## **No Coding Farmer**

Tao Hu

Department of Computer Science
Peking University
No.5 Yiheyuan Road Haidan District, Beijing, P.R.China taohu@pku.edu.cn

#### **Abstract**

Some Miscellaneous Summary.

Author Info: Taohu ,Peking University

## Contents

1	Exp	ectation Maximization Introduction	3
	1.1	EM Induction	3
	1.2	EM convergence proof	3
	1.3	Different Writing Style of EM Algorithm	3
2	EM applications		
	2.1	Gaussian Mix Model	4
	2.2	Hidden Markov Model	4
	2.3	Naive Bayesian	5
	2.4	other papers	5
3	VAF		5
4	ADI	ADMM	
5	Key steps you must know when building a DL Framework		
	5.1	Convolution	8
6	R-PCA		8
	6.1	Solve RPCA by ADMM	8
	6.2	Adaptive Penalty for ADMM	9
7	SFM	1	9
8 Reinforcement Learning		nforcement Learning	9

#### 1 Expectation Maximization Introduction

#### 1.1 EM Induction

$$L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} log p(X; \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} log \sum_{z} p(X, Z; \theta)$$

let  $\theta_i$  be some distribution over z's  $(\sum_z \theta_i(z) = 1, \theta_i(z) \ge 0)$ 

$$\sum_{i} logp(X^{(i)}; \theta)$$

$$= \sum_{i} log \sum_{Z^{(i)}} \theta_{i}(Z^{(i)}) \frac{p(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}; \theta)}{\theta_{i}(Z^{(i)})}$$

$$\begin{split} & = \sum_{i} \log \sum_{Z^{(i)}} \theta_{i}(Z^{(i)}) \frac{p(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}; \theta)}{\theta_{i}(Z^{(i)})} \\ & \geq \sum_{i} \sum_{Z^{(i)}} \theta_{i}(Z^{i}) \log \frac{P(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}; \theta)}{\theta_{i}(Z^{(i)})} (f(x) = \log x \quad is \quad concave.) \end{split}$$

let 
$$\frac{P(X^{(i))},Z^{(i)};\theta)}{\theta_i(Z^{(i))}}=C$$

the equality can be only reached when  $\frac{P(X^{(i)},Z^{(i)};\theta)}{\theta_i(Z^{(i)})}$  is a constant.

we can get: 
$$\sum_i \frac{p(X^{(i)},Z^{(i)};\theta)}{C}=1$$
 namely:  $\sum_i p(X^{(i)},Z^{(i)};\theta)=C$ 

further induction: 
$$\theta_i(Z^{(i)}) = \frac{p(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}; \theta)}{\sum_i p(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}; \theta)} = p(Z^{(i)} | X^{(i)}; \theta)$$

so the procedure of EM algorithm is:

Repeat Until Convergence:

- $\bullet\;$  E-step: for each i,get  $Q_i(Z^{(i)}) = p(Z^{(i)}|X^{(i)};\theta)$
- M-step:  $\theta := argmax_{\theta} \sum_{i} \sum_{Z^{i}} i(Z^{(i)}) log \frac{p(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}), \theta}{Q_{i}(Z^{(i)})}$

#### 1.2 EM convergence proof

let 
$$l(\theta^{(t)}) = \sum_i \sum_{Z^{(i)}} Q_i^{(t)}(Z^{(i)}) log \frac{p(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}, \theta)}{Q_i^{(t)}(Z^{(i)})}$$

then, we have the following inequality:

$$l(\theta^{(t+1)})$$

$$\geq \sum_{i} \sum_{Z^{(i)}} Q_i^{(t)}(Z^{(i)}) log \frac{p(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}, \theta^{(t+1)})}{Q_i^{(t)}(Z^{(i)})}$$

$$\begin{split} &\geq \sum_{i} \sum_{Z^{(i)}} Q_{i}^{(t)}(Z^{(i)}) log \frac{p(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}, \theta^{(t+1)})}{Q_{i}^{(t)}(Z^{(i)})} \\ &\geq \sum_{i} \sum_{Z^{(i)}} Q_{i}^{(t)}(Z^{(i)}) log \frac{p(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}, \theta^{(t)})}{Q_{i}^{(t)}(Z^{(i)})} \\ &> l(\theta^{(t)}) \end{split}$$

the first inequality is because :  $l(\theta) \geq \sum_i \sum_{Z^{(i)}} Q_i^{(t)}(Z^{(i)}) log \frac{p(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}, \theta)}{Q_i^{(t)}(Z^{(i)})} \forall \theta, Q_i$ 

the second inequality is because of the maximum of the M-step.

Hence, EM causes the likelihood to converge monotonically.

#### **Different Writing Style of EM Algorithm**

There are many writing style of EM algorithm. here I just mention the book <Statistics Learning Method> by LiHang who is very famous in China.

EM algorithm from LiHang(Li-version):

#### **Algorithm 1** EM from LIHang

```
Require: observation X,hidden variable Z,joint distribution P(X,Z|\theta),conditional distribution P(Z|Y,\theta) while Not convergence do E-Step: let \theta^{(i)} is the i-th estimate of \theta, Q(\theta,\theta^{(i)}) = E_z[logP(X,Z|\theta)|X,\theta^{(i)}] = \sum_Z logP(X,Z|\theta)P(Z|X,\theta^{(i)}) M-step: \theta^{(i+1)} = argmax_\theta Q(\theta,\theta^{(i)}) end while output model parameter \theta
```

it seems that Li-version is different from the above version. however, they are the same. because:

- the above version just consider every data, so that it include subscript i. however Li-version only consider one data.
- the above version can be transformed to Li-version.

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{Z} Q(Z)log\frac{P(X,Z;\theta)}{Q(Z)} \\ &= \sum_{Z} P(Z|X;\theta^{(t)})log\frac{P(X,Z;\theta)}{P(Z|X;\theta^{(t)})} \\ &= \sum_{Z} P(Z|X;\theta^{t})logP(X,Z;\theta) - \sum_{Z} P(Z|X;\theta^{(t)})logP(Z|X;\theta^{(t)}) \\ &\text{as the variable is } \theta, \text{so } \sum_{Z} P(Z|X;\theta^{(t)})logP(Z|X;\theta^{(t)}) \text{ can be removed.} \end{split}$$

•  $Q(\theta, \theta^{(i)}) = \sum_{Z} log P(X, Z|\theta) P(Z|X, \theta^{(i)})$  can be also written as  $Q(\theta, \theta^{(i)}) = \sum_{Z} log P(X, Z|\theta) P(Z, X, \theta^{(i)})$ , because X is a observation.

#### 2 EM applications

#### 2.1 Gaussian Mix Model

GMM can be solved by EM. notice here we use the expectation of EM:

$$\begin{split} &Q(\theta,\theta^{(i)})\\ &= E_{\gamma}[logP(y,\gamma|\theta)|y,\theta^{(i)}]\\ &= E[\sum_{k=1}^{K}[n_{k}log\alpha_{k} + \sum_{j=1}^{N}\gamma_{jk}[log\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - log\sigma_{k} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{k}^{2}}(y_{j} - \mu_{k})^{2}]]]\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K}[(E\gamma_{jk})log\alpha_{k} + \sum_{j=1}^{N}(E\gamma_{jk})[log\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - log\sigma_{k} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{k}^{2}}(y_{j} - \mu_{k})^{2}]]\\ \text{here } (E\gamma_{jk}) \text{ can be easily calculated.} \end{split}$$

 $\hat{\mu_k}$ ,  $\hat{\sigma_k^2}$  can be acquired by derivation.

 $\hat{\alpha_k}$  can be acquired by the derivation on the Lagrangian(  $\sum_i^K \alpha_k = 1$  ).

#### 2.2 Hidden Markov Model

HMM Learning Method is also called Baum-Welch algorithm.the target is learning  $\lambda=(A,B,\pi)$ . Q function is:

$$Q(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) = \sum_{I} log P(O, I | \lambda) P(O, I | \bar{\lambda})$$
  
 
$$P(O, I, \lambda) = \pi_{i1} b_{i1}(o_1) a_{i1i2} b_{i2}(o_2) ... a_{i_{T-1}i_T} b_{i_T}(o_T)$$

so the Q function can also be written as:

$$Q(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) = \sum_{I} log \pi_{i1} P(O, I | \bar{\lambda}) + \sum_{I} (\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} log a_{i,i+1}) P(O, I | \bar{\lambda}) + \sum_{I} (\sum_{t=1}^{T} log b_{it}(o_t)) P(O, I | \bar{\lambda})$$

note here: I is not only one state. it includes state length from 1 to T,which all start from  $i_1$ 

so we can solve the maximum of Q function by derivation on the Lagrangian polynomial (because exists these limitations:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_i = 1, \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} = 1, \sum_{i=1}^{M} b_i = 1$ )

#### 2.3 Naive Bayesian

#### 2.4 other papers

We can use softmax to model transition probability, normal distribution to model emission probability.

it's a good example in Car that Knows Before You Do: Anticipating Maneuvers via Learning Temporal Driving Models, the AIO-HMM can be more complicated, which can be enriched by the graphic model by M.I Jordon.

#### 3 VAE

here is a complete VAE tutorial [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \max & log P(x) \\ \text{lhs} &= log \int P(x,z) dz \\ &= log \int P(x/z) p(z) dz \\ &= log \int \frac{P(x/z)}{q(z/x)} q(z/x) p(z) dz \\ &= log E_{q(z/x)} \big[ \frac{p(x/z)}{q(z/x)} p(z) \big] \\ \text{jenson's inequality,we can know:} &\geq E_{q(z/x)} \big[ log \frac{p(x/z)}{q(z/x)} p(z) \big] \\ &= E_{q(z/x)} \big[ log p(x/z) \big] + E_{q(z/x)} \big[ log \frac{p(z)}{q(z/x)} \big] \\ &= E_{q(z/x)} \big[ log p(x/z) \big] - E_{q(z/x)} \big[ log \frac{q(z/x)}{p(z)} \big] \\ &= E_{q(z/x)} \big[ log p(x/z) \big] - KL(q(z/x)) ||p(z)) \end{aligned}$$

#### 4 ADMM

minimize 
$$H(u)+G(v)$$
 subject to  $Au+Bv=b$  
$$max_{\lambda}min_{u,v}H(u)+G(v)+<\lambda, b-Au-Bv>+\frac{\tau}{2}||b-Au-Bv||^2$$

Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers 
$$u_{k+1} = argmin_u H(u) + \langle \lambda_k, -Au \rangle + \frac{\tau}{2} ||b - Au - Bv_k||^2$$
 
$$v_{k+1} = argmin_v G(v) + \langle \lambda_k, -Bv \rangle + \frac{\tau}{2} ||b - Au_{k+1} - Bv||^2$$
 
$$\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_k + \tau (b - Au_{k+1} - Bv_{k+1})$$

# Distributed Problems minimize $g(x) + \sum_i f_i(x)$ example: sparse least squares: minimize $\mu ||x||_1 + \frac{1}{2}||Ax - b||^2$ minimize $\mu ||x||_1 + \sum_i \frac{1}{2}||A_ix - b_i||^2$

data stored on different servers

#### **Transpose Reduction**

minimize 
$$\frac{1}{2}||Ax - b||^2$$
  
 $x^* = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$ 

#### distributed compution:

$$A^T b = \sum A_i^T b_i$$
  
$$A^T A = \sum A_i^T A_i$$

#### **Unwrapped ADMM**

minimize 
$$g(x) + f(Ax) = g(x) + \sum_{i} f_i(A_i x)$$

Example: SVM

minimize  $\frac{1}{2}||x||^2 + h(Ax)$ A = data, h = hinge loss

Unwrapped form

minimize  $\frac{1}{2}||x||^2 + h(z)$ 

subject to z=Ax

#### **Transpose Reduction ADMM**

scaled augmented Lagrangian:

minimize 
$$\frac{1}{2}||x||^2 + h(z) + \frac{\tau}{2}||z - Ax - \lambda||^2$$

$$x^{k+1} = \min_{x \ge 1} ||x||^2 + \frac{\tau}{2} ||z^k - Ax + \lambda^k||^2$$

ADMIN: 
$$x^{k+1} = \min_{x} \frac{1}{2} ||x||^2 + \frac{\tau}{2} ||z^k - Ax + \lambda^k||^2$$
$$z^{k+1} = \min_{z} h(z) + \frac{\tau}{2} ||z - Ax^{k+1} + \lambda^k||^2$$
$$\lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k + z^{k+1} - Ax^{k+1}$$

$$\lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k + z^{k+1} - Ar^{k+1}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Minimization Steps} \\ \text{minimize } l(a_3) + \frac{1}{2}||z_2 - W_1 a_1||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||a_2 - \sigma(z_2)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||z_3 - W_2 a_2||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||a_3 - \sigma(z_3)||^2 \end{array}$$

Solve for weight: least squares(convex)

Solve for activations: least squares + ridge penalty(convex)

Solve for inputs: coordinate-minimization (non-convex but global)

**Lagrange Multipliers** 

minimize 
$$l(a_3) + \frac{1}{2}||z_2 - W_1a_1||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||a_2 - \sigma(z_2)||^2 + <\lambda_1, z_2 - W_1a_1> + <\lambda_2, a_2 - \sigma(z_2)> + \frac{1}{2}||z_3 - W_2a_2||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||a_3 - \sigma(z_3)||^2 + <\lambda_3, z_3 - W_2a_2> + <\lambda_4, a_3 - \sigma(z_3)> + \frac{1}{2}||a_3 - \sigma(z_3)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||a_3 - \sigma(z_3)||^2 + <\lambda_3, z_3 - W_2a_2> + <\lambda_4, a_3 - \sigma(z_3)> + \frac{1}{2}||a_3 - \sigma(z_3)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||a_3 - \sigma(z$$

unstable because of non-linear constraints

**Bregman Iteration** 

minimize 
$$l(a_3) + \langle \lambda, a_3 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} ||z_2 - W_1 a_1||^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||a_2 - \sigma(z_2)||^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||z_3 - W_2 a_2||^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||a_3 - \sigma(z_3)||^2$$

HOG feature dimension: 648

mid layer 1 num: 100 mid layer 2 num: 50

#### Algorithm 2 ADMM\_NN

```
Inputs:
      data number:n=10000,
      data dimension: m=648,
      hidden layer 1 unit number: a=100
      hidden layer 2 unit number: b=50
      output layer unit number: 1
      a_0 m-n dimension,
      W_1: a-m dimension
      z_1: a-n dimension
      a_1: a-n dimension
      W_2: b-a dimension
      z_2: b-n dimension
      a_2: b-n dimension
      W_3: 1-b dimension
      z_3: 1-n dimension
      labels:y 1-n dimension
      \lambda: 1-n dimension
activation function h is ReLu. Initialize:
      allocate \{a_l\}_{l=1}^L, \{z_l\}_{l=1}^L with i.i.d Gaussian Distribution, and \lambda
Cache: a_0^{\dagger}
Warm Start:
for i=1,...,100 do
      for l=1,2,...,L-1 do
W_l \leftarrow z_l a_{l-1}^{\dagger}
a_{l} \leftarrow (\beta_{l+1} W_{l+1}^{T} W_{l+1} + \gamma_{l} I)^{-1} (\beta_{l+1} W_{l+1}^{T} z_{l+1} + \gamma_{l} h_{l}(z_{l}))
z_{l} \leftarrow argmin_{z} \gamma_{l} ||a_{l} - h_{l}(z)||^{2} + \beta_{l} ||z - W_{l} a_{l-1}||^{2}
      end for
      W_L \leftarrow z_L a_{L-1}^{\dagger}
      z_L \leftarrow argmin_z l(z,y) + \langle z, \lambda \rangle + \beta_L ||z - W_L a_{L-1}||^2
end for
Start ADMM:
while not converge do
     for l=1,2,...,L-1
do W_l \leftarrow z_l a_{l-1}^{\dagger}
a_{l} \leftarrow (\beta_{l+1} W_{l+1}^{T} W_{l+1} + \gamma_{l} I)^{-1} (\beta_{l+1} W_{l+1}^{T} z_{l+1} + \gamma_{l} h_{l}(z_{l}))
z_l \leftarrow argmin_z \gamma_l ||a_l - h_l(z)||^2 + \beta_l ||z - W_l a_{l-1}||^2
      end for
      W_L \leftarrow z_L a_{L-1}^{\dagger}
      z_L \leftarrow argmin_z l(z,y) + < z, \lambda > + \beta_L ||z - W_L a_{L-1}||^2
       \lambda \leftarrow \lambda + \beta_L(z_L - W_L a_{L-1})
end while
```

 $z_l$  argmin procedure:

$$z_{l} = \begin{cases} max(\frac{a_{l}\gamma_{l} + W_{l}a_{l-1}\beta_{l}}{\gamma_{l} + \beta_{l}}, 0) & \text{z} \geq 0\\ min(W_{l}a_{l-1}, 0) & \text{z} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

choose one minimizer z from two choices.

 $z_L$  argmin procedure:

when 
$$y_i = 0$$
:  
 $f(z) = \beta z^2 - (2\beta w_a - \lambda)z + max(z, 0)$ 

$$z^*=\max(\frac{2\beta w\_a-\lambda-1}{2\beta},0)$$
 or 
$$z^*=\min(\frac{2\beta w\_a-\lambda}{2\beta},0)$$

choose one which make f(z) smaller.

when  $y_i = 1$ :

which 
$$g_i = 1$$
.  
 $f(z) = \beta z^2 - (2\beta w_a - \lambda)z + max(1 - z, 0)$   
 $z^* = max(\frac{2\beta w_a - \lambda}{2\beta}, 1)$  or  
 $z^* = min(\frac{2\beta w_a - \lambda + 1}{2\beta}, 1)$ 

$$z^* = max(\frac{2\beta w_a - \lambda}{2\beta}, 1)$$
 or

$$z^* = min(\frac{2\beta w_a - \lambda + 1}{2\beta}, 1)$$

choose one which make f(z) smaller.

 $z_L$  argmin procedure(when 1 is a standard hinge loss):

when 
$$y_i = -1$$
:  $f(z) = max(1+z) + \lambda z + \beta(z^2 - 2w_az)$ 

$$z^* = min(rac{2eta w\_a - \lambda}{2eta}, -1)$$
 or

when  $y_i=-1$ :  $f(z)=max(1+z)+\lambda z+\beta(z^2-2w\_az)$   $z^*=min(\frac{2\beta w\_a-\lambda}{2\beta},-1)$  or  $z^*=max(\frac{2\beta w\_a-\lambda-1}{2\beta},-1)$  choose one which make f(z) smaller.

when  $y_i = 1$ :

which 
$$g_i = 1$$
.  

$$f(z) = max(1 - z, 0) + \lambda z + \beta(z^2 - 2w\_az)$$

$$z^* = min(\frac{2\beta w\_a - \lambda + 1}{2\beta}, 1) \text{ or }$$

$$z^* = max(\frac{2\beta w\_a - a - \lambda}{2\beta}, 1)$$

$$z^* = min(\frac{2\beta w\_a - \lambda + 1}{2\beta}, 1)$$
 or

$$z^* = max(\frac{2\beta w_{\underline{a}-a-\lambda}}{2\beta}, 1)$$

choose one which make f(z) smaller.

#### Key steps you must know when building a DL Framework

#### Convolution 5.1

#### R-PCA

RPCA problem:

$$\min_{A,E} ||A||_* + \lambda ||E||_1$$

S.t D=A+E

#### RPCA dual problem:

Augmented Lagrangian is:

$$A_t(A, E; \Lambda) = min\_A, EL(A, E; \Lambda)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Pi_{\mathbf{t}}(1,E,\Pi) = \min_{A,E} \Pi_{\mathbf{t}}(1,E,\Pi) \\ = \min_{A,E} ||A||_* + \Lambda ||E||_1 + < \Lambda, D - A - E > \\ = \min_{A} ||A||_* - < \Lambda, A > + \min_{E} \lambda ||E||_1 - < \Lambda, E > + < \Lambda, D > \end{array}$$

both of the sub-problem is conjugate function, according to the property of conjugate function:

$$A_t(A, E; \Lambda) = <\Lambda, D > S.t \quad ||\Lambda||_2 \le 1, ||\Lambda||_{\infty} \le \lambda$$

so the dual problem is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\Lambda} & <\Lambda, D> \\ S.t & ||\Lambda||_2 \leq 1, ||\Lambda||_{\infty} \leq \lambda \end{array}$$

#### 6.1 Solve RPCA by ADMM

the ADMM sub-problem is:

A-sub-problem:

$$A_{k+1} = argmin_A ||A||_* + \frac{\beta}{2} ||D - A - E_k + \Lambda_k/\beta||_F^2$$

E-sub-problem:

$$E_{k+1} = argmin_E \lambda ||E||_1 + \frac{\beta}{2} ||D - A_{k+1} - E + \Lambda_k||_F^2$$

E-sub-problem has closed-form solution as follows:

$$E_{k+1} = S_{\lambda\beta^{-1}}(D - A_k + \Lambda_k/\beta).$$

 $S_{\epsilon} = sgn(x)max(|x| - \epsilon, 0)$ , which is the same form as shrinkage.

A-sub-problem has a closed-form solution offered by Singular Value Thresholding(SVT):suppose that the SVD of  $W = D - E_k + \Lambda_k/\beta_k$  is  $W = U\Sigma V^T$ , then the optimal solution is  $A = US_{\beta^{-1}}(\Sigma)V^T$ .

#### 6.2 Adaptive Penalty for ADMM

Lin et al.[2] suggest updating the penalty parameter  $\beta$  as follows:

$$\beta_{k+1} = min(\beta_{max}, \rho \beta_k)$$

where  $\rho_{max}$  is an upper bound of  $\{\beta_k\}$ , the value of  $\rho$  is defined as:

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \rho_0 & if \frac{\beta_k max(\sqrt{\eta_A}||x_{k+1} - x_k||_2, \sqrt{\eta_B}||y_{k+1} - y_k||_2)}{||c||_2} < \epsilon_2 \\ 1 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

where  $\eta_A, \eta_B$  is linearized Taylor second-order factor.

#### 7 SFM

https://www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~vgg/hzbook/hzbook2/HZepipolar.pdf

- F is a rank 2 homogeneous matrix with 7 degrees of freedom.
- Point correspondence: If x and x' are corresponding image points, then

$$\mathbf{x}'^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x} = 0.$$

- Epipolar lines:
  - $\diamond$   $\mathbf{l'} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}$  is the epipolar line corresponding to  $\mathbf{x}$ .
  - $\diamond l = F^T \mathbf{x}'$  is the epipolar line corresponding to  $\mathbf{x}'$ .
- Epipoles:
  - $\diamond Fe = 0.$
  - $\ \, \diamond \ \, F^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{e}' = \mathbf{0}.$
- Computation from camera matrices P, P':
  - ♦ General cameras,

$$F = [\mathbf{e}']_{\times} P' P^+$$
, where  $P^+$  is the pseudo-inverse of P, and  $\mathbf{e}' = P' \mathbf{C}$ , with  $P \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{0}$ .

- $\begin{array}{l} \diamond \;\; \text{Canonical cameras, P} = [\mathtt{I} \mid \mathbf{0}], \; \mathtt{P'} = [\mathtt{M} \mid \mathbf{m}], \\ \mathtt{F} = [\mathbf{e'}]_{\times} \mathtt{M} = \mathtt{M}^{-\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{e}]_{\times}, \;\; \text{where } \mathbf{e'} = \mathbf{m} \; \text{and} \; \mathbf{e} = \mathtt{M}^{-1} \mathbf{m}. \end{array}$

Figure 1: Summary of Fundamental matrix properties

### **8** Reinforcement Learning

#### Acknowledgments

#### References

- [1] Carl Doersch. Tutorial on variational autoencoders. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.05908, 2016.
- [2] Zhouchen Lin, Risheng Liu, and Zhixun Su. Linearized alternating direction method with adaptive penalty for low-rank representation. In J. Shawe-Taylor, R. S. Zemel, P. L. Bartlett, F. Pereira, and K. Q. Weinberger, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 24, pages 612–620. Curran Associates, Inc., 2011.