

1. Clearly describe your training and test data size and sampling methods, and how you trained the models. Report the best hyper-parameters leading to the best classification accuracy and explain how and why. Also show the best accuracy. For each sets of tested hyper-parameters, show the accuracy and the time for training.

For SVM:

Training data size is 60000, and the test data size is 10000.

The best accuracy for this test data is 0.9787.

The hyper-parameters for this accuracy is: kernel = “poly”.

accuracy	Train time	Gamma	C	kernel
<b>0.9787</b>	300s			poly
0.9724	473s	0.000686	25.6	rbf
0.9141	2990s	0.007	3	rbf
0.9427	607s	0.00001	30	rbf
0.9718	408s	0.0005	50	rbf
0.9711	385s	0.0004	60	rbf
0.961278	636s	'scale'	1	rbf
0.9536	952	'scale'	0.5	rbf
0.91783	508s	'scale'	2	linear
0.9226	598	'scale'	0.5	linear
0.7927	11s			LinearSVC

To get the best classification accuracy by using kernel “rbf”, is because of the data don't have the linear relationship, so if use linear method to classify it is not the best way. And a larger coefficient indicates that the model is more tolerant of classification errors, and the result may not accuracy. And use the coefficient in default can get the best accuracy. SVM use much time than ANN.

For ANN:

Training data size is 60000, and the test data size is 10000.

The best accuracy for test data is 0.947.

The hyper-parameters for this accuracy is:

solver='Adam', activation='relu', alpha=0.0001, hidden\_layer\_sizes=(130,100,100,10)

accuracy	Train time	solver	activation	alpha	hidden_layer_sizes	learning_rate_init
<b>0.947</b>	139s	Adam	relu	0.0001	(130,100,100,10)	
0.9352	195s	Adam	relu	0.0001	(130,130,100,100,10)	
0.1028	170s	sgd	relu	0.0001	(130,130,100,100,10)	0.1
0.8516	87.58s	sgd	logistic	0.0001	(100,100,10)	0.1
0.1135	80.8s	sgd	relu	0.0001	(100,100,10)	0.1
0.9325	132s	Adam	relu	0.0001	(130,130,100,10)	
0.9304	93s	Adam	relu	0.0001	(100,100,10)	
0.8336	146s	Adam	logistic	0.005	(20,20)	

To get the best classification accuracy by using optimizer “Adam”, and use five hidden layer which has 130,100,100,10. Adam makes the learning rate of each iteration has a certain range, so that the parameters are relatively stable. 4 layers can learn more parameter

to make the model better. But too much layer, the time will increase more and the accuracy may not be better.

2. For the best trained models of SVM and ANN, design experiments to investigate how the training data size affects the accuracy of both models. Which models are more sensitive to the training data size? Clearly describe your experimental design, accuracy change/comparison, and also training time change with increased training set size.

In my experiment, I will increase the training set in each epoch, and in some epoch, use the same training data size but different number of testing data size to check if the accuracy will be change. I also record the train loss in my ANN models in each epoch and check whether the loss keep decreasing.

For SVM:

Train Size	Test Size	Accuracy	Train Time
500	10000	0.8253	3.39s
1000	10000	0.8865	4.0234s
3000	10000	0.931	6.7479s
5000	2000	0.921	10.3277s
5000	10000	0.9421	10.3277s
10000	4000	0.9445	23.292s
36000	5000	0.9684	143s
48000	8000	0.97575	228s
48000	10000	0.9778	228s
60000	10000	0.9787	300s

For ANN:

Train Size	Test Size	Train Loss	Accuracy	Train Time
500	200	0.0009456	0.595	7.07s
1000	500	0.00080	0.54	7.82s
3000	200	0.0004778	0.67	12.139s
3000	1000	0.000477	0.671	12.70s
5000	2000	0.000423	0.7095	13.386s
5000	3000	0.0004232	0.713	14.177
10000	4000	0.00028091	0.82275	20.26285
36000	5000	0.00029821	0.932	82.93180
48000	8000	0.009842	0.9092	70.57469
48000	10000	0.009842	0.9172	69.411073
60000	10000	0.0068	0.947	136.2963

The result is: ANN are more sensitive to the training data size.

3. Design experiments to show how the distribution of training data sizes affect the accuracy, recall, and precision of both models. For example, if you make the training data highly imbalanced, how would this affect the recall and precision of each class,

particularly the class with small training sets? For this problem, you need to report both the accuracy and also the recall/precision for each class. Clearly describe your experimental design and results.

In my experiment, I will arrange 10 times to test first, for different number I will arrange only 20 instance as train data size, and at the same time other numbers will arrange 5000 instance as train data size. For each epoch, I will record the accuracy, time, precision and recall data.

In ANN model, After 10 times test, I will increase the number of less training data size from 20 to 100, 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, and check the result.

For SVM:

Train size of amount for 20	Train size of amount for 5000	Test Size	Accuracy	Train Time
0	1-9	10000	0.9292	189s
1	0, 2-9	10000	0.9529	201s
2	0-1,3-9	10000	0.9016	174s
3	0-2,4-9	10000	0.9179	168s
4	0-3,5-9	10000	0.9196	193s
5	0-4,6-9	10000	0.9114	183s
6	0-5,7-9	10000	0.927	188s
7	0-6,8-9	10000	0.9152	180s
8	0-7,9	10000	0.916	165s
9	0-8	10000	0.9064	177s

For 0:

```
conf_mat:
[[ 469   0  95   1   4  143  198   3  15  52]
 [  126  2   1   1   0   3   0   2   0]
 [   1 1012   0   2   2   5   7   3   0]
 [   2   1  986   0   7   0   5   6   3]
 [   0   3   0  967   0   3   0   0   9]
 [   0   1  111   1  866   6   0   4   3]
 [   0   5   1   0   3   8  939   0   2   0]
 [   0  128   1   0   0   0 1001   0   6]
 [   0   1   1   8   3   5   1   2  950   3]
 [   0   6   3   4   8   5   1   4   2  976]]

precision    recall  f1-score   support

0             1.00      0.48      0.65      980
1             0.98      0.99      0.98     1135
2             0.90      0.98      0.94     1032
3             0.97      0.98      0.98     1010
4             0.98      0.98      0.98      982
5             0.84      0.97      0.90      892
6             0.81      0.98      0.89      958
7             0.98      0.97      0.98     1028
8             0.97      0.98      0.97      974
9             0.93      0.97      0.95     1009

micro avg     0.93      0.93      0.93    10000
macro avg     0.93      0.93      0.92    10000
weighted avg  0.94      0.93      0.92    10000
```

For 2:

For 1:

```
conf_mat:
[[ 972   0   1   1   0   3   1   0   2   0]
 [   0 861  23  10  12  22  11 127  69   0]
 [   7   0 1008   0   2   0   5   7   3   0]
 [   0   0   1  986   0   9   0   5   6   3]
 [   3   0   2   0  965   0   3   0   0   9]
 [   3   0   1  101   1  864   5   1   4   3]
 [   4   0   1   0   3   9  938   0   3   0]
 [   0   0   9   2   2   0   0 1009   0   6]
 [   7   0   1   7   3   4   1   2  946   3]
 [   1   0   3   4   8   4   1   6   2  980]]

precision    recall  f1-score   support

0             0.97      0.99      0.98      980
1             1.00      0.76      0.86     1135
2             0.96      0.98      0.97     1032
3             0.97      0.98      0.97     1010
4             0.97      0.98      0.98      982
5             0.94      0.97      0.96      892
6             0.97      0.98      0.98      958
7             0.87      0.98      0.92     1028
8             0.91      0.97      0.94      974
9             0.98      0.97      0.97     1009

micro avg     0.95      0.95      0.95    10000
macro avg     0.95      0.96      0.95    10000
weighted avg  0.96      0.95      0.95    10000
```

For 3:

```

conf mat:
[[ 972    0    0    1    0    3    2    0    2    0]
 [  68 1126    0    3    1    0    3    0    2    0]
 [  68   230 288    61    3  65  82 152  72]
 [   0    2    0 987    0    7    0    5    6    3]
 [   2    0    0    0 967    0    4    0    0    9]
 [   3    0    0 10    1 865    5    1    4    3]
 [   4    5    1    0    3    6 937    0    2    0]
 [   0 13    1    1    0    0 1008    0    0    5]
 [   7    1    0    7    3    4    1    2 946    3]
 [   1    6    0    4    8    5    1    4    2 978]]

      precision      recall  f1-score      support

0         0.92         0.99         0.95         980
1         0.92         0.99         0.95        1135
2         0.99         0.22         0.36        1032
3         0.76         0.98         0.85        1010
4         0.93         0.98         0.95         982
5         0.97         0.97         0.97         892
6         0.92         0.98         0.95         958
7         0.91         0.98         0.95        1028
8         0.85         0.97         0.91         974
9         0.97         0.97         0.97        1009

micro avg         0.90         0.90         0.90        10000
macro avg         0.91         0.90         0.88        10000
weighted avg         0.91         0.90         0.88        10000

```

For 4:

conf mat:											
[	972	0	1	1	0	3	1	0	2	0]	
	0	1126	2	1	0	0	3	1	2	0]	
	7	1	1008	0	0	0	6	7	3	0]	
	0	2	1	986	0	7	0	5	6	3]	
	12	11	22	2	367	3	32	41	14	478]	
	3	0	1	10	0	864	5	2	4	3]	
	4	6	1	0	0	6	938	0	2	1]	
	0	11	8	1	0	0	0	1003	0	5]	
	7	1	1	3	7	1	4	1	3	946	3]
	1	6	3	4	0	4	2	4	2	983]	
			precision		recall		f1-score		support		
	0		0.97		0.99		0.98		980		
	1		0.97		0.99		0.98		1135		
	2		0.96		0.98		0.97		1032		
	3		0.97		0.98		0.98		1010		
	4		1.00		0.97		0.54		982		
	5		0.97		0.97		0.97		892		
	6		0.95		0.98		0.96		958		
	7		0.94		0.98		0.96		1028		
	8		0.96		0.97		0.97		974		
	9		0.67		0.97		0.79		1009		
	micro avg		0.92		0.92		0.92		10000		
	macro avg		0.94		0.92		0.91		10000		
	weighted avg		0.94		0.92		0.91		10000		

For 6:

cont mat:										
[	972	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	2	0]
[	0	1127	2	1	1	2	0	0	2	0]
[	8	1	1011	0	2	0	0	7	3	0]
[	0	2	1	986	0	7	0	5	6	3]
[	4	0	3	0	966	0	0	0	0	9]
[	3	0	2	10	1	868	0	1	4	3]
[	98	12	92	1	182	115	417	0	38	3]
[	0	12	8	1	0	0	0	1001	0	6]
[	7	1	1	7	3	4	0	2	946	3]
[	2	6	3	4	8	4	0	4	2	976]]
			precision		recall		f1-score		support	
	0		0.89		0.99		0.94		980	
	1		0.97		0.99		0.98		1135	
	2		0.90		0.98		0.94		1032	
	3		0.98		0.98		0.98		1010	
	4		0.83		0.98		0.90		982	
	5		0.87		0.97		0.92		892	
	6		1.00		0.44		0.61		958	
	7		0.98		0.97		0.98		1028	
	8		0.94		0.97		0.96		974	
	9		0.97		0.97		0.97		1009	
	micro avg		0.93		0.93		0.93		10000	
	macro avg		0.93		0.92		0.92		10000	
	weighted avg		0.93		0.93		0.92		10000	

For 8:

conf. mat:										
[[	972	0	1	0	0	2	2	1	2	0]
[	0	1126	3	0	1	0	3	0	2	0]
[	7	1	1007	0	2	0	5	7	3	0]
[	4	7	105	373	0	238	0	26	218	39]
[	3	0	2	0	965	0	3	0	0	9]
[	3	0	1	0	1	872	5	1	6	3]
[	4	5	1	0	3	6	937	0	2	0]
[	0	12	9	0	0	0	1001	0	0	6]
[	7	1	1	0	3	6	1	3	949	3]
[	1	6	3	0	8	5	1	5	3	977]]
			precision			recall		f1-score		support
	0		0.97			0.99		0.98		980
	1		0.97			0.99		0.98		1135
	2		0.89			0.98		0.93		1022
	3		1.00			0.37		0.54		1010
	4		0.98			0.98		0.98		982
	5		0.77			0.98		0.86		892
	6		0.98			0.98		0.98		958
	7		0.96			0.97		0.97		1028
	8		0.80			0.97		0.88		974
	9		0.94			0.97		0.96		1009
	micro avg		0.92			0.92		0.92		10000
	macro avg		0.93			0.92		0.91		10000
weighted avg			0.93			0.92		0.91		10000

For 5:

conf mat:									
	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	3	0
[[ 973	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	3	0]
0 1125	2	1	1	0	4	0	2	0]	
6 1 1009	0	1	0	5	7	3	0]		
0 2 1	992	0	0	0	5	7	3]		
3 0 2	0	965	0	3	0	0	9]		
26 17 5	344	13	181	38	4	214	50]		
4 5 3	0	2	0	942	0	2	0]		
0 12 8	1	0	0	1001	0	0	6]		
7 1 1	6	4	0	1	2	949	3]		
2 7 2	5	8	0	1	4	3	977]]		
	precision	recall	f1-score	support					
0	0.95	0.99	0.97	980					
1	0.96	0.99	0.98	1135					
2	0.98	0.98	0.98	1032					
3	0.73	0.98	0.84	1010					
4	0.97	0.98	0.98	982					
5	1.00	0.20	0.34	892					
6	0.95	0.98	0.96	958					
7	0.98	0.97	0.98	1028					
8	0.80	0.97	0.88	974					
9	0.93	0.97	0.95	1009					
micro avg	0.91	0.91	0.91	10000					
macro avg	0.93	0.90	0.89	10000					
weighted avg	0.93	0.91	0.89	10000					

For 7:

conf mat:										
[[	972	0	1	1	0	2	1	0	2	1]
	0	1125	3	1	1	0	3	0	2	0]
	7	2	1009	0	3	0	5	1	4	1]
	0	2	1	989	0	7	0	0	6	5]
	3	0	2	0	964	0	3	0	0	10]
	3	0	1	10	1	865	5	0	4	3]
	4	5	1	0	3	6	937	0	2	0]
	4	41	137	85	27	5	0	365	8	356]
	7	1	1	7	3	4	1	1	946	3]
	1	6	3	4	8	4	1	0	2	980]
			precision		recall		f1-score		support	
		0	0.97		0.99		0.98		980	
		1	0.95		0.99		0.97		1135	
		2	0.87		0.98		0.92		1032	
		3	0.90		0.98		0.94		1010	
		4	0.95		0.98		0.97		982	
		5	0.97		0.97		0.97		892	
		6	0.98		0.98		0.98		958	
		7	0.99		0.36		0.52		1028	
		8	0.97		0.97		0.97		974	
		9	0.72		0.97		0.83		1009	
	micro avg		0.92		0.92		0.92		10000	
	macro avg		0.93		0.92		0.90		10000	
	weighted avg		0.93		0.92		0.90		10000	

For 9:

```

conf_mat:
[[ 972  0  1  2  0  3  2  0  0  0]
 [  0 1128  2  1  1  0  3  0  0  0]
 [  7  3 1006  1  2  0  5  7  1  0]
 [  0  2  2 989  0  7  0  5  0  5]
 [  3  0  2  0 965  0  3  0  0  9]
 [  3  0  1  8  1 869  5  1  0  4]
 [  4  5  0  0  3  6 940  0  0  0]
 [  0 11  8  2  0  0  0 1001  0  6]
 [ 31 18 105 259 25 96 27 8 311 94]
 [  1  6  3  3  8  4  1  4  0 979]]

      precision    recall  f1-score   support

 0         0.95      0.99      0.97       980
 1         0.96      0.99      0.98      1135
 2         0.89      0.97      0.93      1032
 3         0.78      0.98      0.87      1010
 4         0.96      0.98      0.97       982
 5         0.88      0.97      0.93       892
 6         0.95      0.98      0.97       958
 7         0.98      0.97      0.97      1028
 8         1.00      0.32      0.48       974
 9         0.89      0.97      0.93      1009

 micro avg      0.92      0.92      0.92     10000
 macro avg      0.92      0.91      0.90     10000
weighted avg      0.93      0.92      0.90     10000

```

```

conf_mat:
[[ 972  0  1  1  0  3  1  0  2  0]
 [  0 1126  2  1  1  0  3  0  2  0]
 [  7  2 1006  0  2  0  5  7  3  0]
 [  0  2  1 989  0  7  0  5  6  0]
 [  3  0  2  0 973  0  3  1  0  0]
 [  3  0  1 10  1 868  5  0  4  0]
 [  4  5  1  0  3  6 937  0  2  0]
 [  1 12  8  1  1  0  0 1005  0  0]
 [  7  1  1  7  3  4  1  2 948  0]
 [ 11 10  5 41 464 11 1 190 36 240]]

      precision    recall  f1-score   support

 0         0.96      0.99      0.98       980
 1         0.97      0.99      0.98      1135
 2         0.98      0.97      0.98      1032
 3         0.94      0.98      0.96      1010
 4         0.67      0.99      0.80       982
 5         0.97      0.97      0.97       892
 6         0.98      0.98      0.98       958
 7         0.83      0.98      0.90      1028
 8         0.95      0.97      0.96       974
 9         1.00      0.24      0.38      1009

 micro avg      0.91      0.91      0.91     10000
 macro avg      0.93      0.91      0.89     10000
weighted avg      0.93      0.91      0.89     10000

```

For ANN:

Train size of amount for 20	Train size of amount for 5000	Test Size	Accuracy	Train Time
0	1-9	10000	0.847	33.065
1	0, 2-9	10000	0.8044	40.224
2	0-1,3-9	10000	0.8344	34.74
3	0-2,4-9	10000	0.8625	30.956
4	0-3,5-9	10000	0.8137	40.306
5	0-4,6-9	10000	0.8546	31.180
6	0-5,7-9	10000	0.849	37.856
7	0-6,8-9	10000	0.8468	34.474
8	0-7,9	10000	0.8758	54.465
9	0-8	10000	0.8555	37.9898

The training data amount of 0	Train size of amount for 5000	Test Size	Accuracy	Time
20	1-9	10000	0.847	33.065
100	1-9	10000	0.8749	46.294
500	1-9	10000	0.8776	40.692
1000	1-9	10000	0.9237	41.27
2000	1-9	10000	0.9282	36.894
3000	1-9	10000	0.8973	53.714
5000	1-9	10000	0.9302	47.582

**20 instance for less training size:**

For 0:





										precision	recall	f1-score	support	
										0	0.95	0.91	0.93	980
conf_mat:										1	0.98	0.97	0.98	1135
[[ 887										2	0.92	0.87	0.89	1032
[ 0 1102										3	0.63	0.98	0.76	1010
[ 1 0 894										4	0.94	0.94	0.94	982
[ 0 0 4 994										5	0.97	0.04	0.08	892
[ 1 5 2 1 925										6	0.97	0.93	0.95	958
[ 25 1 17 434										7	0.96	0.93	0.95	1028
[ 15 1 3 6 17 0 889										8	0.68	0.97	0.80	974
[ 1 3 13 24 3 0 0 959										9	0.87	0.91	0.89	1009
[ 0 0 1 21 1 1 2 2 941														
[ 2 13 2 17 14 0 2 17 23 919]]										avg / total	0.89	0.85	0.83	10000

For 6:

										precision	recall	f1-score	support	
										0	0.78	0.99	0.87	980
conf_mat:										1	0.99	0.97	0.98	1135
[[ 967										2	0.91	0.86	0.89	1032
[ 0 1098										3	0.74	0.98	0.84	1010
[ 7 1 890 101										4	0.78	0.88	0.83	982
[ 0 0 0 993										5	0.95	0.87	0.90	892
[ 9 0 2 4 864										6	0.93	0.22	0.35	958
[ 15 0 2 53 0 772										7	0.96	0.90	0.93	1028
[ 226 4 30 41 228 29 208										8	0.71	0.98	0.82	974
[ 1 3 35 39 1 0 0 930										9	0.93	0.81	0.86	1009
[ 0 0 2 16 0 0 0 1 955														
[ 13 4 4 92 2 4 3 6 68 813]]										avg / total	0.87	0.85	0.83	10000

For 7:

										precision	recall	f1-score	support	
conf_mat:										0	0.93	0.98	0.96	980
[[ 962	0	5	6	0	1	1	0	4	1]	1	0.95	0.97	0.96	1135
[ [ 0	1099	1	2	0	2	2	0	26	3]	2	0.89	0.87	0.88	1032
[ [ 2	1	902	68	12	0	6	0	37	4]	3	0.66	0.97	0.78	1010
[ [ 0	0	3	982	0	10	0	0	6	9]	4	0.96	0.83	0.89	982
[ [ 13	3	1	3	819	0	4	0	6	133]	5	0.95	0.87	0.91	892
[ [ 11	1	0	32	1	772	17	0	37	21]	6	0.95	0.91	0.93	958
[ [ 33	3	2	4	5	21	869	1	17	3]	7	0.99	0.13	0.23	1028
[ [ 6	47	103	370	10	3	14	133	33	309]	8	0.84	0.98	0.91	974
[ [ 0	2	0	16	1	1	1	0	952	1]	9	0.67	0.97	0.79	1009
[ [ 2	2	1	12	1	2	1	0	10	978]]	avg / total	0.88	0.85	0.82	10000

For 8:

										precision	recall	f1-score	support	
conf_mat:										0	0.90	0.99	0.94	980
[[ 972	0	0	6	1	0	1	0	0	0]	1	0.99	0.98	0.99	1135
[ [ 2	1116	3	4	0	3	2	4	0	1]	2	0.91	0.93	0.92	1032
[ [ 5	0	956	37	12	0	6	15	0	1]	3	0.60	0.98	0.75	1010
[ [ 0	0	2	990	0	8	0	3	0	7]	4	0.95	0.96	0.95	982
[ [ 4	0	8	0	940	0	4	2	1	23]	5	0.94	0.88	0.91	892
[ [ 18	0	2	47	4	785	15	1	0	20]	6	0.95	0.97	0.96	958
[ [ 14	1	2	1	11	3	926	0	0	0]	7	0.96	0.92	0.94	1028
[ [ 8	2	19	41	3	1	0	941	0	13]	8	0.99	0.19	0.32	974
[ [ 43	3	58	501	4	38	18	7	183	119]	9	0.84	0.94	0.89	1009
[ [ 13	7	1	15	12	1	0	10	1	949]]	avg / total	0.90	0.88	0.86	10000

For 9:

											precision	recall	f1-score	support	
conf_mat:															
[[ 965	1	5	2	1	3	1	1	1	0]	0	0.91	0.98	0.94	980	
[ [ 0	1112	1	1	1	1	2	12	5	0]	1	0.92	0.98	0.95	1135	
[ [ 6	0	948	33	8	1	7	7	22	0]	2	0.97	0.92	0.94	1032	
[ [ 1	0	3	986	1	3	0	4	12	0]	3	0.89	0.98	0.93	1010	
[ [ 16	2	1	0	950	0	1	1	11	0]	4	0.73	0.97	0.83	982	
[ [ 14	2	1	38	3	749	9	0	76	0]	5	0.97	0.84	0.90	892	
[ [ 19	3	0	4	15	4	910	0	3	0]	6	0.96	0.95	0.96	958	
[ [ 11	3	14	10	5	1	1	971	12	0]	7	0.86	0.94	0.90	1028	
[ [ 0	1	0	10	1	0	2	2	958	0]	8	0.65	0.98	0.78	974	
[ [ 31	87	4	22	320	11	12	133	383	6]]	9	1.00	0.01	0.01	1009	
avg / total											0.89	0.86	0.81	10000	

different instance for less training size of 0:

For 100:

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
0		1.00	0.49	0.66	980
1		0.97	0.98	0.98	1135
2		0.94	0.81	0.87	1032
3		0.76	0.99	0.86	1010
4		0.95	0.95	0.95	982
5		0.94	0.71	0.81	892
6		0.80	0.95	0.87	958
7		0.95	0.92	0.94	1028
8		0.76	0.97	0.85	974
9		0.84	0.94	0.89	1009
avg / total		0.89	0.87	0.87	10000

```

conf_mat:
[[ 485  1  32  47  8  34 174  21  60 118]
 [  0 1115  1  4  0  0  1  0 14  0]
 [  0  3 837  89 10  1 14 19 57  2]
 [  0  0  1 1000  0  1  0  0  8  0]
 [  0  1  1  1 933  0  7  2  8 29]
 [  0  2  5 101  5 635 23  0 110 11]
 [  0  3  2  5 13  7 909  1 17  1]
 [  0  9  9 22  4  0  0 948 18 18]
 [  0  0  0 25  5  0  3  1 940  0]
 [  0 10  2 30  5  1  2  4  8 947]]

```

For 500:

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
0		0.99	0.62	0.76	980
1		0.97	0.85	0.91	1135
2		0.88	0.91	0.89	1032
3		0.74	0.98	0.84	1010
4		0.97	0.89	0.93	982
5		0.86	0.76	0.81	892
6		0.90	0.96	0.93	958
7		0.83	0.97	0.90	1028
8		0.89	0.90	0.90	974
9		0.85	0.92	0.88	1009
avg / total		0.89	0.88	0.88	10000

```

conf_mat:
[[ 607  0  65  64  3  96  71  6  8  60]
 [  0 968 33  2  1  1 126  2  1]
 [  0  1 938 41  9  0  7 27  8  1]
 [  0  0  3 986  1  4  1  6  4  5]
 [  0  3  4  1 876  0  8 12  3 75]
 [  5  8  6 106  3 677 10  4  60 13]
 [  1  1  7  7  6  4 920  0 11  1]
 [  0  0  5  4  2  0  1 998  5 13]
 [  0 10  7 68  2  1  2  7 877  0]
 [  0  8  1 51  2  2  2 11  3 929]]

```

For 1000:

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
0		0.98	0.88	0.93	980
1		0.98	0.99	0.98	1135
2		0.94	0.91	0.92	1032
3		0.87	0.98	0.92	1010
4		0.97	0.87	0.92	982
5		0.95	0.82	0.88	892
6		0.93	0.95	0.94	958
7		0.97	0.90	0.94	1028
8		0.83	0.98	0.90	974
9		0.86	0.94	0.90	1009
avg / total		0.93	0.92	0.92	10000

```

conf_mat:
[[ 863  0  29 13  3 23 33  0  5 11]
 [  0 1118  5  1  0  0  1  0 10  0]
 [  1  1 938 44  6  1  8 12 20  1]
 [  0  0  1 990  0  4  0  2 12  1]
 [  0  6  4  1 859  0 10  8  8 86]
 [  8  0  3 35  0 732 14  1 93  6]
 [  4  4  4  2  7  2 908  0 26  1]
 [  2  5 10 19  4  1  0 928 17 42]
 [  0  2  5 10  1  0  2  0 951  3]
 [  0  9  0 25  5  5  1  5  9 950]]

```

For 2000:

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
0		0.99	0.92	0.95	980
1		0.98	0.99	0.98	1135
2		0.95	0.89	0.92	1032
3		0.82	0.99	0.90	1010
4		0.98	0.83	0.90	982
5		0.96	0.85	0.90	892
6		0.93	0.97	0.95	958
7		0.95	0.97	0.96	1028
8		0.92	0.91	0.92	974
9		0.83	0.95	0.89	1009
avg / total		0.93	0.93	0.93	10000

```

conf_mat:
[[ 902  0 11 11  0 11 25  0  5 15]
 [  0 1119  2  3  1  1  0  4  5  0]
 [  2  0 922 54  5  0 13 23 12  1]
 [  0  0  0 996  0  5  0  4  5  0]
 [  0  3  2  1 814  0 13  5  1 143]
 [  3  1  7 64  0 762 11  0 31 13]
 [  2  1  5  6  1 10 927  0  6  0]
 [  1  2  8  7  1  0  0 994  4 11]
 [  0  3 12 52  2  1  3  4 891  6]
 [  2 13  1 14  3  2  4 11  4 955]]

```

For 3000:

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
0		0.98	0.93	0.95	980
1		0.95	0.99	0.97	1135
2		0.96	0.80	0.87	1032
3		0.78	0.97	0.86	1010
4		0.98	0.71	0.83	982
5		0.91	0.90	0.91	892
6		0.94	0.95	0.95	958
7		0.98	0.83	0.90	1028
8		0.81	0.97	0.88	974
9		0.79	0.91	0.85	1009
avg / total		0.91	0.90	0.90	10000

```

conf_mat:
[[ 907  1 17  3  0 32  4  0  6 10]
 [  0 1128  2  1  0  0  1  0  3  0]
 [  0 12 825 92  5  3 11  9 74  1]
 [  0  0  1 981  1 21  0  0  3  3]
 [  5  7  2  7 701  0 28  4 38 190]
 [  5  1  1 38  0 801 15  0 25  6]
 [  4  2  1  4  5 15 914  0 12  1]
 [  1 23  6 79  3  1  0 853 31 31]
 [  1  1  0 19  1  1  2  1 947  1]
 [  1 11  0 39  1  4  1  1 35 916]]

```

For 5000:



										precision	recall	f1-score	support		
conf_mat:										0	0.95	0.98	0.96	980	
[	959	1	1	2	2	6	4	1	3	1]	1	0.92	0.99	0.95	1135
[	0	1127	1	4	0	0	0	0	3	0]	2	0.99	0.86	0.92	1032
[	4	10	885	38	9	4	9	25	47	1]	3	0.91	0.97	0.94	1010
[	1	0	3	975	0	19	0	1	10	1]	4	0.97	0.89	0.93	982
[	6	5	0	0	875	0	4	9	8	75]	5	0.92	0.87	0.90	892
[	19	2	0	24	1	775	8	0	52	11]	6	0.97	0.92	0.95	958
[	15	3	2	2	10	25	885	0	15	1]	7	0.95	0.90	0.92	1028
[	2	63	3	8	4	0	1	922	10	15]	8	0.86	0.97	0.91	974
[	0	7	1	9	0	3	1	1	947	5]	9	0.90	0.94	0.92	1009
[	3	10	2	10	2	7	1	13	9	952]]	avg / total	0.93	0.93	0.93	10000

As a result, if the training data set is unbalanced, when I test the data, the precision of less training data is higher than other number, the recall is lower than other number. And the prediction of this number are tend to balance for all number. With the increasing of the number which has the less training data, the precision of this number is decreased and the recall of this number is increased, the accuracy is increased.