

4.3 To show that  $f(x) = x^4 + 6x^3 + 9x + 3$  generates a maximal ideal we need to show that it is irreducible. Note that for the prime  $p = 3$ ,  $f(x) \equiv x^4 \pmod{3}$ , and  $9 \nmid 3$ . Therefore  $f$  is irreducible by Eisenstein's criterion. Thus  $(f)$  is a maximal ideal over  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

4.6  $x^5 + 5x + 5$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since it satisfies Eisenstein's criterion for  $p = 5$ . For  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $x^5 + 5x + 5 = (x^2 + x + 1)(x^3 + x^2 + 1)$

4.7  $f(x) = x^3 + x + 1$

- $p = 2$   $x^3 + x + 1 = x^3 + x + 1$  since for 0 and 1 the polynomial is 1
- $p = 3$   $f(x) = (x - 1)(x^2 + x - 1)$  since  $f(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$
- $p = 5$   $f(x) = x^3 + x + 1$  since for  $x = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,  $f(x) \neq 0$ .

5.1 (a)  $1 - 3i = (1 - i)(2 - i)$

(b)  $10 = (1 - i)(1 + i)(2 - i)(2 + i)$

(c)  $6 + 9i = 3(2 + 3i)$ , note that  $(2 + 3i)(2 - 3i) = 13$ , which is a prime

(d)  $7 + i = (2 + i)^2(1 - i)$

5.3 Note that  $(2 + i)^2 = 3 + 4i$  and  $(2 + i)(3 + 2i) = 4 + 7i$ . Since  $3 + 2i$  was computed above to be prime then the smallest element which generates both is  $(2 + i)$ .

5.5 Let  $\pi$  be a gauss prime.

- Suppose  $\pi$  and  $\bar{\pi}$  are associates. Then there are four possible units by which  $\pi$  and  $\bar{\pi}$  are associates.
  - If  $\bar{\pi} = \pi$  then  $\pi$  is invariant under complex conjugation. Therefore  $\pi$  is an integer. Since  $\pi$  is a gauss prime which is an integer then  $\pi$  must be an integer over the primes.
  - If  $\bar{\pi} = -\pi$  then  $\pi$  must be purely imaginary since  $-(a + bi) = a - bi$  implies  $a = -a$ , which is only true when  $a = 0$ . Since we have a purely imaginary Gauss prime and we know that its norm must correspond to the square of a prime (otherwise implying that the square root of a prime is defined in the integers) implies that  $\pi$  is an associate of an integer prime.
  - If  $\bar{\pi} = i\pi$  then  $\pi = a + bi$  must satisfy the relation  $a = -b$ . The only Gauss prime satisfying this requirement is  $1 - i$ , which is one of the factors which ramifies 2.
  - If  $\bar{\pi} = -i\pi$  then we have the conjugate of the prime found above,  $1 + i$ , which is the other factor of 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

Thus by cases we have shown that either  $\pi$  divides 2 or  $\pi$  is an associate of an integer prime.

- – Suppose  $\pi\bar{\pi} = 2$ . Then  $\pi = 1 - i$ . Since  $i\pi = i - i^2 = 1 + i = \bar{\pi}$ , then  $\pi$  and  $\bar{\pi}$  are associates.

- Suppose  $\pi$  is an associate of a prime integer,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . then if  $\pi = \pm p$ , the trivially  $\bar{\pi} = \pm p$ , thus  $\pi = \bar{\pi}$ , making them associates with 1. If  $\pi = \pm ip$ , then  $\bar{\pi} = \mp ip$ . Therefore  $\pi$  and  $\bar{\pi}$  are associates by  $-1$ . Thus no matter by which unit  $\pi$  is an associate of  $p$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\bar{\pi}$  are associates.