Linear Regression 2

Linear algebra revisited 1

The identity matrix

$$I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

An inverse of a $n \times n$ square matrix X is a matrix X^{-1} such that

$$XX^{-1} = X^{-1}X = I_n.$$

Linear algebra revisited 1

The identity matrix

$$I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

An inverse of a $n \times n$ square matrix X is a matrix X^{-1} such that

$$XX^{-1} = X^{-1}X = I_n.$$

2

Linear algebra revisited 2

Two vectors $oldsymbol{u}$ and $oldsymbol{v}$ are perpendicular if

$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{v} = 0$$

Linear Regression

- Quantitative response Y.
- Predictor variable X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p .

Goal: Study a linear relationship between X_i 's and Y:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \ldots + \beta_p X_p + \epsilon.$$

Example: We study the effects of TV, radio and newspaper advertising budgets on the sales of a product.

$$sales = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times TV + \beta_2 \times radio + \beta_3 \times newspaper + \epsilon.$$

Linear Regression

• Quantitative response Y.

• Predictor variable X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p .

Goal: Study a linear relationship between X_i 's and Y:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \ldots + \beta_p X_p + \epsilon.$$

Example: We study the effects of TV, radio and newspaper advertising budgets on the sales of a product.

$$sales = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times TV + \beta_2 \times radio + \beta_3 \times newspaper + \epsilon.$$

Data: (x_i, y_i) where $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, ..., x_{ip})$.

As in the simple case, we find the estimates $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$ which give the prediction

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} + \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i2} + \ldots + \hat{\beta}_p x_{ip},$$

and we want to minimize the RSS

RSS =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} - \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i2} - \dots - \hat{\beta}_p x_{ip})^2$

Data: (x_i, y_i) where $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, ..., x_{ip})$.

As in the simple case, we find the estimates $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$ which give the prediction

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} + \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i2} + \ldots + \hat{\beta}_p x_{ip},$$

and we want to minimize the RSS

RSS =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} - \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i2} - \dots - \hat{\beta}_p x_{ip})^2$

Equations in a matrix form

Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = (\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2, \dots, \hat{y}_n)^T
\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{np} \end{pmatrix}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p)^T.$$

. Then the linear equations can be written as

$$\hat{Y} = X\hat{eta}.$$

б

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_1 \\ \hat{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{y}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{np} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\beta}_p \end{pmatrix}$$

Find $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{Y}-\boldsymbol{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{X}_0,\boldsymbol{X}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{X}_p$. In other words,

$$\boldsymbol{X}_i \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) = \boldsymbol{X}_i^T \left(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) = 0 \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, p.$$

$$egin{pmatrix} \longleftarrow & oldsymbol{X}_0 & \longrightarrow \ \longleftarrow & oldsymbol{X}_1 & \longrightarrow \ dots & dots \ \longleftarrow & oldsymbol{X}_p & \longrightarrow \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{Y} - oldsymbol{X} \hat{oldsymbol{eta}} \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ dots \ 0 \end{pmatrix} \ oldsymbol{X}^T ig(oldsymbol{Y} - oldsymbol{X} \hat{oldsymbol{eta}} ig) = oldsymbol{0}. \end{cases}$$

Find $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{Y}-\boldsymbol{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{X}_0,\boldsymbol{X}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{X}_p$. In other words,

$$\boldsymbol{X}_i \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) = \boldsymbol{X}_i^T \left(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) = 0 \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, p.$$

$$egin{pmatrix} \longleftarrow & oldsymbol{X}_0 & \longrightarrow \\ \longleftarrow & oldsymbol{X}_1 & \longrightarrow \\ & dots & \\ \longleftarrow & oldsymbol{X}_p & \longrightarrow \end{pmatrix} ig(oldsymbol{Y} - oldsymbol{X}\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}ig) = egin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \ oldsymbol{X}^T ig(oldsymbol{Y} - oldsymbol{X}\hat{eta}ig) = oldsymbol{0}. \end{cases}$$

Find $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{Y}-\boldsymbol{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{X}_0,\boldsymbol{X}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{X}_p$. In other words,

$$\boldsymbol{X}_i \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) = \boldsymbol{X}_i^T \left(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) = 0 \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, p.$$

$$egin{pmatrix} \longleftarrow & oldsymbol{X}_0 & \longrightarrow \ \longleftarrow & oldsymbol{X}_1 & \longrightarrow \ & dots & \ \longleftarrow & oldsymbol{X}_p & \longrightarrow \end{pmatrix} ig(oldsymbol{Y} - oldsymbol{X}\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}ig) = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ dots \ 0 \end{pmatrix} \ oldsymbol{X}^T ig(oldsymbol{Y} - oldsymbol{X}\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}ig) = oldsymbol{0}. \end{pmatrix}$$

OLS estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

$$oldsymbol{X}^T \left(oldsymbol{Y} - oldsymbol{X} \hat{oldsymbol{eta}}
ight) = oldsymbol{0}$$

Variance-covariance of the estimators

$$Cov\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = Var((\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{Y})$$
$$= \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

Since σ^2 is unknown, we instead use its estimator

$$RSE = \sqrt{\frac{RSS}{n - p - 1}}.$$

What we will use instead of $\operatorname{Cov}\widehat{\beta}$ is

$$C = \mathsf{RSE}^2(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

Variance-covariance of the estimators

$$Cov\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = Var((\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{Y})$$
$$= \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

Since σ^2 is unknown, we instead use its estimator

$$RSE = \sqrt{\frac{RSS}{n - p - 1}}.$$

What we will use instead of $\operatorname{Cov}\widehat{\beta}$ is

$$C = \mathsf{RSE}^2(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

Variance-covariance of the estimators

$$Cov\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = Var((\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{Y})$$
$$= \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

Since σ^2 is unknown, we instead use its estimator

$$RSE = \sqrt{\frac{RSS}{n-p-1}}.$$

What we will use instead of $\operatorname{Cov} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is

$$C = \mathsf{RSE}^2(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

In the following regression:

$$\widehat{sales} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times TV + \hat{\beta}_2 \times radio + \hat{\beta}_3 \times newspaper,$$

We have $(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3) = (2.939, 0.046, 0.189, -0.001)$

$$RSE = \sqrt{RSS/(n-3-1)} = 1.69$$
 and

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 9.7 \times 10^{-2} & -2.7 \times 10^{-4} & -1.1 \times 10^{-3} & -6.0 \times 10^{-4} \\ -2.7 \times 10^{-4} & 1.9 \times 10^{-6} & -4.5 \times 10^{-7} & -3.3 \times 10^{-7} \\ -1.1 \times 10^{-3} & -4.5 \times 10^{-7} & 7.4 \times 10^{-5} & -1.8 \times 10^{-5} \\ -5.9 \times 10^{-4} & -3.3 \times 10^{-7} & -1.8 \times 10^{-5} & 3.4 \times 10^{-5} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$SE(\hat{\beta}_3) = \sqrt{3.4 \times 10^{-5}} = 0.0059$$

In the following regression:

$$\widehat{sales} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times TV + \hat{\beta}_2 \times radio + \hat{\beta}_3 \times newspaper,$$

We have
$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3) = (2.939, 0.046, 0.189, -0.001)$$

$$RSE = \sqrt{RSS/(n-3-1)} = 1.69 \text{ and}$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 9.7 \times 10^{-2} & -2.7 \times 10^{-4} & -1.1 \times 10^{-3} & -6.0 \times 10^{-4} \\ -2.7 \times 10^{-4} & 1.9 \times 10^{-6} & -4.5 \times 10^{-7} & -3.3 \times 10^{-7} \\ -1.1 \times 10^{-3} & -4.5 \times 10^{-7} & 7.4 \times 10^{-5} & -1.8 \times 10^{-5} \\ -5.9 \times 10^{-4} & -3.3 \times 10^{-7} & -1.8 \times 10^{-5} & 3.4 \times 10^{-5} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$SE(\hat{\beta}_3) = \sqrt{3.4 \times 10^{-5}} = 0.0059.$$

Important questions

- 1. Is at least one of the predictors X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p useful in predicting the response?
- 2. Do all predictors help explaining *Y*, or only a subset of them?
- 3. How well does model fit the data?

Relationship between the response and the predictors

We use a hypothesis test:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_p = 0$$

 H_a : at least one of β_j 's is non-zero.

The decision will be made after looking at the $F ext{--}$ statistic:

$$F = \frac{(\mathsf{TSS} - \mathsf{RSS})/p}{\mathsf{RSS}/(n-p-1)}.$$

Recall that TSS =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$
 and RSS = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$.

Relationship between the response and the predictors

We use a hypothesis test:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_p = 0$$

 H_a : at least one of β_j 's is non-zero.

The decision will be made after looking at the F-statistic:

$$F = \frac{(\mathsf{TSS} - \mathsf{RSS})/p}{\mathsf{RSS}/(n-p-1)}.$$

Recall that TSS =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$
 and RSS = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$.

How should we look at F - statistic?

One can show that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathsf{RSS}/(n-p-1)] = \sigma^2$$

and provided that H_0 is true, we also have

$$\mathbb{E}[(\mathsf{TSS} - \mathsf{RSS})/p] = \sigma^2.$$

• If H_0 is true, then we expect F-statistic to be **very close to** 1.

• If H_a is true, then $\mathbb{E}[(TSS - RSS)/p]$ and so we expect F to be **greater than 1**.

How should we look at F - statistic?

One can show that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathsf{RSS}/(n-p-1)] = \sigma^2$$

and provided that H_0 is true, we also have

$$\mathbb{E}[(\mathsf{TSS} - \mathsf{RSS})/p] = \sigma^2.$$

• If H_0 is true, then we expect F-statistic to be **very close to** 1.

• If H_a is true, then $\mathbb{E}[(TSS - RSS)/p]$ and so we expect F to be **greater than 1**.

Sales data

$$\widehat{sales} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times TV + \hat{\beta}_2 \times radio + \hat{\beta}_3 \times newspaper$$

• The F-value is 570 with its corresponding p-value = 1.58×10^{-96} .

 We are certain that at least one of the advertising media must be related to the sales.

Relationship between the response and a subset of the predictors

Suppose we want to make the same test for **a subset** of q predictors:

$$H_0: \beta_{i+1} = \beta_{i+2} = \ldots = \beta_{i+q} = 0$$

 H_a : at least one of these β_j 's is non-zero.

The decision will be made after looking at the $F ext{--}$ statistic:

$$F = \frac{(RSS_{-q} - RSS)/q}{RSS/(n-p-1)},$$

where RSS_{-q} is the residual sum of squares of the model without those q predictors.

Relationship between the response and a subset of the predictors

Suppose we want to make the same test for **a subset** of q predictors:

$$H_0: \beta_{i+1} = \beta_{i+2} = \ldots = \beta_{i+q} = 0$$

 H_a : at least one of these β_j 's is non-zero.

The decision will be made after looking at the F-statistic:

$$F = \frac{(\mathsf{RSS}_{-q} - \mathsf{RSS})/q}{\mathsf{RSS}/(n-p-1)},$$

where RSS_{-q} is the residual sum of squares of the model without those q predictors.

Relationship between the response and a single predictor

The hypothesis test is

$$H_0: \beta_j = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_j \neq 0$$

The decision will be made after looking at the t-statistic:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - 0}{\mathsf{SE}(\hat{\beta}_j)}$$

Here, $SE(\beta_j)$ is the square root of entry (j,j) of C, which is an estimate of the covariance matrix of the coefficients.

Relationship between the response and a single predictor

The hypothesis test is

$$H_0: \beta_j = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_j \neq 0$$

The decision will be made after looking at the t-statistic:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - 0}{\mathsf{SE}(\hat{\beta}_i)}.$$

Here, $SE(\hat{\beta}_j)$ is the square root of entry (j, j) of C, which is an estimate of the covariance matrix of the coefficients.

	Coefficient	SE	t-statistic	<i>p</i> -value
Intercept	2.939	0.3119	9.42	< 0.0001
TV	0.046	0.0014	32.81	< 0.0001
radio	0.189	0.0086	21.89	< 0.0001
newspaper	-0.001	0.0059	-0.18	0.8599

For example, t-statistic of $\hat{\beta}_3$ (newspaper) is

$$t = \frac{-0.0001}{0.0059} = -0.18$$

However, newspaper strongly affects sales in the simple linear regression.

	Coefficient	SE	t-statistic	<i>p</i> -value
Intercept	12.351	0.621	19.88	< 0.0001
newspaper	0.055	0.071	3.30	< 0.0001

This is because of the correlation between newspaper and radio

	$\top \vee$	radio	newspaper	sales
TV	1.000	0.055	0.057	0.78
radio		1.000	0.35	0.58
newspaper			1.000	0.23
sales				1.000

However, newspaper strongly affects sales in the simple linear regression.

	Coefficient	SE	t-statistic	<i>p</i> -value
Intercept	12.351	0.621	19.88	< 0.0001
newspaper	0.055	0.071	3.30	< 0.0001

This is because of the correlation between newspaper and radio

	TV	radio	newspaper	sales
TV	1.000	0.055	0.057	0.78
radio		1.000	0.35	0.58
newspaper			1.000	0.23
sales				1.000

F-statistic vs t-statistic

Why do we prefer F-statistic over t-statistic when testing $\beta_0 = \beta_1 = \dots, \beta_p = 0$?

Calculating F is easier than t, especially for a high p.

• For large p, even $\beta_0 = \beta_1 = \dots, \beta_p = 0$ is true, there is a small chance that the p-value of some β_j is low enough that we reject $\beta_j = 0$. The F-statistic does not suffer from this issue since it is calculated only once.

Variable selection

- Forward selection:
 - Start with 0 variable. In each step: add a variable that results in the lowest RSS.
 - Stop when RSS barely improves by adding any of the remaining variables.
 - For example, if adding any of the remaining variables reduces the RSS by less that 0.0001, then we will stop here.

Backward selection:

- Start with all variables. In each step: remove a variable with the largest *p*-value.
- Stop when all *p*-values are below some threshold e.g. 0.001.

Variable selection

- Forward selection:
 - Start with 0 variable. In each step: add a variable that results in the lowest RSS.
 - Stop when RSS barely improves by adding any of the remaining variables.
 - For example, if adding any of the remaining variables reduces the RSS by less that 0.0001, then we will stop here.

Backward selection:

- Start with all variables. In each step: remove a variable with the largest *p*-value.
- Stop when all *p*-values are below some threshold e.g. 0.001.

Model evaluation

• Residual standard error (RSE):

$$\mathsf{RSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathsf{RSS}}{n-p-1}}$$

• R^2 measures the variance of Y that is explained by the model:

$$R^2 = \left[\mathsf{Cor}(Y, \widehat{Y}) \right]^2$$

Predictos	RSE	R2
TV	3.26	0.612
TV + radio	1.68	0.897
TV + radio + newspaper	1.69	0.897

In both metrics, we can conclude that

- Adding radio helps significantly improve the model.
- There is no point in adding **newspaper** to the model.