$$

10 Galois Solvability Theorem

Another central theorem : that the solvable by radicals in field can be move on to solvability of group is Galois's solvability theorem. Read [Mil22] Chapter 5, Proof of Galois's solvability theorem.

References

[Mil22] James S. Milne. *Fields and Galois Theory (v5.10)*. Available at www.jmilne.org/math/. 2022.