Alex Valentino Midterm 2
412

5 (a) Let  $Df(x_0) = 0$ ,  $[D^2f(x_0)]$  be a positive definite matrix. We want to show for a  $\delta$  ball around  $x_0$  that  $f(x_0) \leq f(x)$ . Note that for a positive definite matrix, we can do a coordinate transform P to have that  $h^TP[D^2f(x_0)]P^{-1}h = \lambda_1h_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_nh_n^2$ , therefore we can find a minimum  $\lambda_i$  such that  $h^TP^{-1}[D^2f(x_0)]P^h \geq \lambda_i||h||^2$ . For the rest we will assume that the basis allows for a diagonal  $[D^2f(x_0)]$ . Let  $\lambda_i > \epsilon > 0$ , then there exists a  $\delta$  such that for all  $h \in B(\delta, 0)$ ,  $|f(x_0+h)-T_2(f;x_0)(h)| < \epsilon ||h||^2$ . Therefore  $T_2(f;x_0)(h) - \epsilon ||h||^2 < f(x_0+h)$ . This gets us that

$$f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) > T_2(f; x_0)(h) - \epsilon ||h||^2 - f(x_0)$$

$$= f(x_0) - f(x_0) + h^T [D^2 f(x_0)]h - \epsilon ||h||^2$$

$$= \lambda_1 h_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n h_n^2 - \epsilon (h_1^2 + \dots + h_n^2)$$

$$\geq \epsilon (h_1^2 + \dots + h_n^2) - \epsilon (h_1^2 + \dots + h_n^2)$$

$$= 0$$

- (b) Observe that D(g(y)) = D(f(T(y)) = Df(T(y))DT(y). In order to compute  $D^2g(y)$ , we must compute the intermediate terms D(Df(T(y))) and D(DT(y)). Observe that we have D(Df(T(y))) by another application of the chain rule,  $D(Df(T(y))) = D^2f(T(y))DT(y)$ . To compute D(DT(y)), we must consider it multiplied via Df(T(y)) to make any sense. Therefore  $Df(T(y))DDT(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}(T(y))D(\frac{\partial T(y)}{\partial y_i})$ . Therefore  $D^2(g(y)) = D^2f(T(y))DT(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}(T(y))D(\frac{\partial T(y)}{\partial y_i})$ . If  $D^2f(x_0)$  is a positive definite matrix there isn't a guarentee that  $D^2g(y_0)$  is positive definite. We observe in the formula that at points one would be computing  $v^TD(\frac{\partial T(y)}{\partial y_i})v$ , which has no guarantees of being positive definite.
- 6 Consider f, and it's ith component  $f_i$ . Consider  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \in U$ . And let the vectors denoted  $x_{\bar{i}}$  refer to vectors of the form  $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \bar{x}_i, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ , where  $x_{\bar{1}} = \bar{x}$ , and  $x_{n+1} = x$ . Then

$$f_i(x) - f_i(\bar{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_i(x_{i+1}) - f_i(x_{\bar{i}})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} (x_{\bar{j}} - (z_j + \bar{x}_j)e_j)(x_j - \bar{x}_j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} (\bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x}_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} (x_{\bar{j}} - (z_j + \bar{x}_j)e_j) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} (\bar{x}) \right](x_j - \bar{x}_j)$$

where  $z_i \in (x_i, \bar{x}_i)$  or  $(\bar{x}_i, x_i)$  depending on size and  $e_j$  is the standard jth basis vector. If we take our vectors to be within  $\bar{U}$ , then our partial derivatives are uniformly continuous, and if  $||x - \bar{x}|| < \delta$  then there exists an  $\epsilon$  such that  $|\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x})| < \epsilon$ .

Alex Valentino Midterm 2
412

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} (x_{\bar{j}} - (z_j + \bar{x}_j) e_j) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} (\bar{x}) \right] (x_j - \bar{x}_j)$$

$$\leq \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n} |(x_j - \bar{x}_j)| \leq \epsilon \sqrt{n} ||x - \bar{x}||$$

Therefore  $|f_i(x) - f_i(\bar{x}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x}_j)| < \sqrt{n}\epsilon ||x - \bar{x}||$  has been shown for arbitrary  $\epsilon$  for  $x, \bar{x} \in U, ||x - \bar{x}|| < \delta$ 

7 Note that  $S_N(f;x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^N (a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) D_N(x-t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-t) D_N(t) dt, 1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_n(t), D_n(t) \text{ is even. Therefore,}$ 

$$= |S_N(f;x) - \frac{f(x+) + f(x-)}{2}|$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-t) D_N(t) dt - \frac{f(x+) + f(x-)}{2} \right|$$

By applying the definition of  $S_N(f;x)$ 

$$= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (f(x-t) + f(x+t)) D_N(t) dt - \frac{f(x+) + f(x-)}{2} \right|$$

By the eveness of  $D_N(t)$ 

$$= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (f(x-t) + f(x+t) - f(x+t) - f(x-t)) D_N(t) dt \right|$$
By  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_N(t) = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} D_N(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ 

$$\leq \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (f(x-t) - f(x-t)) D_N(t) dt \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (f(x+t) - f(x+t)) D_N(t) dt \right|$$

Note that  $\frac{f(x\pm t)-f(x\pm)}{\sin(\frac{t}{2})}$  are Riemann integrable on  $(0,\pi)$ , as when it isn't approaching 0 it's the quotient of two riemann integrable functions, and when at 0 we have that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \left|\frac{f(x\pm t)-f(x\pm)}{\sin(\frac{t}{2})}\right| \leq \lim_{t\to 0} \left|\frac{t}{\sin(\frac{t}{2})}\right| = 2$ , thus at the only possible discontinuity the quotient is bounded. Therefore, additionally, we can be extended to  $(-\pi,\pi)$  by having them be zero on  $(-\pi,0]$ , maintaining their Riemann integrability. Let

these extended functions be noted as  $f_{-}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x-t)-f(x-t)}{\sin(\frac{t}{2})} & x \in (0,\pi) \\ 0 & x \in (-\pi,0] \end{cases}$ ,  $f_{+}(t) = \frac{f(x-t)-f(x-t)}{\sin(\frac{t}{2})}$ 

$$\begin{cases} \frac{f(x+t)-f(x+)}{\sin(\frac{t}{2})} & x \in (0,\pi) \\ 0 & x \in (-\pi,0] \end{cases}$$
. Therefore,

$$\left| \int_0^{\pi} (f(x-t) - f(x-t)) D_N(t) dt \right| + \left| \int_0^{\pi} (f(x+t) - f(x+t)) D_N(t) dt \right| = \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_-(t) \sin((N+\frac{1}{2})t) dt \right| + \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_+(t) \sin((N+\frac{1}{2})t) dt \right|$$

Alex Valentino Midterm 2
412

thus we have the inequality

$$|S_N(f;x) - \frac{f(x+) + f(x-)}{2}| \le |\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_-(t)\sin((N+\frac{1}{2})t)dt| + |\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_+(t)\sin((N+\frac{1}{2})t)dt|$$

Since both of the integrals on the right hand side are of the form  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t) \sin(\lambda t)$ , where h is Riemann integrable, then the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma can be applied, thus  $\lim_{N\to\infty} S_N(f;x) = \frac{f(x+)+f(x-)}{2}$