# Homework 4

#### Tao Hu

Department of Computer Science Peking University No.5 Yiheyuan Road Haidian District, Beijing, P.R.China taohu@pku.edu.cn

#### 1 Problem ScreenShot

# Homework 6 for "Algorithms for Big-Data Analysis"

May 11, 2017

Note: Please write up your solutions independently. If you get significant help from others, write down the source of references. A formal mathematical proof for all your claims is required.

1. Given a  $n \times p$  matrix X. Derive the optimal solution for the following problem:

$$\min_{Z,V} \ \|X-ZV\|_F^2, \text{ s.t. } \quad V^TV=I, Z^T1=0,$$

where Z is a  $n \times q$  matrix and V is a  $q \times p$  matrix.

2. Derive the dual optimization problem for

$$\begin{split} \min_{w,b,\xi} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + C_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + C_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y_i \cdot (x_i \cdot w + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ & \xi_i \geq 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, n \end{split}$$

- 3. Properties of Submodular Functions
  - (a) Prove that any non-negative submodular function is also subadditive, i.e. if  $F: 2^X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is submodular then  $F(S \cup T) \le F(S) + F(T)$  for any  $S, T \subseteq X$ . Here,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}, x \ge 0\}$ .
  - (b) Prove that a function  $F: 2^X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is submodular if and only if for any  $S,T \subseteq X$ , the marginal contribution function  $F_S(T) = F(S \cup T) F(S)$  is subadditive.
- 4. Given finite ground set X , and given  $w_d \in [0,1]$  for all  $d \in X$  , define

$$F(S) = \Pi_{d \in S} w_d,$$

where  $F(\emptyset) = 1$ . Is this submodular, supermodular, modular, or neither?

Author Info: Taohu ,Peking University

#### 2 Solution

#### 2.1 Solution 1

#### 2.2 Solution 2

Firstly,Let's recall the soft SVM[1] problem:

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2} ||w||_{2}^{2} + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}$$

$$S.t \quad y_{i}(wx_{i} + b) \ge 1 - \xi_{i}$$

$$\xi_{i} \ge 0$$

$$i = 1$$

let's conduct how to acquire the dual form of the soft-threshold SVM is:

Lagrangian polynomials is : 
$$L(w,b,\xi,\lambda,\mu) = \frac{1}{2}||w||_2^2 + C\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i [y_i(wx_i+b) - 1 + \xi_i] - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \xi_i$$
 obtain derivation for w,b, $\xi_i$  
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = w - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i x_i^T$$
 
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i = 0$$
 
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_i} = C - \lambda_i - \mu_i = 0$$

fuse the three formula into the Lagrangian polynomial:

$$L(w, b, \xi, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} || \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i x_i^T ||_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i y_i x_i (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j y_j x_j^T) + \lambda_i y_i b - \lambda_i + \lambda_i \xi_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \xi_i$$

here we have to notice that:

$$||\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i x_i^T||_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i y_i x_i (\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j y_j x_j^T))$$

so based on the above result ,just neaten the formula:

$$L(w, b, \xi, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i y_i x_i (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j y_j x_j^T)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$$

so, the dual problem of the soft-threshold SVM is:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\lambda_i,\mu_i} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i y_i x_i (\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j y_j x_j^T)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \\ S.t \quad \lambda_i &\geq 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i &= 0 \\ C - \lambda_i - \mu_i &= 0 \\ \mu_i &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let's back to the original problem. The Lagrangian problem is:

$$L(w,b,\xi,\lambda,\mu) = \frac{1}{2}||w||_2^2 + C_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + C_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i [y_i(x_iw + b) - 1 + \xi_i] \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \xi_i$$
 acquire the derivation of  $w,b,\xi_i$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = w - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i} x_{i}^{T} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_{i}} = C_{1} + 2C_{2} \xi_{i} - \lambda_{i} - \mu_{i} = 0 \end{array}$$

further reduction based on the derivation above:

$$L(w, b, \xi, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} || \sum_{i=1}^{2} \lambda_{i} y_{i} x_{i} ||_{2}^{2} + C_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} + C_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i} x_{i} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} y_{j} x_{j}^{T}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i} b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i} \xi_{i} - \mu_{i} \xi_{i})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} y_{i} y_{i} x_{i}^{T} x_{j} + C_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} + C_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\lambda_{i} - (C_{1} + 2C_{2} \xi_{i}) \xi_{i}]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} y_{i} y_{j} x_{i}^{T} x_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{2} \xi_{i}^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} y_{i} y_{j} x_{i}^{T} x_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\lambda_{i} + \mu_{i} - C_{1})^{2}}{4C_{2}}$$

so the dual problem of the original problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\lambda_{i},\mu_{i}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} y_{i} y_{j} x_{i}^{T} x_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\lambda_{i} + \mu_{i} - C_{1})^{2}}{4C_{2}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i} &= 0 \\ \lambda_{i} + \mu_{i} - C_{1} &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

#### 2.3 Solution 3

(a).from the definition of the submodular:

$$F(S \cap T) + F(S \cup T) \le F(S) + F(T)$$

because it's non-negative submodular, so  $F(S \cap T) \ge 0$ , further induction:

$$F(S \cup T) \le F(S) + F(T)$$

(b).

$$F_S(A \cup B) \le F_S(A) + F_S(B)$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow F(A \cup B \cup S) - F(S) \le F(A \cup S) - F(S) + F(B \cup S) - F(S)$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow F(A \cup B \cup S) + F(S) \le F(B \cup S) + F(A \cup S)$$

according to submodule definition:

$$F(B \cup S) + F(A \cup S) \ge F(A \cup B \cup S) + F(S \cup (A \cap B))$$

however, the relationship of  $F(S \cup (A \cap B))$  and F(S) is not determined since F may not be monotone increasing. so the conclusion is not correct.

#### 2.4 Solution 4

it's a supermodule. Let

$$a = \{F(M)|M = A - B\},\ c = \{F(M)|M = B - A\},\ b = \{F(M)|M = A \cap B\}$$

then we have:

$$F(A) + F(B) \leq F(A \cup B) + F(A \cap B)$$
 
$$ab + bc \leq b + abc$$
 
$$(1 - c)(1 - a) \geq 0$$

because every step above can be induced bottom-to-up. and  $F(\phi)=1$ , therefore it's a supermodule according to definition.

### Acknowledgments

## References

[1] Bernhard Scholkopf and Alexander J Smola. *Learning with kernels: support vector machines, regularization, optimization, and beyond.* MIT press, 2001.