

Response to the Reviewers' Comments

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for the comments and suggestions on the technical contents and presentation of our manuscript “SmartPatch: A Self-Powered and Patchable Cumulative UV Irradiance Meter,” submitted to IEEE Design and Test. This revised manuscript has been significantly improved thanks to the reviewers’ invaluable advices. We have revised the manuscript faithfully following the reviewers’ comments. We highlight the important technical content changes and set those parts in a red color in the revised paper. We include the newly added or significantly modified parts in the revised version of the paper also in Response to Reviewers.

Detailed comments and corresponding corrections are listed below:

General Revision: We found that some unclear writing in Introduction could cause misleading in the technical contents while dealing with the reviewers’ comments. We completely rewrite Introduction to deliver the technical contents more clearly. We also corrected improper sentences, which were highlighted in red in the revised papers. Due to the page limit of IEEE Design and Test, we removed some less important sentences without hurting the technical contents at all. Note that we use the term “UV irradiation”, which is more technical, instead of cumulative UV irradiance in the revised manuscript.

Reviewer 1:




Fig. 5: (a) A block diagram and (b) mode change and parameter setting of SmartPatch.

C1: Is the number of modes a significant limitation of SmartPatch? It would also help to understand this if the amount MED varies with skin type is shown. If MED varies significantly between pale and very dark skin, and since SPF is multiplicative with maximum UV exposure time I would assume that the four modes does not cover the

user space well. You could easily have more than two bins for skin type and way more sunscreen options (SPF 30+) that would significantly alter maximum UV exposure time.

- R1:** First of all, we modified the terms “mode” to avoid confusion. In the revised version, “Mode” now stands for the device *operations*,” which include “measure”, “reset”, “skin type setting”, and “SPF setting.” We use “parameter” setting that actually sets the skin type and SPF number in the revised manuscript.

We do agree on the reviewer’s comment on the user space. The previous implementation of had only one mode for the parameter setting, a combination of the user skin type and SPF number. As the reviewer pointed out, this certainly limits the user space due to a state explosion, not because of the hardware limit but because of time-consuming finger actions to cover a large user space. We looked down the importance of user convenience simply thinking this was for a demonstration purpose. However, we redesigned the control state machine of SmartPatch so that we separately set the skin type and SPF number in two different operating modes.

We sincerely appreciate the reviewer to give us a chance not only for paper revision but also for design revision. We revised both Fig. 5 and related sentences on Page 4 as follows:

Fig. 5b shows operation modes and user parameter setting for SmartPatch with the switch-less interface. The operating modes include measure, reset, skin type setting, and SPF setting. The mode changes one to the next in a round-robin manner when the UV sensor is blocked by a finger longer than a second. When the current mode is either skin type setting (or SPF setting), the current skin type (or the SPF number) changes to the next value if the UV sensor is blocked for a while, shorter than a half second. A longer blocking causes the mode change to the next while setting the parameter. The current design of SmartPatch accommodates up to 10 skin types and up to 10 SPF types for the demonstration purpose, but adding more skin and SPF types can easily be handled in a linear complexity of the parameter lookup table.

- C2:** “The existing UV meters under- or overestimates the accumulation of UV irradiance.” I would assume that existing UV meters are accurate with respect to the part of the body it is monitoring. This should be corrected. In addition, is the shoulder the most appropriate place to measure the UV for these activities (e.g., chatting on a bench, walking outdoors, seated on a grass field)? For a person that is wearing a typical shirt, is the UV measurements in Fig. 9 given for their shoulder under their shirt? Or is the use-case more specific than that (e.g., tank tops or shirt-less)?
- R2:** We agree on the reviewer’s point. The existing UV meter is still accurate but can measure only limited body areas due to the design. So, it may under- or overestimate the UV irradiation of other body areas of interest. We added one sentence on Page 5, the last paragraph of Section IV as follows:

The existing UV meter is still accurate but can measure only limited body areas due to the design. So, it may under- or overestimate the UV irradiation of other body area of interest. For example, watch type UV meters underestimate UV accumulation on the shoulders up to 16%, which may cause 50% more chances of erythema symptoms if UV exposure lasts until the watch type UV meters indicate the maximum exposure time is over [2].

We also agree on our careless statement about the shoulders. For a more accurate presentation, we modify the the fourth paragraph of Section IV as follows:

To verify the functionalities and usefulness of SmartPatch, we compare the UV irradiance on various skin areas in the morning and afternoon as shown in Fig. 7. We measure the UV irradiation on the wrists, chests, shoulders, and the ground as a reference. In general, we usually get burned by the Sun when enjoying outdoor activities on the beach. In particular, when walking on the beach, sitting in a beach chair or playing a volleyball, the most severe sunburn occurs on the shoulders if the person wears a sleeveless shirt or a topless, for example. Therefore, we choose the shoulder as the skin area of interest in the experiment.

C3: NVRAM should be defined at its first use.

R3: We defined NVRAM (the non-volatile RAM) in the third row of the third paragraph in Section III-A as following:
*DPM is no longer available if the solar irradiance becomes too low, and SmartPatch should be completely shut down. The power management unit (PMU) detects power interruption and allows the **nonvolatile RAM (NVRAM)** to store the necessary context[10].*

C4: The English and phrasing should be carefully reviewed. For example, it was difficult to parse “We measure the UV irradiance on the wrists, chests as a reference with several UV irradiance meters including SmartPatch (Fig. 2).”

R4: We apologize the grammar error in listing items. We revised the sentence as follows:

We measure the UV irradiation on the wrists, chests, shoulders, and the ground as a reference. The skin area of interest in the experiment is the shoulder, and SmartPatch is attached to the shoulder skin.

C5: Also, “In cooperation with a sunscreen with a specific SPF and skin type, which are user programmable” seems to imply that users can program their sunscreen’s SPF and change their skin type and cooperation would imply that sunscreen can make their own actions. I believe it should be more along the lines of: SmartPatch can aid the user in when to reapply sunscreen based on the user’s skin type and sunscreen SPF.

R5: We apologize a mistake to make the sentence not properly read. We modify the sentence as follows:

- *Having a small form factor and possibly disposable,*
- *Allowing user parameter setting such as skin type and SPF number if sunscreen is applied,*
- *Calculating the maximum UV exposure time based on the UV irradiance and skin type,*
- *A patchable design to measure the actual cumulative UV irradiance on the exact skin area of interest,*
- *Self-powered by a PV cell with the minimum possible size, and*
- *No use of a power converter nor significant energy storage.*

At the beginning of this research, we were going to attempt to notify when to reapply sunscreen. However, after research on sunscreen, it turns out that such notification is hard to achieve. Sunscreen fades away primarily due to friction (rubbing skin), wind and moisture (water and sweat.) So, it is virtually impossible to detect the remaining sunscreen on skin. Cosmetics companies and doctors simply recommend to reapply sunscreen after coming out from water, having a lot of sweat and also after every two hours, for instance. All sunscreens (and also UV irradiation meters) assume sunscreen is properly applied at all times. **All the UV irradiation meters (including ours) notify the available time for UV exposure assuming a constant SPF (with or without sunscreen.)**

Reviewer 2:

C1: In abstract, you should address the motivation, your approach, and experimental results.

R1: IEEE Design and Test articles do not have Abstract unlike Transactions papers. The Associate Editor adds his/her Editor's note, which would be short Abstract. Nevertheless, as the reviewer suggested, we added Abstract as follows, which can be a source of Editor's note:

Ultraviolet (UV) irradiance affects human bodies both positively and negatively. We introduce SmartPatch, a self-powered, small-form-factor, light-weight, low-cost, and a patch-type UV meter, that provides a scientific measure of UV radiation and irradiation on a particular skin area. It is powered by a tiny PV (photovoltaic) cell without a battery and a power converter and performs UI (user interface) without a physical switch.

C2: In Introduction, you can state your approach and the comparisons between your approach and the existing state-of-the-art approach.

R2: We appreciate the reviewer's comment. We also see the previous Introduction could be improved. The state-of-the-art is explained in Fig. 2 and related sentences [5], [6], [7] in Section III. We completely revised Introduction as follows:

A proper level of ultraviolet (UV) irradiation (time integral of irradiance¹) on the human skin is essential as it stimulates synthesis of Vitamin D. Human skins can endure a certain level of UV irradiation, but over-irradiation may cause skin damage and can even develop a fatal disease. Therefore, modern society humans are generally warned to avoid excessive UV irradiation even during their daily life.

The intensity of UV irradiance is often quantified by the UV index (UVI). Region-based daily UVIs are commonly broadcasted through weather forecast channels. There are general guidelines to avoid skin damage classified with the UVI as shown in Fig. 6. For example, we are recommended to stay indoor when the UVI is over 8.

In order to safely perform outdoor activities without experiencing skin damage, we should be aware of the maximum UV irradiation. UVI and UV exposure time are easy metrics to estimate UV irradiation for normal people when a medical-grade scientific measure is not accessible. Although we commonly estimate the UV irradiation by the UV exposure time, it cannot be a reasonable estimation of UV irradiation on a particular skin area because UV irradiation is determined by the time integral of the instantaneous UVI accounting the angle between the Sun, in addition to the individual factors such as skin color. Additional UV protection such as sunscreen lotion makes it even more difficult.

It is crucial to directly measure the UV irradiance and irradiation on the target skin surface of interest, i.e. a UV sensor must be directly mounted on the skin surface of interest with the same angle to the Sun. The UV meter continuously reads the UV sensor and integrates the value over time. Unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge, existing UV measuring tools for normal people (excluding a laboratory measurement setup) can hardly achieve this goal.

In this article, we introduce SmartPatch, a solar-powered and patchable smart UV irradiation meter that informs both the current UV irradiance and irradiation. SmartPatch is designed to attach on the skin surface of interest, its UV measurement meets the above mentioned requirements such as the angle to the Sun, environmental change and body movement. Two key technologies are behind the proposed SmartPatch. The first is a storage-less and converter-less energy harvesting [9] that does not require a battery nor a voltage converter for solar energy harvesting. The second is a switch-less user interface. These two technologies can significantly reduce both volumetric and gravimetric overheads as well as the manufacturing cost.

¹The radiant of UV energy per area.




Fig. 6: Guidelines to protect oneself from overexposure to UV irradiance (US Environmental Protection Agency.)

SmartPatch detects the correlation between the UV irradiance and solar irradiance. Natural operation keeps a high correlation between them. The correlation is broken if the UV sensor is intentionally blocked by a finger. SmartPatch detects such finger actions and utilizes them for UI (user interface) as if physical switches are pressed by the finger. A smartphone LED flashlight can do the same job. We design an app that generates pre-defined light flashing patterns so that a single screen touch can replace multiple times of finger actions. These technologies make it possible to implement SmartPatch with simple integrated circuits in a single chip, and also make it possible for a tiny PV cell to directly supply power to the chip without a power converter and a battery. As a result, SmartPatch becomes extremely low-cost, low profile, small, and light.

The main functions of SmartPatch include 1) displaying the current UVI, 2) displaying the remaining UV irradiation to avoid skin damage, and 3) mode change or parameter setting for the personalized skin type and the SPF (Sun protection factor) of the currently applied sunscreen lotion. We verified the functionalities and usefulness of SmartPatch performing various outdoor activities.

C3: In Section II, authors state that "However they (devices) does not show the exact amount of accumulated UV irradiance."

1. Maybe the user does not care the exact amount of UV.
2. How do you know these devices cannot measure the exact amount? Do you have any literatures to support it?
3. In experiments, you also can compare your device with the existing devices to show how accuracy your device is.

R3:

1. Users have to care about the UV irradiation (the UV irradiation integrated over time) to avoid skin damage. Most people are concerned about the current UV irradiation strength (UVI), but UV irradiation is the direct variable that causes skin damage. The motivation of this work is to provide normal people a correct measure of UV irradiation on the skin area of interest. In the revised manuscript, we use the term "UV irradiation", which is more technical, instead of cumulative UV irradiance.
2. We apologize such careless statement, which should be improved. The existing quality UV meter is accurate but can measure only limited body areas due to the design. So, it may under- or overestimate the UV irradiation of other body area of interest. This implies that watch type UV meters underestimate UV accumulation on the shoulder up to 16%, which may cause 50% more chances of erythema symptoms if

UV exposure lasts until the watch type UV meters indicate the maximum exposure time is over [2]. We revised the related sentences as follows in the last paragraph of Section IV.

The existing UV meter is still accurate but can measure only limited body areas due to the design. So, it may under- or overestimate the UV irradiation of other body area of interest. For example, watch type UV meters underestimate UV accumulation on the shoulder up to 16%, which may cause 50% more chances of erythema symptoms if UV exposure lasts until the watch type UV meters indicate the maximum exposure time is over [2].

3. The answer continues from the previous answer: the UV sensor location and the skin areas of interest. Such results are verified by measurement. We compare the UV irradiance on various skin areas in different times of a day (in the morning and afternoon) as shown in Fig. 7. We measure the UV irradiation on the wrists, chests, shoulders, and the ground as a reference. Please see fourth, fifth and sixth paragraphs in Section IV:

To verify the functionalities and usefulness of SmartPatch, we compare the UV irradiance on various skin areas in the morning and afternoon as shown in Fig. 7. We measure the UV irradiation on the wrists, chests, shoulders, and the ground as a reference. The skin area of interest in the experiment is the shoulder, and SmartPatch is attached to the shoulder skin. Other UV meters are carried or attached as designed. For instance, a watch type UV meter measures the UV irradiance on the wrist. The UV irradiance to the ground increases by the angle to the Sun that is the maximum at 1:36 pm (13:36) in the experiments.

- C4:** Also in Section II, the authors state that the reason why these devices are inaccurate is because every skin surface has a different perpendicular angle to the Sun. In your device, the reviewer wonders how you address this issue.
- R4:** This is the key idea of SmartPatch. We implement a patchable UV irradiation meter to directly attach on the skin area of interest. To this, the UV meter should be able to operate at all times as long as effective UV irradiance exists. The two key technologies are behind the proposed SmartPatch to be a patchable device. The first is a storage-less and converter-less energy harvesting [1] that does not require a battery nor a voltage converter for solar energy harvesting. The second is a switch-less user interface. These two technologies can significantly reduce both volumetric and gravimetric overheads as well as the manufacturing cost. To make the uniqueness of our work clear, we revised Introduction as described in R2 above.
- C5:** In experimental results, you should compare your results with the existing approaches and see what your improvements are in terms of power reduction and accuracy.
- R5:** The answer corresponds to R3.3. Fig. 7 compares the results. Once again, the accuracy difference comes from the location of the UV sensor against the skin area of interest. We observe that existing UV meters under- or overestimate the accumulation of UV irradiance. This implies that watch type UV meters underestimate UV accumulation on the shoulder up to 16%, which may cause 50% more chances of erythema symptoms if UV exposure lasts until the watch type UV meters indicate the maximum exposure time is over [2].

We do not claim explicit power reduction of the UV meter. The key technology is “power supply” without a power converter and a battery. Power management with a specially designed PMU (power management unit) also incorporates in the SmartPatch power supply scheme.