

1. (a) $n - 100 = \Theta(n - 200)$ since their limit equals 1
 (b) $n^{1/2} = O(n^{2/3})$ since their limit equals 0 with $n^{2/3}$ in the denominator
 (c) $n + (\log(n))^2 = O(100n + \log(n))$ since the limit of $(n + (\log(n))^2)/(100n + \log(n))$ goes to 0
 (d) $n \log(n) = \Theta(10n \log(10n))$ since by log rules $10n \log(10n) = 10n(\log(10) + \log(n))$, thus their quotient is 10 in the limit.
 (e) $\log(2n) = \Theta(\log(3n))$ since by log rules $\log(cn) = \log(c) + \log(n)$, therefore taking their quotient and limit yields 1.
 (f) $10 \log(n) = \Theta(\log(n^2))$ since $\log(n^2) = 2 \log(n)$, thus giving their quotient and limit a constant value.
 (g) $n \log^2(n) = O(n^{1.01})$
 (h) $n(\log(n))^2 = O(n^2 / \log(n))$
 (i) $(\log(n))^{10} = O(x^{0.1})$
 (j) $\frac{n}{\log(n)} = O((\log(n))^{\log(n)})$
 (k) $(\log(n))^3 = O(\sqrt{n})$
 (l) $n^{1/2} = O(5^{\log_2(n)})$
 (m) $n2^n = O(3^n)$
 (n) $2^n = \Theta(2^{n+1})$
 (o) $2^n = O(n!)$
 (p) $(\log(n))^{\log(n)} = O(2^{(\log_2(n))^2})$
 (q) $\sum_{i=1}^n i^k = \Theta(n^{k+1})$
2. (a) If $c < 1$ then $1 + c + c^2 + \dots$ converges to a constant value, thus you can trivially bound it from above and below.
 (b) If $c = 1$ then $\sum_{i=1}^n c^i = nc$. Thus it is a constant factor off of n , putting it in $\Theta(n)$.
 (c) Note that $\sum_{i=1}^n c^i = \frac{c^{n+1}-1}{c-1}$. Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c^{n+1}-1}{c^n(c-1)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c-c^{-n}}{c-1} = \frac{c}{c-1}$. Thus since $\sum_{i=1}^n c^i$ is bounded above by a positive constant multiple of c^n , then $\sum_{i=1}^n c^i = O(c^n)$.
3. $4^{1536} - 9^{4824}$ is divisible by 35 since $4^{1536} \equiv 4^0 = 1 \pmod{35}$ and $9^{4824} \equiv 9^0 = 1 \pmod{35}$ by fermat's little theorem, since once can compute that $1536 \equiv 4824 \equiv 0 \pmod{\phi(35)}$.
4. Since $2^{2023} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ then $2^{2^{2023}} \equiv 2^0 = 1 \pmod{3}$.
5. Technically, we can computer the fibonacci numbers mod 5 via a lookup table, giving an algorithm which performs in $O(1)$. However assume that we don't know that the Fibonacci sequence loops after a finite number of iterations mod n . Consider the matrix $M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. It was shown in a previous chapter that $(F_n, F_{n+1}) = M^n(F_0, F_1)$. Note

that we can now find the eigenvalues of M and compute it's diagonalization over \mathbb{Z}_5 , in which we can use modular exponentiation on the eigenvalues to compute our desired fibonacci numbers in $O(\log(n))$

6. Let $A(n) = (\log(n))^{\log(n)}$, $B(n) = \text{frac}n\log(n)$. Then $\log\left(\frac{A(n)}{B(n)}\right) = \log(n)\log(\log(n)) - \log(n) + \log(\log(n))$. Since $\log()$ is an increasing function then $\log(\log(n))$ is increasing and additionally for $n > e^e$, $\log(\log(n)) > 1$ gives us that $\log(A(n)/B(n))$ is going off to infinity. Therefore by the aforementioned motonicity of \log , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{B(n)} \rightarrow \infty$ giving us that $B(n)$ is the more efficient algorithm.
7. For the naive approach we have a run time of $O(n^2)$ for the first iteration since we're doing an n by n bit multiplication. For the i -th multiplication we have $O(in^2)$. Therefore the total runtime for the naive approach is $\sum_{i=1}^{y-1} O(in^2) = O(y^2n^2)$ This is in opposition to the iterative squaring method from the base level recursive call has a run time complexity of $O(n^2)$ since you only square the number up to $m = \lceil \log(y) \rceil$ times, where at each i th squaring you have a runtime of $O(4^i n^2)$. Thus you have a final time complexity of $O(2^{2m} n^2)$ since the sum of all the previous time complexities are still smaller than the final one listed above. Based on this analysis the ideal approach is repeated squaring, since $2^{2m} = \lceil \log(y) \rceil^2 \leq \log(y)^2$.
8.
 - $20^{-1} \pmod{79} \equiv 4$
 - $3^{-1} \pmod{62} \equiv 21$
 - $5^{-1} \pmod{23} \equiv 14$