



General Assembly

Sixty-first session

Official Records

Distr.: General
21 December 2006

Original: English

Fifth Committee

Summary record of the 25th meeting

Held at Headquarters, New York, on Thursday, 30 November 2006, at 10 a.m.

Chairman: Mr. Yousfi (Algeria)
*Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative
and Budgetary Questions:* Mr. Saha

Contents

Agenda item 117: Programme budget for the biennium 2006-2007 (*continued*)

Capital master plan

Organization of work

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned *within one week of the date of publication* to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

06-63470 (E)



The meeting was called to order at 10.15 a.m.

Agenda item 117: Programme budget for the biennium 2006-2007 (*continued*)

Capital master plan (A/61/5 (Vol. V), A/61/549 and A/61/595)

1. **Mr. Sach** (Acting Under-Secretary-General for Management) introduced the fourth annual progress report of the Secretary-General on the implementation of the capital master plan (A/61/549). He informed the Committee that construction documents for the major design contracts would be completed by the end of 2007 and that within two months a construction manager would be selected to act as general contractor for the project.

2. The schedule of the plan had had to be adjusted because a decision about which strategy to follow for the refurbishment of the Headquarters complex had not been taken during the main part of the sixtieth session of the General Assembly. Work would therefore start, and costs would be incurred, later, but the completion date was still anticipated to be early 2014. Given the current booming real estate and construction market, costs, especially for swing space, would continue to climb; every month of delay was estimated to cause an increase in costs of approximately \$9 to \$10 million.

3. The budget for the basic project — construction cost, professional fees and management costs — had increased only slightly, by \$8.3 million or 0.6 per cent; those costs had been contained through meticulous application of value engineering. However, costs for rental and outfitting of swing space had already increased by \$50.2 million, or almost 31 per cent. Office vacancy rates in midtown Manhattan had dropped to 6.4 per cent, rents and the cost of outfitting rental space were still rising and it was becoming more difficult to find the large space needed to accommodate the roughly 1,000 staff to be relocated by the end of 2007. It was urgent to sign contracts for renting swing space as soon as possible, before costs increased further. He also reiterated the importance of taking a decision on the financing of the capital master plan before the end of 2006.

4. The report strongly recommended the approval of scope options amounting to \$230.4 million, more than two thirds of which would be invested in security measures. It also recommended strengthening redundancy in building operations systems in order to

ensure that Headquarters could continue to function during technical emergencies, and the use of advanced technologies to underline the environmentally responsible aspects of the project. Inclusion of those items would bring the total budget for the capital master plan to \$1,876.7 million, but would be financially prudent given that implementation of such measures at a later date would be very costly.

5. The Secretary-General recommended that the General Assembly should approve the funding of the capital master plan through assessments on Member States, an internationally syndicated letter of credit facility for the duration of the construction contract and the creation of a working capital reserve to cover temporary cash flow deficits. It was critical for the General Assembly to take a decision on the overall budget and funding mechanisms so that the renovation work could proceed as scheduled.

6. **The Chairman** drew attention to the report of the Board of Auditors for the year ended 31 December 2005 on the capital master plan (A/61/5 (Vol. V)). He also recalled that at the Committee's 4th meeting, held on 11 October 2006, the Under-Secretary-General for Internal Oversight Services had introduced the annual report of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (OIOS) (A/61/264 (Part I)), which contained a chapter on the capital master plan.

7. **Mr. Saha** (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions), introducing the report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions (ACABQ) on the capital master plan (A/61/595), said that the Advisory Committee recommended approval of the revised capital master plan budget of \$1,876.7 million and the appropriation of \$42.0 million for 2007. The issues relating to the financing options of a one-time assessment and multi-year assessments were straightforward; selection of the option of a mix of one-time and multi-year assessments would, however, require a decision by the General Assembly on the issues identified in paragraph 42 (c) (ii) of the report of the Secretary-General (A/61/549).

8. The Advisory Committee supported the creation of a working capital reserve but also expected the Secretariat to attract private donor funding for the capital master plan. It once again urged the Secretary-General to expedite the establishment of an advisory board, on a broad geographical basis. It was concerned

by delays and resultant cost increases and noted that contracts should stipulate that the Organization would not be responsible for any delays on the part of the contractor.

9. **Ms. Lock** (South Africa), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, reiterated the Group's support for the renovation of the Headquarters complex, welcomed the report of the Secretary-General, and expressed support for the inclusion in the capital master plan of the options aimed at enhancing security. She expressed regret at the delays caused by the collapse of many of the assumptions that had underpinned initial plans. It was regrettable that the host country had not facilitated the process, including through the construction of a swing space building or provision of an interest free loan, given the benefits obtained from hosting the Organization. The time had, nevertheless, come to take action on a financing strategy and avoid further delays and increases in costs as well as added inconvenience to delegations caused by the loss of space for seating and collateral meetings during construction.

10. She expressed confidence that the Committee would be able to reach agreement on the financing options suggested by the Secretary-General. The Group would seek further clarification regarding the need to establish a line of credit facility and the recommendations of the Board of Auditors, in particular the need to ensure that amendments to contracts were in line with the United Nations Procurement Manual, and would follow up on the need to increase procurement opportunities for vendors from developing countries, as highlighted in General Assembly resolution 60/282. Given that the Headquarters complex would be renovated in a phased manner, rather than being totally evacuated, it was important that all necessary safety measures should be implemented. Finally, she underscored the need for the Secretary-General to establish an advisory board.

11. **Ms. Pehrman** (Finland), speaking on behalf of the European Union; the acceding countries Bulgaria and Romania; the candidate countries Croatia, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Turkey; the stabilization and association process countries Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro and Serbia; and, in addition, Iceland, Liechtenstein, Moldova, Norway and Ukraine, stressed the importance of moving forward quickly with the capital master plan. The Committee must approve a financing

framework so that construction could begin according to schedule.

12. Clarification of the reasons for the increase in costs indicated in the report of the Secretary-General would be requested in informal consultations. She reiterated that the European Union would prefer multi-year direct assessments as the simplest means of financing the project and was interested in making the assessments broadly proportional to the amount needed in the different phases in order to avoid unnecessary payments in advance. The European Union understood the need for a letter of credit facility and agreed with the Advisory Committee that the creation of a working capital reserve was an integral part of the financing arrangements for the plan.

13. Assessed contributions for the capital master plan should be considered as due and payable in full within 30 days of the date of issuance. The European Union supported the mechanism proposed by the Secretary-General whereby charges resulting from drawing down from the line of credit would be apportioned among Member States that had not paid in full and on time. It would welcome the views of Member States in that regard, given that \$66 million of capital master plan payments were currently outstanding.

14. **Mr. Stone** (Australia), speaking on behalf of the CANZ group (Australia, Canada and New Zealand), said that it was important that the method of financing of the phased renovation of the Secretariat building should be approved. The Advisory Committee had raised important issues relating to financing which the group looked forward to discussing. There was merit in the multi-year cash assessments option, a simple and transparent method which reflected the need of Member States to spread payments out over a number of years. He recognized the need to establish a letter of credit facility for the project but stressed that if all Member States paid their assessments in full and on time there would be no need to draw on the facility, thereby avoiding credit charges and reducing costs. The CANZ countries would carefully consider the mechanism proposed by the Secretary-General to attribute charges incurred by use of the credit facility without penalizing Member States that had paid in full and on time.

15. A decision on the scope options presented could no longer be deferred. The capital master plan provided an ideal opportunity to improve security, redundancy,

contingency and sustainability and he looked forward to receiving any additional information required to reach a final decision. The total cost of the plan had already increased by 4 per cent, due largely to the increased cost of swing space; every effort must be made to deliver the project within the budget approved by the General Assembly and to avoid further cost increases by taking the necessary decisions before the end of the main part of the session.

16. He was pleased to learn that despite the delay in choosing a construction strategy the project was still due to be completed in 2014, and welcomed the impending selection of a construction manager and completion of the design development phase. It was reassuring that all relevant staff members had completed the required financial disclosures. It was, however, disappointing that the Secretary-General had not as yet appointed an advisory board, and he requested an update on the board's role and efforts to appoint its members.

17. **Mr. Torrington** (Guyana), speaking on behalf of the Rio Group, said that the Group had always recognized the need for expeditious action to renovate the Headquarters complex and had therefore welcomed the decision taken by the General Assembly by its resolution 60/282 to proceed with strategy IV (phased approach) of the capital master plan. According to the report of the Secretary-General, however, the construction cost had already increased by \$4.5 million, and he expressed concern that each delay in taking a decision increased costs. It was important to reach a decision on the financing of the project by the end of the main part of the session, and to comply with the calendar of construction.

18. The Rio Group believed that the host country derived significant benefit from the presence of the Organization's Headquarters. The host country also had special responsibilities, and it was regrettable that it had not complied with those responsibilities.

19. Funding of the capital master plan through assessed contributions was the simplest option. The Rio Group also looked favourably on payments by instalment. It had, however, as a matter of principle always opposed charging interest on arrears in Member States' payments and would therefore need to study carefully the proposal to introduce a mechanism for apportioning charges resulting from any drawdown of the credit facility among Member States that had not

paid their assessments for the capital master plan in full and on time.

20. It was important for appropriate care to be exercised by the Secretariat during construction in the handling and preserving of the artefacts, gifts and other valuable works contained in the Secretariat building. If necessary the donating Member States should be consulted with regard to their proper handling and storage.

21. **Mr. Wallace** (United States of America) reiterated his delegation's support for the capital master plan; it stood ready to work to take the necessary project budget and financing decisions during the current session of the General Assembly. It agreed in general with the recommendations, conclusions and observations contained in the reports of the Secretary-General and ACABQ, although certain technical issues still needed to be resolved.

22. The Secretary-General must ensure that the project was managed within the budget presented. It was important for the Organization to continually seek ways to contain costs and achieve greater efficiencies by using sound management practices and to control the scope of the project while providing guarantees of transparency. The time had come for the General Assembly to act so that the capital master plan could move forward on schedule.

23. **Mr. Yoo Dae-jong** (Republic of Korea) said that his delegation would have liked to have received the report of ACABQ earlier. It nevertheless recognized the need for the Committee to move urgently on the capital master plan, especially given the time constraints. He noted that in spite of the efforts of the Secretariat to keep costs down, in the previous six months the cost estimates for the plan had increased to \$1,646.3 million, not including the scope options, estimated at \$230.4 million. His delegation believed, however, that the plan offered a unique opportunity, and supported the recommendation of ACABQ that the proposed budget of \$1,876.9 million, including the scope options, should be approved.

24. Issues such as the waiving of the Financial Regulations and Rules in the context of the mechanism for apportioning any credit facility utilization charges, the composition of the advisory board and the recommendations of the Board of Auditors on amendments to procurement contracts still needed to be addressed. Member States must also work quickly to

approve an assessment option for financing the capital master plan. Taking into account his Government's domestic budgetary process, his delegation would prefer the multi-year assessment option.

25. He stressed the urgency of concluding the consideration of the capital master plan. At a time when Member States were asking Secretariat staff to adjust to wide-ranging reforms, including stricter measures of performance, they had an obligation to ensure staff were given the necessary tools, including an appropriate and modern workplace.

26. **Mr. Mukai** (Japan) said that his delegation understood the urgency of the capital master plan, as delegates and staff must be able to work in a safe and secure environment. However, as resources were not infinite, the necessary renovation must be carried out in the most cost-effective manner possible. It was unfortunate that delays had substantially increased total costs. His delegation agreed with the Advisory Committee's recommendation that more details of the scope options should be provided, and would like to see more convincing justification of the increased cost.

27. The Committee's most pressing task was to decide on a stable and practical financing plan, as the Organization would be making payments to construction companies for many years. Sufficient cash flow must be established on the basis of assessments that took account of Member States' financial situations and that were scheduled to fit in with their budget cycles. His delegation supported a mix of one-time and multi-year assessments, though it agreed with the Advisory Committee that, under that approach, gains and losses caused by changes in the scale of assessments must be considered. The one-time payment option would lessen the risk of deficits and reduce recourse to credit. In the case of multi-year payments, the five-year assessment period was most suitable.

28. **Mr. Stähli** (Switzerland) said that his delegation noted that a decision had to be taken by the end of the main part of the session in order to avoid further delays and escalation of the project's costs; it appreciated the efforts made by the Secretariat to ensure that 2014 remained the end-date. It also noted, with respect to the scope options, the increased security-related requirements and building-operations measures. The scope of such a large-scale project could not be entirely determined in advance, but the Committee should

examine further the circumstances leading to the additional requirement of \$69.4 million, in particular with regard to additional redundancy measures. His delegation supported the inclusion of the scope options in the base project, especially the options relating to environmental sustainability.

29. Where financing was concerned, Switzerland was in favour of a multi-year direct assessment over five years but would welcome more information about the financial savings possible under a one-time assessment. It stressed the need for prudent cash flow planning to minimize drawdowns from the letter of credit and welcomed the proposal that Member States which had paid their assessed contributions in full and on time should not bear any financial liabilities arising from use of the credit facilities.

30. In view of the precarious condition of the Headquarters complex and the need to ensure the well-being of the staff, Switzerland reiterated its commitment to the project, implementation of which must now proceed rapidly: the Committee should take the decisions on financing before the end of the year.

31. **Mr. Ren Yisheng** (China) said that it was regrettable that five years after the General Assembly had opted in favour of strategy IV the implementation of the capital master plan had still not begun. He urged Member States to move ahead with implementation, for the sake of the Organization's image and the health and safety of all the persons using the Headquarters complex, as well as to secure cost-effectiveness and efficiency. Funding was the key to successful implementation. His delegation was in favour of direct cash assessment of Member States on either a one-time or multi-year basis, and States which paid their contributions in full and on time should not bear any financial liabilities arising from the use of credit facilities.

32. In resolution 60/282 the General Assembly had stressed the special role of the host country Government with regard to financial support for United Nations Headquarters. It was to be hoped that in the present case the host country would make its due contribution to the implementation of the capital master plan. In that same resolution the Secretary-General had been requested to increase procurement opportunities for vendors from developing countries and countries with economies in transition; he should

do so on the basis of fairness, transparency and cost-effectiveness.

33. China would shortly pay the balance of its assessed contribution to the capital master plan and hoped that implementation would proceed expeditiously through the joint efforts of all the parties involved.

34. **Mr. Sach** (Acting Under-Secretary-General for Management) said that the appointment of the advisory board on the capital master plan was certainly overdue. The Secretariat would revert to the question early in 2007. Under the proposed financing arrangements the costs of drawing down from the letter of credit would be apportioned among Member States which had not paid their assessed contributions in full and on time. He urged the Committee not to invent any more complicated financing mechanisms: the framework set out in the Secretary-General's report was consistent with the guidance given by the General Assembly at the sixtieth session.

Organization of work

35. The Chairman drew attention to an informal Secretariat paper on the status of documentation and informal consultations. The Committee was clearly far behind schedule in its work, and the President of the General Assembly had expressed his concern in that connection. He invited the Committee to comment on the situation and on how the Committee should proceed with its work until the end of the session.

36. **Ms. Lock** (South Africa), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, said that it was time for the Committee to look seriously at its programme of work and the challenges facing it for the remainder of the year. The Group of 77 and China was very worried about the slowness of progress in the informal consultations: some items had not yet even been introduced and some would not be ready for consideration until mid-December. It was unfortunate that the Committee should be required to deal with so many important issues without having the necessary tools for the job. And it was wrong that the issuance of documents should determine priorities in the Committee's work instead of the reverse. It would be useful for the Secretary of the Committee and the Chairman of ACABQ to indicate, with reference to the informal paper, how many reports would be issued over the next few weeks and the expected dates for the

consideration of the most important items — the ones relating to reform issues as well as to the budget.

37. **Mr. Abelian** (Secretary of the Committee) said that all the documents relating to agenda item 116, Review of the efficiency of the administrative and financial functioning of the United Nations, had been issued but no date had yet been set for their introduction. Under agenda item 117, Programme budget for the biennium 2006-2007, the same was true of the questions of a strengthened and unified security management system and the conditions of service and compensation for officials other than Secretariat officials, and he understood that the Chairman of ACABQ would present oral reports during the week commencing 4 December on the revised estimates for the Human Rights Council and the United Nations Joint Staff Pension Fund (UNJSPF); the first performance report would be available by the morning of 1 December. Statements of the programme budget implications of the decisions taken by other Main Committees were coming in slowly, but the total number of such statements was uncertain for the moment.

38. The dates of the introduction and consideration of outstanding topics were of course set following consultations between the Bureau of the Committee, ACABQ and the Secretariat; he regretted that he was unable at present to indicate more specific dates.

39. **Mr. Saha** (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions) said that the Advisory Committee expected to complete within the next two days the negotiation of the final text of its report on the question of governance and oversight review, which would then be submitted for translation as a matter of priority. The ACABQ reports on the revised estimates for the Human Rights Council and the Pension Fund and on the strengthening of crime prevention and criminal justice were uncontroversial and could be introduced orally in the immediate future. The Advisory Committee was expected to adopt its reports relating to the financing of the United Nations Interim Force in Lebanon (UNIFIL) and the conditions of service of judges of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) during the week commencing 4 December. Its consideration of the two reports on security and safety had been scheduled for 4 December.

40. The Advisory Committee had scheduled for 5 December its consideration of the one statement of programme budget implications received so far. It had received the report on the budget outline, the performance reports on the international tribunals, and reports on gender architecture for the United Nations and on revised estimates; it would try to deal with as many of those questions as possible during the coming week. It was postponing its consideration of its draft reports on the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), which did not come to the Fifth Committee, in order to allow more time for consideration of Fifth Committee matters.

41. **Ms. Lock** (South Africa), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, said that the Committee was in an unprecedented situation because it had to deal with the reform issues in addition to the scale of assessments and the many other budget items: it was being asked to perform miracles but could do so only if it had the necessary documentation. It was time for the Committee to reconsider its methods of work, for even if it took up five reports a day until the end of the year it would not have dealt with the reports already introduced, let alone the others. It was clear that a big extra effort would have to be made by the Committee and by ACABQ in order to conclude all the important items before the end of the year.

42. It was unacceptable that some reports had been before ACABQ for several weeks but had not yet reached the Committee. The Group of 77 and China was ready to work very hard, after hours and at weekends, but must be met halfway by the Advisory Committee and the Secretariat: the documents must be produced earlier than the dates indicated orally and in the informal paper.

43. There was a tendency for the Fifth Committee to focus only on time-bound issues, a category sometimes taken to include only the budget-related ones. But it must also give priority to other matters crucial to the effective functioning of the Organization. It was imperative for the Committee to complete over the next three weeks its consideration of the budget-related issues and the draft resolution on the common system, which had been complicated by the introduction of reform-related matters not falling strictly within the Committee's purview, as well as of programme planning, the pensions system, the reform items, and the scale of assessments. Decisions should also be

taken on the capital master plan before the end of the year.

44. The Committee should take stock of the way in which the negotiations were conducted: it should seek to avoid the introduction of difficult political issues and linkages between different draft resolutions. It would clearly not be able to complete even one third of its work without moving to "informal" informal consultations, after normal working hours, starting immediately. The Group of 77 and China therefore requested the officers of the Committee to schedule such meetings. They were convinced that the Committee would then be able to complete all of the most important items, if supported by an additional effort by ACABQ. In that connection the practice of proceeding on the basis of oral ACABQ statements was not desirable and should be avoided except in extraordinary circumstances.

45. **Mr. Afifi** (Egypt) said that the representative of South Africa, speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, had accurately described the serious situation facing the Committee, which had been unable to take up a number of items on its agenda, despite having only ten working days left before resolutions on those items were due to be referred to the plenary session of the General Assembly. The main problem remained the bottleneck in the transmission of reports to the Committee from the Secretariat and the Advisory Committee. His delegation agreed that the Committee must be prepared to work outside its regular hours, and urged delegations to aim for consensus when proposing wording for draft resolutions.

46. **Ms. Pehrman** (Finland), speaking on behalf of the European Union, said that she remained optimistic that the Committee could make progress on the many items it had yet to take up, even though it was still awaiting a large number of reports. In that connection, the European Union had been relieved to learn that it could shortly expect the Advisory Committee's views on the governance and oversight reports which had already been under discussion for some time.

47. While the European Union was reluctant to put forward an extensive list of items to which it attached priority, it believed that the Committee must pay particular attention to the capital master plan and reform of the Organization. It must both conclude consideration of as many outstanding items as possible and determine which of them to defer to the first or

second parts of the resumed sixty-first session. If it became necessary to work outside regular hours, the coordinators of consultations on each of the items concerned must be closely consulted to ensure that such work was productive.

48. **Mr. Tal** (Jordan), contrasting the current session with those of previous years, said that the deep sense of urgency aroused by backlogs of work in the past seemed to have been replaced by indifference. He was preoccupied at the lack of engagement even over items which were not contentious. As before, the Committee had two options. The first was to postpone the consideration of some items to the first or second part of the resumed session, which only overburdened the agenda for the resumed session. The second was to work outside regular hours. He hoped that a sense of engagement would prevail, and that the Committee would conclude as much of its business as possible, acknowledging that its reputation was at stake.

49. **Mr. Elji** (Syrian Arab Republic) said that his delegation was concerned at the unprecedented number of major issues which the Committee had yet to take up. While its workload at the fifty-ninth and sixtieth sessions had been very large, it had been able to conclude its business. That looked unlikely at the main part of the current session, which had not been extended. His delegation regretted the unacceptable delay on the part of the Secretariat in ensuring that reports were made available to the Committee, even though the mandates to which they related dated back several months or more.

50. His delegation wondered why the Advisory Committee was taking so long to consider programme budget implications and present its views on them to the Committee. In the case of smaller amounts which could be absorbed within existing appropriations no lengthy discussion should be needed. His delegation was also concerned at the reference made by the Chairman of the Advisory Committee to negotiating texts for consideration by the Committee, as its role was not to negotiate, but to advise.

51. With regard to priorities, all issues — whether financial or administrative — should be considered time-bound. The Committee existed not simply to exercise financial oversight, but to ensure accountability within the Secretariat. Rather than discussing which items to defer, it should discuss how to work constructively and, if necessary, outside its

normal working hours. However, it should also take care to respect the rules and practice of the General Assembly. In that connection, “informal” informal consultations should not begin until after items had been introduced and the initial question-and-answer session had been held, as interpretation facilities were required to facilitate consensus. The Bureau should also examine the possibility of holding evening meetings after 6 p.m. with full meeting services. In the view of his delegation, such arrangements could be made without formal action by the Committee by approaching the Department for General Assembly and Conference Management.

52. **Mr. Singh** (India) said that his delegation was extremely concerned at the late submission of documents to the Committee and at the fact that the Committee’s priorities were being determined for it, an inappropriate practice regardless of whether or not it was deliberate. His delegation wished the Secretariat and the Advisory Committee to play their part in expediting the Committee’s work, and urged all delegations to work in a consensual, realistic and accommodating manner. His own delegation was prepared to work outside the Committee’s regular hours in order to make progress.

53. **Ms. Shah** (United States of America) said that the Committee must try to conclude work on as many of the items before it as possible, but it must remain realistic about what it could achieve in the time available. Her delegation was pleased to hear from the Advisory Committee that its views on the governance and oversight review reports would soon be transmitted to the Committee, and stood ready to work on those and other issues, whether in formal or informal meetings.

54. **Mr. Nikitov** (Ukraine), associating his delegation with the views expressed by the representative of Finland on behalf of the European Union, said that his delegation was prepared to take part in “informal” informal consultations, and supported the Chairman’s initiative to hold meetings between the Bureau and the coordinators for each item in order to review progress.

55. **Mr. Saha** (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions) said that he wished to clarify some portions of his earlier statement which had given rise to comments. First, the Advisory Committee had offered oral statements on revised estimates relating to the United Nations Joint

Staff Pension Fund, the Human Rights Council and strengthening crime prevention and criminal justice in order to facilitate consideration of the relevant reports and note of the Secretary-General (A/61/530, A/61/577 and A/C.5/61/10), and because the Secretary-General had indicated a belief that those bodies' requirements could be accommodated within existing resources and reflected as appropriate in the second performance report. He suggested that the Advisory Committee could simply provide written texts of its oral statements with a view to saving time.

56. Second, the Advisory Committee's consideration of the report of the Secretary-General transmitting the report of the independent Steering Committee on the Comprehensive Review of Governance and Oversight (A/60/883 and Add.1 and 2) had been unavoidably complex, as it involved examining the views of the representatives of the Secretary-General, the members of the independent Steering Committee, the Under-Secretary-General for Internal Oversight Services, the Board of Auditors and the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Joint Inspection Unit. He had sought assurances from the Under-Secretary-General for General Assembly and Conference Management that the Advisory Committee report, which would be complete very soon, would be translated and issued rapidly.

57. Third, with regard to "negotiations" within the Advisory Committee, he assured the representative of the Syrian Arab Republic that his use of that term had not implied that the Advisory Committee went beyond technical issues and ventured into the realm of political issues. He was very careful to ensure that that did not happen.

58. Finally, the role of the Advisory Committee was to study and carefully examine proposals which the Secretary-General put forward in response to requests from the General Assembly. Its members prepared for the twice-daily Advisory Committee meetings during evenings and weekends. He wished to assure the Committee that he would transmit its concerns, keep it informed of progress and endeavour to provide as many reports as possible as rapidly as possible.

59. **Mr. Elji** (Syrian Arab Republic) said that his delegation was pleased to hear that the Advisory Committee confined itself to technical discussions on proposals. However, it emphasized that the established practice must be adhered to: in anything but an

emergency, any Advisory Committee report, regardless of its length, should be made available in advance and in all official languages.

60. **Ms. Lock** (South Africa), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, said that, as the concerns and objectives of her group seemed to be shared by other delegations, perhaps the Chairman and the Bureau should meet to discuss the Committee's comments and suggest a way forward so that plans could be made to address the programme of work.

61. **Mr. Golovinov** (Russian Federation), regretting that the backlog of work which the Committee was facing seemed more serious than that of previous years, said that his delegation would work constructively and intensively in informal consultations and formal meetings in order to make progress. Acceleration of the Committee's work would of course place additional responsibilities on the Bureau and the departments of the Secretariat; the necessary "informal" informal consultations should be scheduled in close consultation with delegations so that all interested parties could attend. His delegation also hoped that every effort would be made to hold such consultations in meeting rooms with sound facilities, so as to facilitate discussion.

62. The Advisory Committee should report orally to the Committee only in exceptional cases. In keeping with the rules of procedure of the General Assembly, reports should otherwise be submitted in advance and in all official languages, even if the Committee's discussions must be extended in order to accommodate that requirement.

The meeting rose at 12.05 p.m.