

# Sorting Algorithms in Practice

Donner Hanson  
Schmidt College of Science and Technology  
Chapman University  
Department of Computer Science

May 16, 2019

## Abstract

This paper will discuss the differences of the sorting algorithms Bubble Sort, Selection Sort, Insertion Sort, Merge Sort, and Recursive Quick Sort.

**Outline** This report is organized as follows:

Section 1 : Introduction

Section 2 : Bubble Sort

Section 3 : Selection Sort

Section 4 : Insertion Sort

Section 5: Merge Sort

Section 6 : Quick Sort

Section 7 : Conclusion

## 1 Introduction

Assignment 6 is a demonstration of how differing sort algorithms work. To construct the txt file included I used the standard distribution functionality from C++11. I generated random doubles in a range and output to the txt file. The program also skips any whitespace and characters that may be included in the data to sort. The internal data structure I created to hold the values of the data to sort is a custom Dynamic Array class. When the

```
Number of Items to sort: 199999
File Read Successful...
Initial Array Filled...
Copy 1...
Copy 2...
Copy 3...
Copy 4...
```

Figure 1: Number of Items Sorted

base array has reach its max length it is copied to a new array, pointers are swapped, and the old array deleted. To time the sorting of the data I made use of the C++11 `std::chrono` library to calculate the time of each sort in microseconds.

## 2 Bubble Sort Results

Bubble sort is great for a quick solution to a small set of numbers. However, when the size of the data reaches into the hundreds of thousands, or millions, my hardware (2012 Macbook Pro) runs at max capacity slowing to a sluggish pace. This was my first time sorting large amounts of data so I was quite shocked to see that I could actually get some chores done and eat dinner while I waited for the results while waiting for this  $O(n^2)$  algorithm to finish.

```
BubbleSort:
Begin: 2019-05-17 00:01:32 UTC
End: 2019-05-17 00:04:49 UTC
Time in microseconds: 196716592
```

Figure 2: Bubble Sort Results

## 3 Selection Sort Results

Selection sort seemed to run slightly faster than bubble sort with large sets of data but the change was not nearly that remarkable. Again, an  $O(n^2)$  algorithm has surprised me.

```
SelectionSort:
Begin: 2019-05-17 00:04:49 UTC
End: 2019-05-17 00:05:48 UTC
Time in microseconds: 58557018
```

Figure 3: Selection Sort Results

## 4 Insertion Sort Results

Insertion sort actually seemed to run far quicker than expected - especially after watching Bubble and Selection sorts run at a snails pace, even though Insertion Sort is also considered  $O(n^2)$ . This could have been due to the uniformly distributed random numbers that were loaded into the doubles.txt file being somewhat sorted. However, the sort still took a while.

```
InsertionSort:
Begin: 2019-05-17 00:05:48 UTC
End: 2019-05-17 00:06:37 UTC
Time in microseconds: 48980062
```

Figure 4: Insertion Sort Results

## 5 Merge Sort Results

Merge sort wowed me. It brought a better understanding of what an  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm can complete compared to the previous algorithms. In under a second, 199999 items had been sorted.

```
MergeSort:
Begin: 2019-05-17 00:06:37 UTC
End: 2019-05-17 00:06:37 UTC
Time in microseconds: 64898
```

Figure 5: Merge Sort Results

## 6 Quick Sort Results

To my surprise, Quick Sort was, well... quick! Again, impressed by the speed of the recursive algorithms.

```
QuickSort:
Begin: 2019-05-17 00:06:37 UTC
End: 2019-05-17 00:06:37 UTC
Time in microseconds: 46662
```

Figure 6: Quick Sort Results

## 7 Conclusions

Recursion is useful and, given the right environment and specs of data, should be embraced for efficiency. I was pleasantly surprised by the efficiency of Quick Sort as well as Merge Sort and will be implementing them more frequently where appropriate.