
## **System Design and Implementation**



The flow diagram illustrates the architecture of the journal application, detailing how user authentication, journal entry creation, and Al-powered sentiment analysis are integrated.

### **User Authentication**

- Users authenticate via JWT (JSON Web Token) before accessing any functionalities.
- The frontend (React Web App) communicates with the authentication layer, which verifies the user's credentials.

### **Journal Entry Creation & Sentiment Analysis**

- Authenticated users create journal entries via the frontend.
- The journal entry is processed by AWS Comprehend for sentiment analysis.
- The analyzed sentiment is then updated in the journal entry.
- Backend Processing (FastAPI & PostgreSQL)

The FastAPI backend handles all API requests and interacts with the SQLAlchemy ORM for database operations.

Once sentiment analysis is completed, the journal entry is updated with the new sentiment score and stored in the PostgreSQL database.

### **Data Storage & Retrieval**

- The PostgreSQL database stores both user and journal entry data.
- •
- The frontend fetches journal entries via API calls, ensuring a seamless user experience.

This architecture ensures secure authentication, seamless journal management, and automated Al-driven sentiment analysis, enhancing the overall user experience.

## Data model design and relationships

In the design of this database, the relationship between the User and Journal tables follows a one-to-many (1:M) relationship. This means that a single user can create multiple journal entries, but each journal entry belongs to only one user.


## **Entity Relationship Breakdown**

### 1. User Table

- Represents users who have access to the journal system.
- o Each user has a unique ID to identify them.

### 2. Journal Table

- Represents individual journal entries written by users.
- Each entry includes a foreign key (User ID) linking it to a specific user.



### Role-based access control architecture

We have role based access control which includes ADMIN and USER. Just to demo, try using these credentials on the Journal Web App you will be directed to an admin only page

email:admin@gmal.com

Password: admin

## **Security Measures**

Q: How does your application implement comprehensive security beyond basic authentication?

**A:** My application employs a **comprehensive security strategy** that extends beyond basic authentication mechanisms like simple username-password validation. The key security implementations include:

### 1 JWT-Based Authentication

- We use JSON Web Tokens (JWTs) for authentication, ensuring a stateless and scalable approach.
- JWTs include the user ID and other claims, which the backend verifies on every request.

### 2 Secure Token Storage Using sessionStorage

- Instead of using localStorage (which is vulnerable to **XSS attacks** and retains tokens indefinitely), we store tokens in sessionStorage.
- This ensures that tokens are cleared automatically when the user closes their tab, reducing session hijacking risks.

## 3 Extracting User ID from the JWT Instead of Passing It in Requests

We do not pass user IDs via URL parameters or query strings, reducing the risk of ID tampering.

• The backend extracts and verifies the user ID directly from the JWT, ensuring data integrity and security.

### 4 Token Verification on Every Request

- API endpoints require the **JWT to be included in the request headers**.
- The server **decodes and validates the token** before allowing access to protected resources.

### 5 Additional Security Best Practices

- Rate limiting to prevent brute-force attacks.
- Strict CORS policies to prevent unauthorized cross-origin access.
- Password hashing (e.g., bcrypt) to protect user credentials.
- Role-based access control (RBAC) to restrict access to sensitive actions.

This **multi-layered security approach** ensures that authentication is **not just basic auth** but rather a **robust, well-secured system** designed to protect user data.

## Al implementation

I added the Sentiment analysis feature that takes in the journal content and then predicts the mood of the entry. I am using AWS comprehend API to make this possible

# Potential scaling challenges and solutions

- Database Bottlenecks
  - Challenge: As the number of users grows, read and write operations to PostgreSQL may slow down.

- Solution: Implement read replicas and horizontal partitioning (sharding) to distribute the load. Use caching (Redis) to reduce database queries for frequently accessed data.
- 0
- 0
- 0

### Authentication Load

- Challenge: JWT authentication requires validation, which can become a bottleneck at high loads.
- Solution: Offload authentication to a dedicated authentication service like AWS Cognito, Auth0, or Firebase Auth.

### • Backend API Performance

- Challenge: FastAPI's synchronous tasks (like sentiment analysis requests) may block other requests.
- Solution: Use asynchronous processing (Celery + Redis) for background tasks like AI sentiment analysis, reducing API response time.

### Scaling Al Sentiment Analysis

- Challenge: AWS Comprehend may introduce latency at scale.
- Solution: Implement batch processing or queue-based processing (e.g., SQS or Kafka) to handle large-scale sentiment analysis.

### Network Latency & Load Balancing

- Challenge: Increased API traffic can cause slow response times and failures.
- Solution: Deploy load balancers (AWS ALB, Nginx) and use CDN (Cloudflare, AWS CloudFront) to optimize API and frontend requests.

## Scaling to Support 1M+ Users

To handle 1M+ users efficiently, the architecture needs to be **modular and horizontally scalable**:

### 1. Database Scaling

- Use database sharding to distribute user data across multiple PostgreSQL instances.
- Add read replicas to handle increased read queries.

### 2. Backend Scalability

- Deploy FastAPI with Kubernetes (K8s) for auto-scaling.
- Use serverless computing (AWS Lambda) for specific tasks like sentiment analysis.

#### 3. Event-Driven Architecture

- Instead of synchronous API processing, adopt event-driven microservices (Kafka, RabbitMQ).
- Separate journal creation, Al processing, and updates into different microservices.

### 4. Edge Caching & CDN

- Store frequently accessed data (e.g., recent journal entries) in Redis or Memcached.
- Use CDN for static assets and frontend files.

## **Technical Decision Log**

### **FastAPI**

- 1 The Technical Decision Log for choosing FastAPI as a Backend includes:
  - Problem Solved : Need for a high-performance backend with robust data validation.
  - Options Considered : Flask, Django, FastAPI, Node.js.
  - Chosen Approach: FastAPI for its speed, async support, and Pydantic integration.
  - Trade-offs: Smaller community and async learning curve balanced by performance and scalability.

• This decision ensures a modern, efficient backend foundation for the app.

### **AWS Comprehend For Sentiment Analysis**

The Technical Decision Log for sentiment analysis includes:

- Problem Solved : Analyzing journal entry sentiment to track user well-being.
- Options Considered : Custom model, open-source libraries, AWS Comprehend, other cloud providers.
- Chosen Approach: AWS Comprehend for its scalability, accuracy, and AWS integration.
- Trade-offs: Vendor lock-in and costs balanced by ease of use and performance.
- This decision ensures accurate, scalable sentiment analysis while aligning with the app's architecture.

### **MVC(Model View Controller Architecture**

The Technical Decision Log for choosing MVC includes:

Problem Solved : Need for a maintainable, scalable architecture with clear separation of concerns.

- Options Considered : Monolithic, MVC, MVVM, Microservices.
- Chosen Approach: MVC for its balance of simplicity, scalability, and separation of concerns.
- Trade-offs: Initial complexity balanced by long-term maintainability and scalability.
- This decision ensures a structured, future-proof foundation for the app.