

First Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Last Name: \_\_\_\_\_

## **Sample Test #1 — CSc 422, Fall 2017**

This is a 50 minute exam, and contains 6 pages and four questions worth 15 points each

Answer **THREE** of the four questions.

Either leave one question blank, or mark one question Do Not Grade.

It is a closed-book exam. Calculators and foreign-language-to-English dictionaries are allowed. Put your answers in the space provided here. Show your work.

You may use one sheet of paper, each no larger than 8.5" x 11", with notes written in your own handwriting. The notes may be on both sides of the paper. Turn in the notes page with your test.

You must explain your answers or show how you arrived at them. This is required for full credit and is helpful for partial credit.

**1. (15 points)** — Consider the following variation on the producer-consumer problem:

Assume one producer process and  $N$  consumer processes share a single buffer that can hold one item. The producer deposits an item into the buffer. Each of the consumers then fetches a copy of the item. Each item deposited by the producer has to be fetched (copied) by all  $N$  consumers before the producer can deposit another item into the buffer. Develop a solution for this variation of the producer-consumer problem using semaphores for synchronization.

**2. (15 points)** — The first printing of the textbook has the following for the two-process, tie-breaker algorithm (also known as “Peterson’s Algorithm”):

<pre>bool in1 = false, in2 = false; int last = 1;</pre>	
<pre>process CS1 {     while (true) {         last = 1;         in1 = true;         while (in2 and last == 1)             skip;         critical section         in1 = false;         non-critical section     } # while } # CS1</pre>	<pre>process CS2 {     while (true) {         last = 2;         in2 = true;         while (in1 and last == 2)             skip;         critical section         in2 = false;         non-critical section     } # while } # CS2</pre>

The difference between the above printing and the correct solution is in the order of the assignments to **last** and **in1** and **in2**. The correct solution has the assignment to **last** after the assignment to **in1** or **in2**.

Explain why the incorrect solution is incorrect.

**3. (15 points)** — Consider the following three statements:

**S1:**  $x = x + y;$

**S2:**  $y = x - y;$

**S3:**  $x = x - y;$

Assume that  $x$  is initially **2** and that  $y$  is initially **5**. For each of the following, what are the possible final values of  $x$  and  $y$ ? Explain your answers.

a.)

**S1; S2; S3;**

b.)

**co** < **S1;** >

**//** < **S2;** >

**//** < **S3;** >

**oc**

c.)

**co** < **await (x > y) S1; S3;** >

**//** < **S2;** >

**oc**

**4. (15 points)** — There are two parts to this question. Part b.) is on the next page.

Consider the following Readers/Writers solution (taken from the lecture slides). The solution is a “Reader’s Preference” solution.

a.) (5 points) Modify the solution to make it a “Writer’s Preference” solution.

```
int nr = 0, nw = 0
sem e = 1, r = 0, w = 0;
int dr = 0, dw = 0;
```

```
process Reader[i = 1 to M] {
    while ( true ) {
        # <await (nw == 0)
        nr = nr + 1; >
        P(e); # Pick up baton
        if ( nw > 0 ) {
            dr = dr + 1;
            V(e); P(r);
        }
        nr = nr + 1;
        if ( dr > 0 ) {
            dr = dr - 1;
            V(r);
        }
        else
            V(e);
        read the database;
        # < nr = nr - 1; >
        P(e); # Pick up baton
        nr = nr - 1;
        if ( nr == 0 and dw > 0 ) {
            dw = dw - 1;
            V(w);
        }
        else
            V(e);
    } # while
} # Reader
```

```
process Writer[j = 1 to N] {
    while ( true ) {
        # < await (nr == 0 and
        nw == 0)
        nw = nw + 1; >
        P(e); # Pick up baton
        if ( nr > 0 or nw > 0 ) {
            dw = dw + 1;
            V(e); P(w);
        }
        nw = nw + 1;
        V(e);
        write the database;
        # < nw = nw - 1; >
        P(e); # Pick up baton
        nw = nw - 1;
        if ( dr > 0 ) {
            dr = dr - 1;
            V(r);
        }
        elseif ( dw > 0 ) {
            dw = dw - 1;
            V(w);
        }
        else
            V(e);
    }
}
```

b.) (10 points) This is the same “Reader’s Preference” solution.

Modify this to alternate between Reader’s and Writer’s as follows: Reader’s have preference until 5 readers have entered the database. Once 5 readers have entered, preference is given to writers until one writer has entered the database.

Note: if there are only readers and no writers, all readers should get in; and if there are only writers and no readers, all writers should get in.

<pre> int nr = 0, nw = 0 sem e = 1, r = 0, w = 0; int dr = 0, dw = 0; </pre>	
<pre> process Reader[i = 1 to M] {     while ( true ) {         # &lt;await (nw == 0)         nr = nr + 1; &gt;         P(e); # Pick up baton         if ( nw &gt; 0 ) {             dr = dr + 1;             V(e); P(r);         }         nr = nr + 1;         if ( dr &gt; 0 ) {             dr = dr - 1;             V(r);         }         else             V(e);         read the database;         # &lt; nr = nr - 1; &gt;         P(e); # Pick up baton         nr = nr - 1;         if ( nr == 0 and dw &gt; 0 ) {             dw = dw - 1;             V(w);         }         else             V(e);     } # while } # Reader </pre>	<pre> process Writer[j = 1 to N] {     while ( true ) {         # &lt; await (nr == 0 and         nw == 0)         nw = nw + 1; &gt;         P(e); # Pick up baton         if ( nr &gt; 0 or nw &gt; 0 ) {             dw = dw + 1;             V(e); P(w);         }         nw = nw + 1;         V(e);         write the database;         # &lt; nw = nw - 1; &gt;         P(e); # Pick up baton         nw = nw - 1;         if ( dr &gt; 0 ) {             dr = dr - 1;             V(r);         }         elseif ( dw &gt; 0 ) {             dw = dw - 1;             V(w);         }         else             V(e);     } } </pre>

## Solutions to Sample Test #1 — CSc 422, Fall 2017

**1. (15 points)** — Consider the following variation on the producer-consumer problem:

Assume one producer process and **N** consumer processes share a single buffer that can hold one item. The producer deposits an item into the buffer. Each of the consumers then fetches a copy of the item. Each item deposited by the producer has to be fetched (copied) by all **N** consumers before the producer can deposit another item into the buffer. Develop a solution for this variation of the producer-consumer problem using semaphores for synchronization.

Solution:

```
sem full[N] = ([N] 0)  # create N semaphores, set each to 1  
sem empty = N;  # only need one of these, set to N
```

Producer:

```
while (true) {  
    Produce an item  
    for (i = 1 to n)  
        P(empty);  
    put item in buffer  
    for (i = 1 to n)  
        V(full[i]);  
} # while
```

Consumer[id = 1 to n]:

```
while (true) {  
    P(full[id]);  
    get item from buffer  
    V(empty);  
    Consume item  
}
```

**2. (15 points)** — The first printing of the textbook has the following for the two-process, tie-breaker algorithm (also known as “Peterson’s Algorithm”):

<pre>bool in1 = false, in2 = false; int last = 1;</pre>	
<pre>process CS1 {     while (true) {         last = 1;         in1 = true;         while (in2 and last == 1)             skip;         critical section         in1 = false;         non-critical section     } # while } # CS1</pre>	<pre>process CS2 {     while (true) {         last = 2;         in2 = true;         while (in1 and last == 2)             skip;         critical section         in2 = false;         non-critical section     } # while } # CS2</pre>

The difference between the above printing and the correct solution is in the order of the assignments to **last** and **in1** and **in2**. The correct solution has the assignment to **last** after the assignment to **in1** or **in2**.

Explain why the incorrect solution is incorrect.

Solution:

To show the solution is incorrect, it is sufficient to show (at least) one situation where the solution fails.

CS1 executes **last = 1**

CS2 executes **last = 2**

CS2 executes **in2 = true**

CS2 executes **while (in1 and last == 2)**. The condition is false, since **in1** is false. The **while** loop exits and CS2 enters the critical section.

CS1 executes **in1 = true**

CS1 executes **while (in2 and last == 1)**. The condition is false, since **last** is 2. The **while** loop exits and CS1 enters the critical section.

Both processes are now in the critical section.



**3. (15 points)** — Consider the following three statements:

**S1:**  $x = x + y;$

**S2:**  $y = x - y;$

**S3:**  $x = x - y;$

Assume that  $x$  is initially **2** and that  $y$  is initially **5**. For each of the following, what are the possible final values of  $x$  and  $y$ ? Explain your answers.

a.)

**S1; S2; S3;**

b.)

**co < S1; >**

**// < S2; >**

**// < S3; >**

**oc**

c.)

**co < await (x > y) S1; S3; >**

**// < S2; >**

**oc**

Solution:

a.)  $x = 2 + 5 = 7; y = 7 - 5 = 2; x = 7 - 2 = 5$ . Thus,  $x = 5, y = 2$ .

b.) There are 6 possible sequences to consider:

S1; S2; S3: this is the answer from part a.  $x = 5, y = 2$ .

S1; S3; S2:  $x = 2 + 5 = 7; x = 7 - 5 = 2; y = 2 - 5 = -3$ . Thus,  $x = 2, y = -3$ .

S2; S1; S3:  $y = 2 - 5 = -3; x = 2 + -3 = -1; x = -1 - -3 = 2$ . Thus,  $x = 2, y = -3$ .

S2; S3; S1:  $y = 2 - 5 = -3; x = 2 - -3 = 5; x = 5 + -3 = 2$ . Thus,  $x = 2, y = -3$ .

S3; S1; S2:  $x = 2 - 5 = -3; x = -3 + 5 = 2; y = 2 - 5 = -3$ . Thus,  $x = 2, y = -3$ .

S3; S2; S1:  $x = 2 - 5 = -3; y = -3 - 5 = -8; x = -3 + -8 = -11$ . Thus,  $x = -11, y = -8$ .

c.) Initially, the first arm of the **co** is blocked, since  $2 > 5$  is false. The second arm of the **co** executes and computes:  $y = 2 - 5 = -3$ . The first arm of the **co** will now find  $x > y$  is true, since  $2 > -3$  is true. S1 and S3 are executed in that order. S1 sets  $x = 2 + -3 = -1$ . S3 sets  $x = -1 - -3 = +2$ . Thus, the final values are:

$x = 2$

$y = -3$

**4. (15 points)** — There are two parts to this question. Part b.) is on the back of this page.

Consider the following Readers/Writers solution (taken from the lecture slides). The solution is a “Reader’s Preference” solution.

a.) **(5 points)** Modify the solution to make it a “Writer’s Preference” solution.

b.) **(10 points)** This is the same “Reader’s Preference” solution. Modify this to alternate between Reader’s and Writer’s as follows: Reader’s have preference until 5 readers have entered the database. Once 5 readers have entered, preference is given to writers until one writer has entered the database. Note: if there are only readers and no writers, all readers should get in; and if there are only writers and no readers, all writers should get in.

Solution:

a.)

```
int nr = 0, nw = 0
sem e = 1, r = 0, w = 0;
int dr = 0, dw = 0;
```

```
process Reader[i = 1 to M] {
  while ( true ) {
    # <await (nw == 0)
      nr = nr + 1; >
    P(e); # Pick up baton
    if ( nw > 0 or dw > 0 ) {
      dr = dr + 1;
      V(e); P(r);
    }
    nr = nr + 1;
    if ( dr > 0
      && dw == 0 ) {
      dr = dr - 1;
      V(r);
    }
    else
      V(e);
    read the database;
    # < nr = nr - 1; >
    P(e); # Pick up baton
    nr = nr - 1;
    if ( nr == 0 and dw > 0 ) {
      dw = dw - 1;
      V(w);
    }
    else
      V(e);
  } # while
} # Reader
```

```
process Writer[j = 1 to N] {
  while ( true ) {
    # < await (nr == 0 and
      nw == 0)
      nw = nw + 1; >
    P(e); # Pick up baton
    if ( nr > 0 or nw > 0 ) {
      dw = dw + 1;
      V(e); P(w);
    }
    nw = nw + 1;
    V(e);
    write the database;
    # < nw = nw - 1; >
    P(e); # Pick up baton
    nw = nw - 1;
    if ( dw > 0 ) {
      dw = dw - 1;
      V(w);
    }
    elseif ( dr > 0 ) {
      dr = dr - 1;
      V(r);
    }
    else
      V(e);
  }
}
```

b.)

```
int nr = 0, nw = 0
sem e = 1, r = 0, w = 0;
int dr = 0, dw = 0;
```

```
int ReaderCount = 0;
```

```
process Reader[i = 1 to M] {
  while ( true ) {
    # <await (nw == 0)
      nr = nr + 1; >
    P(e); # Pick up baton
    if ( nw > 0 ||
      (ReaderCount >= 5 &&
        dw > 0) ) {
      dr = dr + 1;
      V(e); P(r);
    }
    nr = nr + 1;
    ReaderCount++;
    if ( dr > 0 &&
      (ReaderCount < 5 ||
        dw == 0) ) {
      dr = dr - 1;
      V(r);
    }
    else
      V(e);
    read the database;
    # < nr = nr - 1; >
    P(e); # Pick up baton
    nr = nr - 1;
    if ( nr == 0 and dw > 0 ) {
      dw = dw - 1;
      V(w);
    }
    else
      V(e);
  } # while
} # Reader
```

```
process Writer[j = 1 to N] {
  while ( true ) {
    # < await (nr == 0 and
      nw == 0)
      nw = nw + 1; >
    P(e); # Pick up baton
    if ( nr > 0 or nw > 0 ) {
      dw = dw + 1;
      V(e); P(w);
    }
    nw = nw + 1;
    ReaderCount = 0;
    V(e);
    write the database;
    # < nw = nw - 1; >
    P(e); # Pick up baton
    nw = nw - 1;
    if ( dr > 0 ) {
      dr = dr - 1;
      V(r);
    }
    elseif ( dw > 0 ) {
      dw = dw - 1;
      V(w);
    }
    else
      V(e);
  }
}
```