

A survey of Collective self-awareness in multiple robot systems

Mohammad Rahmani

Kanapram et al. (2020) has proposed a DBN based approach to make two automatic cars following each other, aware of abnormalities to avoid collision.

Kephart et al. (2017) Baydoun et al. (2019) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collective_consciousness Bio-inspired autobiographical memories have already been investigated towards implementing self-awareness in artificial agents, for example, in Landauer and Bellman (2015).

1 Self-aware/Consciousness Computational General Models

Carlo Regazzoni (2020) in Section IV, part B (Page 19) has proposed a comprehensive model for interaction between two AVs which addresses initialization to model creation.

Williams (2019a) and Williams (2019b) borrowed the functional modeling approach common in systems and software engineering, an implementable model of the functions of human consciousness proposed to have the capacity for general problem solving ability transferable to any domain, or true self-aware intelligence, is presented. Its functional model is independent of implementation and proposed to also be applicable to artificial consciousness, and to platforms that organize individuals into what is defined here as a first order collective consciousness, or at higher orders into what is defined here as Nth order collective consciousness.

LUKAS ESTERLE (2020) extends the idea of the self-awareness of individual systems toward networked self-awareness. This gives systems the ability to reason about how they are being affected by the actions and interactions of others within their perceived environment, as well as in the extended environment that is beyond their direct perception. They propose that different levels of networked self-awareness can develop over time in systems as they do in humans. Furthermore, they propose that this could have the same benefits for networks of systems that it has had for communities of humans, increasing performance and adaptability.

Celentano and Röning (2016) suggests an interworking cognitive entities model which includes explicitly interworking capabilities and is applied to both machine-machine interaction and human-machine interaction.

Diaconescu et al. (2017) Gerasimou et al. (2019) suggests a future vision toward coupling heterogeneous to develop collective self awareness such that they can assist each other in accomplishing tasks.

Kosak et al. (2019) they presented an approach to filling the gap between heterogeneous and homogeneous robots by introducing a reference architecture for mobile robots that defines the interplay of all necessary technologies for achieving this goal. They introduce the class of robot systems implementing this architecture as multipotent systems that bring together the benefits of both system classes, enabling homogeneously designed robots to become heterogeneous specialists at runtime.

2 Self-reconfiguration

Queraltà and Westerlund (2019) has implemented a model on a swarm of drones to address the complexity of data generated by multi robots systems functioning in an environment. They brought elastic computing techniques and dynamic resource management from the edge-cloud computing domain to the swarm robotics domain. This enables the dynamic provisioning of collective capabilities in the swarm for different applications. Therefore, we transform a swarm into a distributed sensing and computing platform capable of complex data processing tasks, which can then be offered as a service.

3 Nodes and networks

Agne et al. (2016)

4 Definition

“Information about the global state of the system, which feeds back to adaptively control the actions of the system’s low-level components. This information about the global state is distributed and statistical in nature, and thus is difficult for observers to tease out. However, the system’s components are able, collectively, to use this information in such a way that the entire system appears to have a coherent and useful sense of its own state (Mitchell, 2005). Schmickl et al. (2011) showed that a group of robots with simple behavioral rules and local interactions may achieve collective awareness of a global state, distributed across the individual units.

The emphasis here has been added, to highlight that a system which behaves in a self-aware manner is not necessarily required to possess a single component which has access to system global knowledge. Indeed, in many cases, e.g., ant colonies, immune systems and humans themselves, the entire system appears self-aware, despite the knowledge available at constituent parts being only local. The appearance of self-awareness is an emergent effect Mitchell (2005).

This is a key observation which can contribute to the design of self-aware systems: one need not require that such a system possesses a global omniscient controller. Indeed, many natural systems appear to have been favored by evolution which do not have such a central point of control, and rely upon relevant knowledge being available at required locations within the system. It is highly likely that this can improve the robustness and adaptability of such systems; these are desirable properties for natural and artificial systems alike

Mitchell (2005).

WORKING DEFINITION FOR SELF-AWARE COMPUTING SYSTEMS

This definition is based on the idea of a conceptual component called a self-aware node. A node in this context need not physically exist as a hardware or software component of a computing system, but provides a conceptualisation of locality within a global system, particularly in relation to what is considered self in the context of self-awareness. This distributed nature of conceptual components is particularly relevant to the idea of distributed self-awareness, as expounded by Mitchell (2005). The definition is as follows.

To be self-aware a node must:

- Possess information about its internal state (private self-awareness).
- Possess sufficient knowledge of its environment to determine how it is perceived by other parts of the system (public self-awareness).

Optionally, it might also:

- Possess knowledge of its role or importance within the wider system.
- Possess knowledge about the likely effect of potential future actions / decisions.
- Possess historical knowledge.
- Select what is relevant knowledge and what is not.

5 Self-collision avoid

Selvaggio et al. (2017) lays the foundations of a self-collision aware teleoperation framework for compound robots. Their objective of the proposed system is to constrain the user to teleoperate a slave robot inside its safe workspace region through the application of force cues on the master side of the bilateral teleoperation system. Kaiser et al. (2020) proposes a framework to combine self-aware computing with multirotor formations to address this problem. The self-awareness is envisioned to improve the dynamic behavior of multirotors. The formation scheme that is implemented is called platooning, which arranges vehicles in a string behind the lead vehicle and is proposed to bring order into chaotic air space.

6 In nature

Mitchell (2005) discusses how fish, bees, ants, immune systems form collective self-awareness in nature.

7 The rest

Kernbach (2011)

CoCoRo - The Self-aware Underwater Swarm Schmickl et al. (2011)

8 Surveys

Lewis et al. (2011) starting from section II-C.

References

- Andreas Agne, Markus Happe, Achim Lösch, Christian Plessl, and Marco Platzner. *Self-aware Compute Nodes*, pages 145–165. 07 2016. ISBN 978-3-319-39674-3. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-39675-0_8.
- M. Baydoun, D. Campo, D. Kanapram, L. Marcenaro, and C. S. Regazzoni. Prediction of multi-target dynamics using discrete descriptors: an interactive approach. In *ICASSP 2019 - 2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 3342–3346, 2019.
- Bernhard Rinner Carlo Regazzoni. 2020.
- Ulrico Celentano and Juha Röning. Multi-robot systems, machine-machine and human-machine interaction, and their modelling. In H. Jaap van den Herik and Joaquim Filipe, editors, *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Agents and Artificial Intelligence (ICAART 2016), Volume 1, Rome, Italy, February 24-26, 2016*, pages 118–125. SciTePress, 2016.
- Ada Diaconescu, Kirstie Bellman, Lukas Esterle, Holger Giese, Sebastian Götz, Peter Lewis, and Andrea Zisman. *Architectures for Collective Self-aware Computing Systems*, pages 191–235. 01 2017. ISBN 978-3-319-47472-4. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-47474-8_7.
- Simos Gerasimou, Nicholas Matragkas, and Radu Calinescu. Towards systematic engineering of collaborative heterogeneous robotic systems. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Robotics Software Engineering, RoSE@ICSE 2019, Montreal, QC, Canada, May 27, 2019*, pages 25–28. IEEE / ACM, 2019.
- Dennis Kaiser, Veronika Lesch, Julian Rothe, Michael Strohmeier, Florian Spieß, Christian Krupitzer, Sergio Montenegro, and Samuel Kounev. Towards self-aware multirotor formations. *Comput.*, 9(1):7, 2020.

- Divya Kanapram, Fabio Patrone, Pablo Marín-Plaza, Mario Marchese, Eliane L. Bodanese, Lucio Marcenaro, David Martín Gómez, and Carlo S. Regazzoni. Collective awareness for abnormality detection in connected autonomous vehicles. *IEEE Internet Things J.*, 2020.
- Jeffrey Kephart, Ada Diaconescu, Holger Giese, Anders Robertsson, Tarek Abdelzaher, Peter Lewis, Antonio Filieri, Lukas Esterle, and Sylvain Frey. *Self-adaptation in Collective Self-aware Computing Systems*, pages 401–435. 01 2017. ISBN 978-3-319-47472-4.
- Serge Kernbach. Awareness and self-awareness for multi-robot organisms. 11 2011.
- Oliver Kosak, Constantin Wanninger, Alwin Hoffmann, Hella Ponsar, and Wolfgang Reif. Multipotent systems: Combining planning, self-organization, and reconfiguration in modular robot ensembles. *Sensors*, 19(1):17, 2019.
- Christopher Landauer and Kirstie L. Bellman. Designing cooperating self-improving systems. *2015 IEEE International Conference on Autonomic Computing*, pages 273–278, 2015.
- Peter R. Lewis, Arjun Chandra, Shaun Parsons, Edward Robinson, Kyrre Glette, Rami Bahsoon, Jim Tørresen, and Xin Yao. A survey of self-awareness and its application in computing systems. In *Fifth IEEE Conference on Self-Adaptive and Self-Organizing Systems, SASOW 2011, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, October 3-7, 2011, Workshops Proceedings*, pages 102–107. IEEE Computer Society, 2011.
- JOHN N. A. BROWN LUKAS ESTERLE. I think therefore you are: Models for interaction in collectives of self-aware cyber-physical systems. *IEEE Internet Things J.*, 2020.
- Melanie Mitchell. Self-awareness and control in decentralized systems. pages 80–85, 01 2005.
- Jorge Peña Queralta and Tomi Westerlund. Blockchain-powered collaboration in heterogeneous swarms of robots. *arXiv: Cryptography and Security*, 2019.
- T. Schmickl, R. Thenius, C. Moslinger, J. Timmis, A. Tyrrell, M. Read, J. Hilder, J. Halloy, A. Campo, C. Stefanini, L. Manfredi, S. Orofino, S. Kernbach, T. Dipper, and D. Sutantyo. Cocoro – the self-aware underwater swarm. In *2011 Fifth IEEE Conference on Self-Adaptive and Self-Organizing Systems Workshops*, pages 120–126, 2011.
- Mario Selvaggio, Stanislaw Grazioso, Gennaro Notomista, and Fei Chen. Towards a self-collision aware teleoperation framework for compound robots. In *2017 IEEE World Haptics Conference, WHC 2017, Munich, Germany, June 6-9, 2017*, pages 460–465. IEEE, 2017.

Andy E. Williams. A model for human, artificial & collective consciousness (part i). *Journal of Consciousness Exploration & Research*, 10, 2019a.

Andy E. Williams. A model for human, artificial & collective consciousness (part i). *Journal of Consciousness Exploration & Research*, 10, 2019b.