

STARTING OF THE ACTUAL TECHNICAL PART

§ 2 Preliminaries

Global Notation:

λ : Security parameter.

negl : any negligible f

i.e. f s.t. $\forall \text{ constants } c \in \mathbb{N}$
 $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$

s.t. $n > N$,

$$f(n) < n^{-c}$$

Let: A be an alphabet.

$$x \in A^n$$

Difⁿ: $h(x) = \# \text{ non zero values of } x$. (Hamming Distance)

$$w(x) := \frac{h(x)}{n} \quad (\text{relative Hamming distance})$$

Let: $x, y \in A^n$

Difⁿ: $\Delta(x, y) := w(x \oplus y)$ is the relative
Hamming distance
b/w $x \oplus y$

(: whenever $x \oplus y$ match, $x \oplus y$ does not see a contribution
at those indices)

§ 2.1 Quantum preliminaries

X : Used for both the system/registered
the associated Hilbert space
 \mathbb{C}^{2^n}
n-qubit register.

Recall : pure & mixed states.

Quantum operation := CPTP map from X to Y ,
i.e. $F(\rho) = \tau^Y$

Notⁿ: $\gamma \leftarrow F(x)$.

(leaving states implicit)

: Unitary $U: X \rightarrow X$ $U^\dagger U = UU^\dagger = \mathbb{I}^X$

: Projector: Π Hermitian s.t. $\Pi^2 = \Pi$

Projective Measurement: $\{\Pi_i\}$ projectors s.t.

$$\sum \Pi_i = \mathbb{I}$$

: Trace : T_x

Partial trace : T_x^Y - claim unique operation s.t.

$$+ (\rho, \tau)^{XY}, T_x^Y(\rho, \tau) = T_x(\tau)\rho.$$

: Trace Distance $\text{TD}(\rho, \tau) := \frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \tau\|_1$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \sqrt{(\rho - \tau)^+ (\rho - \tau)}$$

: TD is an upper bound on the prob. that any (even unbounded) alg. distinguishes $\rho \neq \tau$.

Lemma 2.1 (Gentle measurement [Win 99]).

Let $\cdot \rho^*$ be a quantum state.

$\cdot (\Pi, 1 - \Pi)$ be a proj. measurement on \mathcal{X}
s.t.

$$\text{tr}(\Pi \rho) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

$\cdot \rho' := \frac{\Pi \rho \Pi}{\text{tr}(\Pi \rho)} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \text{post-selected state} \\ (\text{to first outcome}) \\ \text{after measuring w.r.t.} \end{pmatrix}$

Then $\text{TD}(\rho, \rho') \leq 2\sqrt{\delta}$.

Notation: For $x, \theta \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$, $|x\rangle_\theta := H^{\theta_1}|x_1\rangle \dots \otimes H^{\theta_\lambda}|x_\lambda\rangle$

Notation: Non-uniform quantum polytime (QPT) machine
 $\{A_\lambda, |4\rangle_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of

poly-sized quantum machines A_λ
where each is initialised w/ a poly-sized
advice state $|1\rangle_\lambda$

Each A_λ is in general described by a CPTP map.

: $y \leftarrow A(x)$, we mean the machine takes register x & produces register y .
(states are implicit)

: Interactive machine: sequence of quant. operations
with designated input/output &
work registers.

§ 2.2 The XOR extractor

Story: The XOR function is a good randomness extractor
from certain quantum sources of entropy
— even gives quantum side info¹.

Theorem 2.2 Let $\cdot X$ be an n -qubit register, and
• consider any quantum state $|Y\rangle_{A,X}$ of the
form

$$\sum_{u: h(u) < n/2} |1\rangle_u^A \otimes |u\rangle^X \quad \}$$

(recall that
 $h(\cdot)$ denotes the Hamming weight)

$\rho^{A,P}$ be the mixed state that
 results from measuring x in
 the Hadamard basis to
 produce a string $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ &
 writing $\bigoplus_{i \in [n]} x_i$ into a
 single qubit register P .

Then it holds that

$$\rho^{A,P} = \text{tr}_P^A (|r\rangle\langle r|) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2} |0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle\langle 1| \right).$$

[Proof]

Step. Write the state on $A \times P$ from applying Hadamard & recording the parity in P .

We use $p(x) := \bigoplus_{i \in [n]} x_i$.

$$\text{Def: } |\Psi\rangle^{A \times P} := \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{u: h(u) < n/2} (-1)^{u \cdot x} |\psi_u\rangle^A \right)}_{:= |\phi_x\rangle^A} |x\rangle^A |p(x)\rangle^P$$

$$\text{NB: } T_2^x |\Psi\rangle = \rho^{AP}$$

$$\therefore \rho^{AP} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |\phi_x\rangle \langle \phi_x| \otimes |\rho(x)\rangle \langle \rho(x)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x: p(x)=0} |\phi_x\rangle \langle \phi_x| \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0| + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x: p(x)=1} |\phi_x\rangle \langle \phi_x| \otimes |1\rangle \langle 1| \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x: p(x)=0} \left(\sum_{\substack{u_1, u_2: \\ h(u_1), h(u_2) < n/2}} (-1)^{(u_1 \oplus u_2) \cdot x} |\Psi_{u_1}\rangle \langle \Psi_{u_2}| \right) \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x: p(x)=1} \left(\sum_{\substack{u_1, u_2: \\ h(u_1), h(u_2) < n/2}} (-1)^{(u_1 \oplus u_2) \cdot x} |\Psi_{u_1}\rangle \langle \Psi_{u_2}| \right) \otimes |1\rangle \langle 1| \\
&= \sum_{\substack{u_1, u_2: \\ h(u_1), h(u_2) < n/2}} |\Psi_{u_1}\rangle \langle \Psi_{u_2}| \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x: p(x)=0} (-1)^{(u_1 \oplus u_2) \cdot x} |0\rangle \langle 0| + \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x: p(x)=1} (-1)^{(u_1 \oplus u_2) \cdot x} |1\rangle \langle 1| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

[Claim 2.3: For any $u \in \{0,1\}^n$ s.t. $u \notin \{0^n, 1^n\}$, it holds that]

$$\sum_{x: p(x)=0} (-1)^{u \cdot x} = \sum_{x: p(x)=1} (-1)^{u \cdot x} = 0$$

NB: $u_1 \oplus u_2$ is never equal to 1 $\because h(u_1), h(u_2) < n/2$.

$$u_1 \oplus u_2 = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad u_1 = u_2.$$

So $\sum_{x: p(x)=0} (-1)^{(u_1 \oplus u_2) \cdot x}$ essentially behaves like a delta function.
as does $\sum_{x: p(x)=1} (-1)^{(u_1 \oplus u_2) \cdot x}$

$$2^{\frac{n}{2}} \delta_{u_1, u_2}$$

$$= \sum_{u: h(u) < n/2} |\Psi_u\rangle \langle \Psi_u| \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2} |0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle\langle 1| \right)$$

□

$$= \text{Tr}^*(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2} |0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle\langle 1| \right)$$

Story: do it remains to prove the claim

[Proof of Claim 2.3:

Suppose: $u \notin \{0^n, 1^n\}$.

Define: $S_0 = \{i : u_i = 0\}$ indices where u is zero

$S_1 = \{i : u_i = 1\}$ indices where u is one.

Defⁿ: Let $y_0 \in \{0, 1\}^{|S_0|}$
 $y_1 \in \{0, 1\}^{|S_1|}$ &

$x_{y_0, y_1} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is the n -bit string

that is y_0 on S_0 &

y_1 on S_1 .

e.g.

$$u = \underbrace{000}_{S_0} \underbrace{111}_{S_1}$$

$$S_0 = 1, 2, 3$$

$$S_1 = 4, 5, 6$$

$$x_{100, 010} = 100\ 010$$

$\therefore x_{100, 010}$ restricted to indices S_0 is 100
 " " " " S₁ is 010.

Then, note:

$$\sum_{x: p(x)=0} (-1)^{u \cdot x} = \sum_{\substack{y_0 \in \{0,1\}^{l_{0,1}} \\ y_1 \in \{0,1\}^{l_{1,1}}} (-1)^{u \cdot x_{y_0, y_1}}$$

$\because p(x_{y_0, y_1}) = 0$

(NB: $u \cdot x_{y_0, y_1} = \underbrace{(-1) y_1}_{\text{is 1 many 1's}} \quad \because y_0 \text{ is an index where } u \text{ is zero}$)

[*]

$$= \sum_{\substack{y_0 \in \{0,1\}^{l_{0,1}} \\ y_1 \in \{0,1\}^{l_{1,1}}} (-1)^{p(y_1)}$$

$\because p(x_{y_0, y_1}) = 0$

(NB: $p(x_{y_0, y_1}) = p(y_0 y_1) \quad \because x_{y_0, y_1} \text{ is first } y_0 y_1 \text{ but re-arranged}$)

: For a given y_1 , half the y_0 's would make $p(y_0 y_1) = 0$.

$$= \sum_{y_1 \in \{0,1\}^{l_{1,1}}} 2^{l_{0,1}-1} (-1)^{p(y_1)}$$

$$= 0$$

Closing argument: $p(x_{y_0, y_1}) = 0$ was used starting [*] & the same equalities also hold when $p(x_{y_0, y_1}) = 1$

□

$$\therefore \sum_{x: p(x)=0} (-1)^{u \cdot x} = \sum_{x: p(x)=1} (-1)^{u \cdot x} = 0.$$

§ 2.3 Quantum Rewinding.

Story: The following from Watrous's famous paper [Wat'06]
will be used here.

(I'll look into the proof later)

Lemma 2.4 Let $\circ \cdot Q$ be a quantum circuit w/
input: n -qubit register
output: b a classical bit
 m -qubit register

- $p(\underbrace{| \psi \rangle}_{n\text{-qubit state}}) :=$ Prob that $b=0$ when
executing Q on $| \psi \rangle$.

- $p_0, q, \epsilon \in \{0,1\}$ &
 $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ be c.t.

(i) $\forall \underbrace{| \psi \rangle}_{n\text{-qubit}}, p_0 \leq p(| \psi \rangle)$

(ii) $\forall \underbrace{| \psi \rangle}_{n\text{-qubit}}, |p(| \psi \rangle) - q| < \epsilon$

(iii) $p_0(1-p_0) \leq q(1-q)$

