

# Chapter 3—Linear Transformations (§3.1)

Friday, February 6, 2026 10:31 am

## § 3.1 Linear Transformations

### Story.

We now introduce linear transformations  
the objects that we shall study in most of the remainder  
of this book (course?).

The reader may find it helpful to read (or reread)  
the discussion of functions in the Appendix  
(since this chapter uses that terminology  
freely)

Me: I haven't yet, but we will stop and revisit it  
if something becomes unclear as we read.

Let us start with the definition of a linear transformation

### Definition.

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over the field  $F$ .

A linear transformation from  $V$  into  $W$   
is a function  $T$  from  $V$  to  $W$  such that

$$T(c\alpha + \beta) = c(T\alpha) + T\beta$$

for all  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $V$  and all scalars  $c$  in  $F$ .

### Story.

Why this definition will hopefully become clear.

Let us start by looking at some examples that satisfy this definition.

### Example 1.

If  $V$  is any vector space  
the identity transformation,  $I$   
defined by  $I\alpha = \alpha$   
is a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $V$ .

The **zero transformation**  $0$   
defined by  $0\alpha = 0$   
is a linear transformation from  
 $V$  into  $V$ .

### Example 2.

Let  $F$  be a field and let  $V$  be the space of polynomial functions  
 $f$  from  $F$  to  $F$ , given by  
$$f(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_kx^k$$

Let

$$(Df)(x) := c_1 + 2c_2x + \cdots + kc_kx^{k-1}.$$

Then  $D$  is a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $V$   
(the differentiation transformation).

### Example 3.

Let  $A$  be a fixed  
 $m \times n$  matrix with entries in some field  $F$ .

The function  $T$  defined by  
 $T(X) = AX$   
is a linear transformation from  $F^{n \times 1}$  to  $F^{m \times 1}$ .

The function  $U$  defined by  $U(\alpha) = \alpha A$   
is a linear transformation from  $F^m$  to  $F^n$ .

**Example 4.**

Let  $P$  be a fixed  $m \times m$  matrix  
with entries in the field  $F$ .

Let  $Q$  be a fixed  $n \times n$  matrix over  $F$ .

Define a function  $T$  from  $F^{m \times n}$  to itself by  
 $T(A) = PAQ$ .

Then  $T$  is a linear transformation because

$$\begin{aligned} T(cA + B) &= P(cA + B)Q \\ &= (cPA + PB)Q \\ &= cPAQ + PBQ \\ &= cT(A) + T(B). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 5.**

Let  $R$  be the field of real numbers  
Let  $V$  be the space of all functions from  $R$  to  $R$   
(that are continuous).

Define by  $T$  the transformation

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

Then,  
 $T$  is a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $V$ .

NB: The function  $Tf$  is not only continuous  
but also a continuous first derivative.

Remark: Linearity of integration  
is one of its fundamental properties.

Story:

- The book says: the reader should have no difficulty  
verifying that the transformations in  
Examples 1, 2, 3 and 5 are linear.  
(HW)
- We will expand our list of examples considerably  
as we learn more about linear transformations.

**NB.** Note that if  $T$  is a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$   
then  $T(0) = 0$   
(this follows from the definition:  
 $T(0) = T(0 + 0) = T(0) + T(0)$ ).

Remark:

- What we are calling linear may be slightly different  
from what is considered in say physics etc.

For instance, suppose  $V$  is just the real line.  
 Then one might call a particular transformation  $T$  (from  $V$  to  $V$ )  
 to be linear if its graph is a straight line.  
 But for us, the straight line must pass through the origin.

Story:

- In addition to the  $T(0) = 0$  property,  
 we also observe another important property  
 of linear transformations.

NB.

A linear transformation 'preserves' linear combinations,  
 i.e.  
 if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are vectors in  $V$   
 and  
 $c_1 \dots c_n$  are scalars  
 then  
 $T(c_1\alpha_1 + \dots + c_n\alpha_n) = c_1(T\alpha_1) + \dots + c_n(T\alpha_n)$ .

This follows readily from the definition.

E.g.

$$\begin{aligned} T(c_1\alpha_1 + c_2\alpha_2) &= c_1(T\alpha_1) + T(c_2\alpha_2) \\ &= c_1(T\alpha_1) + c_2(T\alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

### Theorem 1.

Let

- $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space  
 over the field  $F$
- $\{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n\}$  be an ordered basis for  $V$ .
- $W$  be a vector space over the same field  $F$  and
- $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  be any vectors in  $W$ .

Then

there is precisely one linear transformation  
 $T$  from  $V$  to  $W$  such that

$$T\alpha_j = \beta_j \text{ for } j \in \{1 \dots n\}.$$

Proof.

Strategy:

To prove there is some linear transformation  
 $T$  with  $T\alpha_j = \beta_j$  we proceed as follows  
 (i) we first define a map  $T$   
 (ii) show that this map does the required mapping  
 (iii) show that  $T$  is linear  
 (iv) show that  $T$  is unique.

NB: Given  $\alpha$  in  $V$

there is a unique  $n$ -tuple  $(x_1 \dots x_n)$   
 such that  
 $\alpha = x_1\alpha_1 + \dots + x_n\alpha_n$ .

Defn.

For this vector  $\alpha$ , define  
 $T\alpha = x_1\beta_1 + \dots + x_n\beta_n$ .

NB.

$T$  is a well-defined rule for associating with each vector  $\alpha$  in  $V$   
 a vector  $T\alpha$  in  $W$ .

NB?

1922.

From the definition of  $T$   
it is clear that  $T\alpha_j = \beta_j$  for each  $j$ .

Story: So this means the mapping is as we would like it to be  
It remains to show that the transformation  $T$  is linear.

Claim:  $T$  is a linear transformation.

Proof.

Let  $\beta = y_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + y_n\alpha_n$   
be in  $V$  and let  $c$  be any scalar.

Now, we have that  
 $c\alpha + \beta = (cx_1 + y_1)\alpha_1 + \cdots + (cx_n + y_n)\alpha_n$

and so by definition  
 $T(c\alpha + \beta) = (cx_1 + y_1)\beta_1 + \cdots + (cx_n + y_n)\beta_n$

On the other hand  
 $c(T\alpha) + T\beta = c \sum_i x_i\beta_i + \sum_i y_i\beta_i = \sum_i (cx_i + y_i)\beta_i$

and therefore  
 $T(c\alpha + \beta) = c(T\alpha) + T\beta$ .

□

Story: The final step is to show uniqueness of  $T$ .

Claim:  $T$  is unique.

Proof

Suppose  $U$  is a linear transformation  
from  $V$  to  $W$  with  
 $U\alpha_j = \beta_j$  for  $j \in \{1 \dots n\}$ .

Then, for the vector  
 $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\alpha_i$

it holds that

$$U\alpha = U\left(\sum_i x_i\alpha_i\right) = \sum_i x_i(U\alpha_i) = \sum_i x_i\beta_i$$

But this is exactly the rule/map  $T$  that we defined above.

This shows that the linear transformation  $T$   
with  $T\alpha_j = \beta_j$  is unique.

□

■

Story:

- Theorem 1  
as must be evident  
is very elementary
  - Yet, it is so basic that the book has stated it formally.
- The concept of a function is very general.
  - If  $V$  and  $W$  are (non-zero) vector spaces  
then there are very many functions  
from  $V$  to  $W$ .

- Theorem 1 helps establish that the ones that are linear are extremely special.

#### Example 6.

The vectors

$$\alpha_1 = (1, 2) \text{ and } \alpha_2 = (3, 4)$$

are linearly independent and

therefore form a basis for  $R^2$ .

According to Theorem 1,

there is a unique linear transformation

from  $R^2$  to  $R^3$  such that

$$T\alpha_1 = (3, 2, 1)$$

$$T\alpha_2 = (6, 5, 4).$$

We should therefore be able to find  $T(\epsilon_1)$ , i.e.  $T(1, 0)$ .

To this end,

we find scalars  $c_1, c_2$  such that

$$\epsilon_1 = c_1\alpha_1 + c_2\alpha_2 \text{ and then}$$

we know that  $T\epsilon_1 = c_1T\alpha_1 + c_2T\alpha_2$ .

Using  $(1, 0) = c_1(1, 2) + c_2(3, 4)$

we get  $c_1 = -2$  and  $c_2 = 1$ .

Thus

$$T(1, 0) = -2(3, 2, 1) + (6, 5, 4) = (0, 1, 2).$$

#### Example 7.

Let  $T$  be a linear transformation from

the  $m$ -tuple space  $F^m$  into

the  $n$ -tuple space  $F^n$ .

Theorem 1 tells us that  $T$  is uniquely determined by

the sequence of vectors  $\beta_1 \dots \beta_m$  where

$$\beta_i = T\epsilon_i \text{ for } i \in \{1 \dots m\}.$$

(i.e.  $T$  is uniquely determined by the images of the standard basis vectors.)

So, how does this vector act?

Let  $\alpha = (x_1 \dots x_m)$ .

Then  $T\alpha = x_1\beta_1 + \dots + x_m\beta_m$ .

If  $B$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix

that has row vectors  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$

the transformation can be written as

$$T\alpha = \alpha B.$$

i.e. if  $\beta_i = (B_{i1}, \dots, B_{in})$  then

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_m) = [x_1 \dots x_m] \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & \dots & B_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_{m1} & \dots & B_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is a very explicit description of the linear transformation.

In Section 3.4

we look at the relationship b/w

linear transformations and matrices in detail;

Here, we skip it because the matrix  $B$   
is on the right of the vector  
and that can cause confusion;

The point of the example is that  
one can find a reasonably simple description  
of all linear transformations  
from  $F^m$  to  $F^n$ .

Story:

- If  $T$  is a linear transformation from  $V$  into  $W$   
then the range of  $T$  is  
not only a subset of  $W$   
it is a *subspace* of  $W$ .
- Let  $R_T$  be the range of  $T$   
i.e. the set of all vectors  $\beta$  in  $W$   
such that  $\beta = T\alpha$  for some  $\alpha$  in  $V$ .  
 $\{\beta: \beta = T\alpha, \text{ for } \alpha \in V\}$

**Claim.**  $R_T$  is a subspace.

Proof.

- Let  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  be in  $R_T$  and let  $c$  be a scalar.  
(we will show  $c\beta_1 + \beta_2$  is also in  $R_T$ )
  - Then, there are vectors  
 $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  in  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} T\alpha_1 &= \beta_1 \text{ and} \\ T\alpha_2 &= \beta_2. \end{aligned}$$

- Now, since  $T$  is linear, it holds that

$$T(c\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = cT\alpha_1 + T\alpha_2 = c\beta_1 + \beta_2$$

which shows that  $c\beta_1 + \beta_2$  is also in  $R_T$ .

■

Story:

- Another interesting subspace associated with  
the linear transformation  $T$   
is the set  $N$  consisting of the vectors  
 $\alpha$  in  $V$  such that  $T\alpha = 0$ ,  
 $N := \{\alpha \in V: T\alpha = 0\}$   
(me: the null space?)

**Claim.**  $N$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

Proof.

- (a)  $T(0) = 0$  so that  $N$  is non-empty
- (b) if  $T\alpha_1 = T\alpha_2 = 0$   
then  
 $T(c\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = cT\alpha_1 + T\alpha_2 = c0 + 0 = 0$   
so that  $c\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in N$ .

■

**Definition.**

Let

$V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over the field  $F$  and  
 $T$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$ .

The **null space** of  $T$  is the set of all vectors  $\alpha$  in  $V$

such that  $T\alpha = 0$   
i.e.  $T := \{\alpha \in V : T\alpha = 0\}$ .

If  $V$  is finite dimensional  
the **rank** of  $T$  is the dimension of the range of  $T$   
i.e.  $\text{rank}(T) := \dim(\{T\alpha : \alpha \in V\})$

Story:

The following is one of the most important results in linear algebra.

**Theorem 2.**

Let  
 $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over the field  $F$  and  
 $T$  be a linear transformation  
from  $V$  to  $W$ .

Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional.

Then  
 $\text{rank}(T) + \text{nullity}(T) = \dim V$ .

**Proof.**

Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  be a basis for  $N$  (the null space of  $T$ ).  
There are vectors  
 $\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$  in  $V$  such that  
 $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$ .

**Assertion.** We assert that  $\{T\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, T\alpha_n\}$  is a basis for  
the range of  $T$ .  
(we prove this shortly)

Strategy/final argument:

How does this help?  
If  $r$  is the rank of  $T$   
the assertion above says that  
 $r = n - k$   
(because the range of  $k$   
has a basis with  $n - k$  elements)  
Since  $k$  is the nullity, and  $n$  the dimension of  $V$   
this suffices to establish the theorem.

Therefore, it suffices to prove the assertion  
to establish the result.

**Claim.**  $\{T\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, T\alpha_n\}$  span the range of  $T$ .

The vectors  $T\alpha_1, \dots, T\alpha_n$  certainly span the range of  $T$   
(because any vector in  $\beta$  in  $V$  can be  
written as a linear combination of  $\alpha_i$ s  
and  $T\beta$  is then a linear combination of  $T\alpha_i$ s)

Clearly,  $T\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, T\alpha_n$  also span the range  
(because  $T\alpha_j = 0$  for all  $j \leq k$ ).

It remains to establish that these are also  
linearly independent.

**Claim.**  $T\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, T\alpha_n$  are linearly independent.

Consider scalars  $c_i$  such that  
 $\sum_{i \in \{k+1, \dots, n\}} c_i (T\alpha_i) = 0$ .

This says

$$T\left(\sum c_i \alpha_i\right) = 0$$

$$\left( \sum_{i \in \{k+1, \dots, n\}} c_i \alpha_i \right)$$

and so the vector  $\sum_{i \in \{k+1, \dots, n\}} c_i \alpha_i$  is also  
in the null space of  $T$ .

Since  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  form a basis for  $N$  (the null space)  
there must be scalars  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  such that

$$\alpha = \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} b_i \alpha_i.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} b_i \alpha_i - \sum_{j \in \{k+1, \dots, n\}} c_j \alpha_j = 0$$

and now since  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are linearly independent  
it must be the case that

$$b_1 = \dots = b_k = c_{k+1} = \dots = c_n = 0.$$

■

### Theorem 3.

If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix with entries in the field  $F$   
then

$$\text{row rank}(A) = \text{column rank}(A).$$

Proof.

Strategy: We obtain an expression relating  
the dimension of the solution space of  $AX = 0$  (nullity) and  $m$  (dimension of the initial  
vector space)  
with the column and row rank of  $A$

The first part uses Theorem 2 above and  
the second part uses a fact about row rank from Chapter 2.

Let  $T$  be the linear transformation from  
 $F^{n \times 1}$  to  $F^{m \times 1}$   
defined by  $T(X) = AX$ .

The null space of  $T$   
is the solution space for the system  
 $AX = 0$   
(i.e. the set of all column matrices  
 $X$  such that  $AX = 0$ ).

The range of  $T$  is the set of all  $m \times 1$  column matrices  
 $Y$  such that  $AX = Y$  has a solution for  $X$ .  
(i.e.  $Y$ 's such that there is an  $X$  such that  
 $AX = Y$ )

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be the columns of  $A$ .

Then

$$AX = x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_n A_n$$

so that the range of  $T$  is the  
subspace spanned by the columns of  $A$ .

(i.e. the range of  $T$  is the column space of  $A$ .)

Thus

$$\text{rank}(T) = \text{column rank}(A).$$

From Theorem 2 (above),

EXAMPLE 15. Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix and let  $S$  be the solution  
space for the homogeneous system  $AX = 0$  (Example 7). Let  $R$  be a row-  
reduced echelon matrix which is row-equivalent to  $A$ . Then  $S$  is also the  
solution space for the system  $RX = 0$ . If  $R$  has  $r$  non-zero rows, then the  
system of equations  $RX = 0$  simply expresses  $r$  of the unknowns  $x_1, \dots, x_n$   
in terms of the remaining  $(n - r)$  unknowns  $x_i$ . Suppose that the leading

we have that if  $S$  is the solution space for the system  $AX = 0$   
 then it holds that  
 $\dim S + \text{column rank}(A) = n$ .

Recall Example 15 of Chapter 2:

We showed that if  $r$  is the dimension of the row space of  $A$   
 then the solution space  $S$  has a basis  
 consisting of  $n - r$  vectors:

$$\dim S = n - \text{row rank}(A).$$

Combining these, we have

$$\text{row rank}(A) = \text{column rank}(A).$$

■

Story:

The proof of Theorem 3  
 depends on calculations concerning  
 systems of linear equations.

There is a more conceptual proof  
 that does not rely on such calculations.

We look at it in Section 3.7

non-zero entries of the non-zero rows occur in columns  $k_1, \dots, k_r$ . Let  $J$   
 be the set consisting of the  $n - r$  indices different from  $k_1, \dots, k_r$ :

$$J = \{1, \dots, n\} - \{k_1, \dots, k_r\}.$$

The system  $RX = 0$  has the form

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_{k_1} + \sum_j c_{1j}x_j & = & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{k_r} + \sum_j c_{rj}x_j & = & 0 \end{array}$$

where the  $c_{ij}$  are certain scalars. All solutions are obtained by assigning  
 (arbitrary) values to those  $x_j$ 's with  $j$  in  $J$  and computing the correspond-  
 ing values of  $x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_r}$ . For each  $j$  in  $J$ , let  $E_j$  be the solution obtained  
 by setting  $x_j = 1$  and  $x_i = 0$  for all other  $i$  in  $J$ . We assert that the  $(n - r)$   
 vectors  $E_j$ ,  $j$  in  $J$ , form a basis for the solution space.

Since the column matrix  $E_j$  has a 1 in row  $j$  and zeros in the rows  
 indexed by other elements of  $J$ , the reasoning of Example 13 shows us  
 that the set of these vectors is linearly independent. That set spans the  
 solution space, for this reason. If the column matrix  $T$ , with entries  
 $t_1, \dots, t_n$ , is in the solution space, the matrix

$$N = \sum_j t_j E_j$$

is also in the solution space *and* is a solution such that  $x_j = t_j$  for each  
 $j$  in  $J$ . The solution with that property is unique; hence,  $N = T$  and  $T$  is  
 in the span of the vectors  $E_j$ .

## § 3.2 The Algebra of Linear Transformations

Story:

In the study of linear transformations  
 from  $V$  to  $W$   
 it is of fundamental importance  
 that the set of these transformations  
 inherit a natural vector space structure.

The set of linear transformations  
 from a space  $V$  into itself  
 has even more algebraic structure  
 because  
 ordinary composition of functions  
 provides a 'multiplication' of such transformations.

We shall explore these ideas in this section.

### Theorem 4.

- Let
- $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over the field  $F$ , and
  - $T$  and  $U$  be linear transformations  
 from  $V$  into  $W$ .

Then, the function  $(T + U)$  defined by  
 $(T + U)(\alpha) = T\alpha + U\alpha$   
 is a linear transformation  
 from  $V$  to  $W$ .

Further

- if  $c$  is any element of  $F$   
 the function  $cT$  defined by  
 $(cT)(\alpha) = c(T\alpha)$   
 is a linear transformation  
 from  $V$  to  $W$ .

Finally, consider the set of all linear transformations  
 from  $V$  to  $W$

non-vectors

together with the addition and scalar multiplication defined above.

This forms a vector space over the field  $F$ .

Proof.

Let  $T$  and  $U$  be as stated in the premise.

Claim.  $(T + U)$  is a linear transformation.

Then, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}(T + U)(c\alpha + \beta) &= T(c\alpha + \beta) + U(c\alpha + \beta) \\ &= c(T\alpha) + T\beta + c(U\alpha) + U\beta \\ &= c(T\alpha + U\alpha) + (T\beta + U\beta) \\ &= c(T + U)(\alpha) + (T + U)(\beta)\end{aligned}$$

Claim. Similarly,  $cT$  is a linear transformation.

Observe,

$$\begin{aligned}(cT)(d\alpha + \beta) &= c[T(d\alpha + \beta)] \\ &= c[d(T\alpha) + T\beta] \\ &= cd(T\alpha) + c(T\beta) \\ &= d[c(T\alpha)] + c(T\beta) \\ &= d[(cT)\alpha] + (cT)\beta\end{aligned}$$

It remains to establish that  
the set of linear transformations of  $V$  to  $W$   
(together with these operations)  
is a vector space.

This is a matter of verifying the conditions  
on vector addition and  
scalar multiplication.

The book leaves it to the reader—we will leave add it in the problem set.

HW

The text gives the following hint/makes the following remark:

- The zero vector in this space  
will be the zero transformation  
(i.e. the transformation that sends  
every vector of  $V$  into the zero vector in  $W$ )
- each of the properties of the two operations  
follows from the corresponding property of  
the operations in  $W$ .

■

Remark: The text mentions another way of looking at this theorem

- If one defines sum and scalar multiplication as we did  
then the set of *all* functions from  $V$  to  $W$   
becomes a vector space over the field  $F$ .
  - This has nothing to do with the fact that  
 $V$  is a vector space over  $F$   
(only that  $V$  is a non-empty set).
- When  $V$  is a vector space  
one can define a linear transformation  
from  $V$  to  $W$ .

Theorem 4 says that  
the linear transformations are a *subspace* of  
the *space* of all functions from  $V$  to  $W$ .

Notation: We use  $L(V, W)$  to denote  
the space of linear transformations from  
 $V$  to  $W$ .

(The text emphasises that  
 $L$  is only defined when  
 $V$  and  $W$  are vector spaces over the *same* field)

**Theorem 5.**

Let

$V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $F$ , and  
 $W$  be an  $m$ -dimensional vector space over  $F$ .

Then

the space  $L(V, W)$  is finite-dimensional  
and has dimension  $mn$ .

Proof.

Strategy: We construct a very simple basis for  $L$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{B}' = \{\beta_1 \dots \beta_m\}$$

be ordered bases for  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively.

For each pair of integers

$(p, q)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq m$  and  $1 \leq q \leq n$

one can define a linear transformation

$E^{p,q}$  from  $V$  to  $W$  by

$$\begin{aligned} E^{p,q}(\alpha_i) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq q \\ \beta_p, & \text{if } i = q \end{cases} \\ &= \delta_{iq} \beta_p. \end{aligned}$$

(i.e. map the vector  $\alpha_q$  to  $\beta_p$  and map the rest to the 0 vector)

According to Theorem 1

there is a unique transformation from  $V$  to  $W$   
satisfying these conditions.

Claim: The  $mn$  transformations  $E^{p,q}$  form a basis for  $L(V, W)$ .

Let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$ .

For each  $j \in \{1 \dots n\}$

let  $A_{1j}, \dots, A_{mj}$  be the coordinates of the vector

$T\alpha_j$  in the ordered basis  $\mathcal{B}'$

i.e.

$$T\alpha_j = \sum_{p \in \{1 \dots m\}} A_{pj} \beta_p. \quad [3-1]$$

We want to show that

$$T = \sum_{p \in \{1 \dots m\}, q \in \{1 \dots n\}} A_{pq} E^{p,q}. \quad [3-2]$$

Proof:

Let  $U$  be the linear transformation  
on the RHS above (in [3-2]).

Then for each  $j$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} U\alpha_j &= \sum_p \sum_q A_{pq} E^{p,q}(\alpha_j) \\ &= \sum_p \sum_q A_{pq} \delta_{jq} \beta_p \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^m A_{pj} \beta_p \\ &= T\alpha_j \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $U = T$ .

□

From [3-2] it follows that  $E^{p,q}$  span  $L(V, W)$   
(one can write every linear transformation  
as a linear combination of  $E^{p,q}$ ).

It remains to show that  $E^{p,q}$  are linearly independent.

Proof.

We want to show that  
no linear combination of  $E^{p,q}$ s  
can give the zero vector  
(unless all coefficients are zero).

This also follows from [3-2].

Suppose  $U = \sum_{p,q} A_{pq} E^{p,q}$   
is the zero transformation;

Then

$$U\alpha_j = 0, \text{ i.e. } \sum_p A_{pj}\beta_j = 0.$$

Now, independence of  $\beta_j$ s implies that  
 $A_{pj} = 0$  for every  $p$  and  $j$ .

□

This shows  $E^{p,q}$  form a basis, as claimed.

□