

Linear Regression 1

Linear Regression

- Quantitative response Y .
- Predictor variable X .

Goal: Study a linear relationship between X and Y :

$$Y \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 X.$$

Example: X = TV advertising budgets and Y = sales of a product

$$sales \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times TV.$$

Example: X = TV advertising budgets and Y = sales of a product


$$sales \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times TV.$$

Since we do not have all possible *sales* and *TV*...


$$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x,$$

where x = an observed value


\hat{y} = prediction.




- Data: $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$



- Data: $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$
- Predictions: $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$



- Data: $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$
- Predictions: $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$
- Errors: $e_i = |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$




We want to minimize the *residual sum of squares*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{RSS} &= e_1^2 + e_2^2 + \dots + e_n^2 \\ &= (y_1 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_1)^2 + \dots + (y_n - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_n)^2.\end{aligned}$$


Another measure of errors: *sum of absolute errors* (SAE)

$$\text{SAE} = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n.$$



- SAE:
- SSR:



SAE vs RSS



- There are _____ lines that minimize SAE.
- There are _____ lines that minimize RSS.

Back to RSS

$$\text{RSS} = \underbrace{(y_1 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_1)^2 + (y_2 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_2)^2 + \dots + (y_n - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_n)^2}_{\text{function of } \hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1}.$$



Least square coefficient estimate

$\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ that minimize

$$\text{RSS} = (y_1 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_1)^2 + (y_2 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_2)^2 + \dots + (y_n - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_n)^2.$$

The solution is

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x},$$


where

$$\bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$$

$$\bar{y} = \frac{y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n}{n}$$

Derivation of $\hat{\beta}_1$

Derivation of $\hat{\beta}_0$



$$\hat{\beta}_0 = 7.03, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 = 0.0475.$$

An additional \$100 spent on TV advertising is associated to 4.75 more units in sales.

Accuracy of $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$

$$Y \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

To be precise, this is

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon,$$

where ϵ is a random variable with **zero mean** and **unknown variance** σ^2 .

Accuracy of $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$



$$Y \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

To be precise, this is



$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon,$$

where ϵ is a random variable with **zero mean** and **unknown variance** σ^2 .

- $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ were computed from a *sample*, not a *population*.
- How close are $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ to β_0 and β_1 ?



- 30 generated points from $Y = 2 + 3X + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(0, 2)$.
- The red line is the population regression line: $Y = 2 + 3X$



- The blue line is the *least square* line of the population.
- The light blue line is the *least square* line of the sample.

Confidence interval

We assess the “closeness” of $\hat{\beta}_i$'s to β_i 's by making **confidence intervals**:

$$I_i = [\hat{\beta}_i - 2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_i), \hat{\beta}_i + 2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_i)], \quad i = 0, 1$$

Roughly speaking, $\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_i)$ tells us the distance between $\hat{\beta}_i$ and β_i **on average**.

Confidence interval

We assess the “closeness” of $\hat{\beta}_i$'s to β_i 's by making **confidence intervals**:

$$I_i = [\hat{\beta}_i - 2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_i), \hat{\beta}_i + 2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_i)], \quad i = 0, 1$$

Roughly speaking, $\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_i)$ tells us the distance between $\hat{\beta}_i$ and β_i **on average**.

We say that $\hat{\beta}_i$ are *close* to β_i if this interval contains β_i .

Standard errors

$$I_i = [\hat{\beta}_i - 2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_i), \hat{\beta}_i + 2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_i)], \quad i = 0, 1$$

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_0)^2 = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]$$

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.$$

There is **95%** probability that I_i contains β_i .

Residual standard error

However, most of the time we don't know σ !

Replace σ^2 by the *residual standard error* (RSE)

$$\text{RSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{RSS}}{n-2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n-2}},$$

which satisfies $\mathbf{E}(\text{RSE}^2) = \sigma^2$.

Estimates of standard errors

$$I_i = [\hat{\beta}_i - 2 \cdot \widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\beta}_i), \hat{\beta}_i + 2 \cdot \widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\beta}_i)], \quad i = 0, 1$$

$$\widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\beta}_0)^2 = \text{RSE}^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]$$

$$\widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\beta}_1)^2 = \frac{\text{RSE}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.$$

There is **95%** probability that I_i contains β_i .

salse vs TV regression

The 95% confidence interval of β_0 is

$$I_0 = [6.135, 7.935]$$

What this means is that

- Without any advertising, the sales will fall somewhere between 6,130 and 7,935 units.

salse vs TV regression

The 95% confidence interval of β_1 is

$$I_1 = [0.042, 0.053]$$

What this means is that

- For each \$1,000 additional TV advertising, there will be an increase in sale between 42 and 53 units on average.

Hypothesis test

- We want to know if there is an actual relationship between X and Y i.e. if $\beta_1 = 0$.
 - Since $\beta_1 = 0$ implies $Y = \beta_0 + \epsilon$, implying that Y does not depend on X .
- However, $\hat{\beta}_1$ alone won't tell us if $\beta_1 = 0$.

Hypothesis test

- We want to know if there is an actual relationship between X and Y i.e. if $\beta_1 = 0$.
 - Since $\beta_1 = 0$ implies $Y = \beta_0 + \epsilon$, implying that Y does not depend on X .
- However, $\hat{\beta}_1$ alone won't tell us if $\beta_1 = 0$.

Statistical way of making a decision: **hypothesis test**.

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0 \quad (\text{no relationship})$$

$$H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0 \quad (\text{some relationship})$$

Hypothesis test

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0 \quad (\text{no relationship})$$

$$H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0 \quad (\text{some relationship})$$

Then under some rule($\hat{\beta}_1$), we decide to *accept* or *reject* H_0 .

Hypothesis test

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0 \quad (\text{no relationship})$$

$$H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0 \quad (\text{some relationship})$$


Then under some rule($\hat{\beta}_1$), we decide to *accept* or *reject* H_0 .

How can we make a decision? Look at the *t-statistic*.

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)}.$$


If $|t|$ is sufficiently large then we will reject H_0 .

t-statistic



- p -value is the probability that $T > |t|$.
- If the p -value is too small, we will reject H_0 .
- Typical p -value are 5% and 1% which corresponds to $|t| = 2$ and $|t| = 2.75$, respectively.

salse vs TV regression



	$\hat{\beta}_i$	$SE(\hat{\beta}_i)$	t-statistic	p -value
Intercept	7.0325	0.4578	15.36	< 0.0001
TV	0.0475	0.0027	17.67	< 0.0001

Accuracy of the model

1. Residual standard error

$$\text{RSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{RSS}}{n-2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n-2}},$$

- In `sales` vs `TV` regression is, $\text{RSE} = 3.26$.
- Any prediction from the **true regression line** $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$ is off from the actual sales by 3,260 units on average.

Accuracy of the model

2. R^2 statistic

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{TSS} - \text{RSS}}{\text{TSS}}$$

- where $\text{TSS} = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$ is the *total sum of squares*.
 - TSS/n is the “variance” of Y .
- $\text{RSS} = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$
 - RSS/n is the “variance” not explained by the regression.


R^2 statistic

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{TSS} - \text{RSS}}{\text{TSS}}$$

R^2 statistic

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{TSS} - \text{RSS}}{\text{TSS}}$$

R^2 is the **proportion of variance of y explained by the regression**



$R^2 = 0.612$, so about two-thirds of the variance in Y is explained by a regression in **TV**.