(Note: In this problem we will be defining a relation on the set $A \times A$. The elements of $A \times A$ are ordered pairs, so a pair of the relation we define is an ordered pairs each of whose coordinates is an ordered pairs. This can be confusing, so be sure to read and think about the problem carefully.) Suppose A is a set and R a partial order relation on A. Define a new relation Q on the set $A \times A$ as follows: For $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A$ we have $(a_1, a_2)Q(b_1, b_2)$ provided that $(a_1 \neq b_1 \text{ and } a_1Rb_1)$ or $(a_1 = b_1 \text{ and } a_2Rb_2)$.

- 1. Prove that Q is a partial order on the set $A \times A$.
 - Proof of reflexivity: We must show that for all $(a_1, a_2) \in A^2$, $(a_1, a_2)Q(a_1, a_2)$. Suppose $(a_1, a_2) \in A^2$. We must show $(a_1, a_2)Q(a_1, a_2)$ Since $a_1 = a_1$, and by the reflexivity of R, a_2Ra_2 , then by the definition of Q, $(a_1, a_2)Q(a_1, a_2)$.
 - Proof of transitivity: We must show that for all $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2), (c_1, c_2) \in A^2$ if $(a_1, a_2)Q(b_1, b_2)$ and $(b_1, b_2)Q(c_1, c_2)$ then $(a_1, a_2)Q(c_1, c_2)$. Suppose $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2), (c_1, c_2) \in A^2, (a_1, a_2)Q(b_1, b_2)$ and $(b_1, b_2)Q(c_1, c_2)$. We must show that $(a_1, a_2)Q(c_1, c_2)$. By definition of Q we must show that $(a_1 \neq c_1 \text{ and } a_1Rc_1)$ or $(a_1 = c_1 \text{ and } a_2Rc_2)$. We now have four cases:
 - Suppose $a_1 \neq b_1, b_1 \neq c_1, a_1Rb_1, b_1Rc_1$. Then by transitivity of R we have a_1Rc_1 . However we have the posibility that since $a_1 \neq b_1, b_1 \neq c_1$ that $a_1 = c_1$. This is not an issue because if $a_1 = c_1$ then we now have a_1Rc_1 and c_1Ra_1 by the reflexivity of R. However by the anti-symmetry of R we have since $a_1 \neq b_1$ and a_1Rb_1 , then b_1Ra_1 and similarly c_1Rb_1 . However since $c_1Rb_1 = a_1Rb_1$, we have a contradiction with original assumption of a_1Rb_1 . Therefore $a_1 \neq c_1$. Thus we have $a_1 \neq c_1$ and a_1Rc_1 .
 - Suppose $a_1 = b_1, a_2Rb_2, b_1 = c_1, b_2Rc_2$. Then by the transitivity $a_1 = c_1$ and a_2Rc_2 .
 - Suppose $a_1 \neq b_1, a_1Rb_1, b_1 = c_1, b_2Rc_2$. Since $a_1 \neq b_1, b_1 = c_1$, then $a_1 \neq c_1$. Since a_1Rb_1 , and $b_1 = c_1$, then a_1Rc_1 .
 - Suppose $a_1 = b_1, a_2Rb_2, b_1 \neq c_1, b_1Rc_1$. Since $a_1 = b_1, b_1 \neq c_1$, then $a_1 \neq c_1$. Since $a_1 = b_1, b_1Rc_1$, then a_1Rc_1
 - Proof of anti-symmetry. Suppose $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in A^2, (a_1, a_2)Q(b_1, b_2), (a_1, a_2) \neq (b_1, b_2)$. We must show that $(b_1, b_2)\mathcal{Q}(a_1, a_2)$ By definition of Q we must show $\neg((b_1 \neq a_1 \land b_1 R a_1) \lor (b_1 = a_1 \land b_2 R a_2))$. By first order logic we must show $b_1 = a_1$, or $b_1 \mathcal{R} a_1$, and $b_1 \neq a_1$ or $b_2 \mathcal{R} a_2$. Since $(a_1, a_2)Q(b_1, b_2)$ has an or statement, we have two cases:
 - Suppose $a_1 = b_1, a_2Rb_2$. By the anti-symmetry of R we have $b_2 \not R a_2$. Therefore since we have $a_1 = b_1$ and $b_2 \not R a_2$ then we have satisfied the requirement.
 - Suppose $a_1 \neq b_1$, a_1Rb_1 . By the anti-symmetry of R we have b_1Ra_1 . Therefore since we have $a_1 \neq b_1$ and b_1Ra_1 then we have satisfied the requirement.
- 2. Prove that if R is a total order (which means that for all $a, b \in A$ we have aRb or bRa) then so is Q.

Suppose R is a total order. We must show that Q is a total order. By definition of total order we have for all $a, b \in A, aRb$ or bRa. By definition of total order we must show for all $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in A^2$ that $(a_1, a_2)Q(b_1, b_2)$ or $(b_1, b_2)Q(a_1, a_2)$. Suppose $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in A^2$. By definition of R being a total order, and $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A$, then we have a_1Rb_1 or b_1Ra_1 and a_2Rb_2 or b_2Ra_2 . This provides four cases:

- Suppose a_1Rb_1 and a_2Rb_2 . We now have two cases of either $a_1 = b_1$ and $a_1 \neq b_1$.
 - Suppose $a_1 = b_1$. Then since a_2Rb_2 and $a_1 = b_1$ by definition $(a_1, a_2)Q(b_1, b_2)$.
 - Suppose $a_1 \neq b_1$. Then since a_1Rb_1 and $a_1 \neq b_1$, then by definition $(a_1, a_2)Q(b_1, b_2)$.
- Suppose a_1Rb_1 and b_2Ra_2 . We now have two cases of either $a_1 = b_1$ and $a_1 \neq b_1$.
 - Suppose $a_1 = b_1$. By reflexivity $b_1 = a_1$. Since b_2Ra_2 , $(b_1, b_2)R(a_1, a_2)$.
 - Suppose $a_1 \neq b_1$.. Then since a_1Rb_1 then $(a_1, a_2)R(b_1, b_2)$.