Analyzing Course Evaluations import excellentproject: Sam Hoffman, Lily Li, Alex Maiorella, Maya Shaked

Our project will use UChicago course evaluations to build a better course evaluation search site. We will accomplish this by scraping information from evaluate.uchicago.edu and aggregating reviews across courses, professors, and years. Our final project will be a website with a search function that presents aggregated evaluation information for a course or professor on a single page, so users no longer have to open several tabs when researching a course or professor. We also hope to improve upon the current search capabilities of evaluate.uchicago.edu by allowing for additional parameters and features. With our project, students will be able to gain a general sense of a course's content and expectations and a professor's overall abilities in a quick and easy manner.

Task outline and timeline:

- 1. Scrape course evaluation data for every class. We will use the list of drop-down options for department code and academic year, which can then be fed into the URL to yield a list of links to courses taught in the department that year. Tentative due date: end of 5th week (2/3)
- 2. Separate and aggregate reviews by course code and professor. Tentative due date: middle of 6th week (2/7)
- 3. Run NLP/sentiment analysis on the written responses. Tentative due date: end of 7th week (2/10)
- 4. Run statistical analysis on the numeric responses/ratings. Tentative due date: end of 7th week (2/10)
- 5. Determine what the website will look like and how we'll present our responses. Tentative due date: middle of 8th week (2/14)
- 6. Construct the website. Tentative due date: end of 9th week (2/24)
- 7. Build the search function for the website. Tentative due date: middle of 9th week (2/28)
- 8. Wrap up project, present results. Tentative due date: 10th or 11th week

Expected challenges:

- Different departments have different formats for evaluations
- We may run into issues with accessing the evaluations.uchicago.edu site via Python. We may also get kicked off for sending too many requests.

- Some departments ask multiple questions in the same section (ex. "What were the instructor's strengths? Weaknesses?"). It may be hard for us to distinguish which part of a student's response corresponds to what question.
- Difficulties in running NLP analyses
- Evaluations go back to 2003: do we want to go back that far?