Institute of Stochastics

Stochastic Geometry | Summer term 2020

PD. Dr. Steffen Winter Steffen Betsch, M.Sc.

Solutions for Work Sheet 10

Problem 1

Let $C \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $W \in \mathcal{K}^d$ such that $V_d(W) > 0$. Prove the following assertions.

- a) It holds that $\lim_{r\to\infty} V_d(W+r^{-1}\cdot C)=V_d(W)$.
- b) It holds that $V_d(W-C) \leqslant c'_W \cdot V_d(C+B^d)$, where c'_W does not depend on C.
- c) If $0 \in W$ and $r \ge 1$, then $V_d(W + r^{-1} \cdot C) \le c_W \cdot V_d(W + C)$, where c_W does not depend on C or r.

Proposed solution:

a) Since C is compact, we find $\rho > 0$ such that $C \subset \rho \cdot B^d$. For each $r \geqslant 0$, we thus have

$$V_d(W) \leqslant V_d(W + r^{-1} \cdot C) \leqslant V_d(W + \frac{\rho}{r} \cdot B^d).$$

The Steiner formula yields

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} V_d\Big(W+\frac{\rho}{r}\cdot B^d\Big) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \sum_{j=0}^d \kappa_{d-j} \, \frac{\rho^{d-j}}{r^{d-j}} \, V_j(W) = V_d(W).$$

Therefore, we also have $\lim_{r\to\infty} V_d(W+r^{-1}\cdot C)=V_d(W)$.

b) Since W is compact, we find an index $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as well as $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $W \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n (B^d + t_k)$. Hence,

$$W-C\subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n (B^d-C+t_k),$$

and we get $V_d(W-C) \leqslant n \cdot V_d(B^d-C) = n \cdot V_d(C+B^d)$.

c) Let $y_1, \ldots, y_m \in C$ be such that $(W+y_i) \cap (W+y_k) = \emptyset$ for any $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ with $i \neq k$. We have $m \cdot V_d(W) \leqslant V_d(C+W)$, that is,

$$m \leqslant \frac{V_d(C+W)}{V_d(W)}. (1)$$

We may thus choose m to be maximal. For each $x \in C$ we find $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ so that

$$(W+x)\cap (W+y_k)\neq \varnothing$$
,

or, to put it differently, $x \in y_k + W - W$. Therefore,

$$C\subset\bigcup_{j=1}^m(y_j+W-W).$$

Using that $0 \in W$, $r \ge 1$, as well as that W is convex, we have $\frac{1}{r} \cdot W \subset W$ and $-\frac{1}{r} \cdot W \subset -W$. We conclude that

$$W + \frac{1}{r} \cdot C \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left(W + \frac{1}{r} \cdot (y_j + W - W) \right) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{r} \cdot y_j + 2 \cdot W - W \right). \tag{2}$$

From (2) and (1) we obtain

$$V_d\Big(W+\frac{1}{r}\cdot C\Big)\leqslant m\cdot V_d(2\cdot W-W)\leqslant \frac{V_d(K+W)}{V_d(W)}\cdot V_d(2\cdot W-W)=\underbrace{\frac{V_d(2\cdot W-W)}{V_d(W)}}_{=:c_W}\cdot V_d(W+C).$$

Problem 2 (The proof of part (iii) from Theorem 4.2)

Let Φ be a stationary particle process in \mathbb{R}^d with shape distribution \mathbb{Q} and intensity $\gamma>0$, given some center function c. Let $f: \mathbb{C}' \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable and translation invariant map such that $f\geqslant 0$ or $\int_{\mathbb{C}'} |f| \, d\mathbb{Q} < \infty$. Further, assume that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_0} |f(C)| \cdot \lambda^d(C + B^d) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}(C) < \infty.$$

Prove that, for $W \in \mathcal{K}^d$ with $V_d(W) > 0$,

$$\gamma_f(\Phi) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{V_d(r \cdot W)} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{C}'} \mathbb{1} \left\{ C \cap r \cdot W \neq \varnothing \right\} f(C) \, \mathrm{d}\Phi(C) \right].$$

Proposed solution: We have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{V_d(r\cdot W)} \, \mathbb{E} \bigg[\int_{\mathcal{C}'} \mathbb{1} \big\{ C \cap r \cdot W \neq \varnothing \big\} \, f(C) \, \mathrm{d}\Phi(C) \bigg] \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{V_d(r\cdot W)} \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1} \big\{ (C+x) \cap r \cdot W \neq \varnothing \big\} \, f(C) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda^d(x) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}(C) \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{V_d(W)} \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1} \big\{ (r^{-1} \cdot C + y) \cap W \neq \varnothing \big\} \, f(C) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda^d(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}(C) \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{V_d(W)} \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} V_d(W - r^{-1} \cdot C) \, f(C) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}(C). \end{split}$$

By part a) of Problem 1, we know that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} V_d(W-r^{-1}\cdot C)=V_d(W).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 \in W$. Parts c) and b) of Problem 1 yield

$$V_d(W-r^{-1}\cdot C)\leqslant c_W\cdot c_W'\cdot V_d(C+B^d), \qquad r\geqslant 1,$$

for some constants c_W and c_W' which do not depend on C or r. By assumption, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_0} V_d(C+B^d) \, |f(C)| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}(C) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} |f(C)| \cdot \lambda^d(C+B^d) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}(C) < \infty,$$

so dominated convergence gives

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{V_d(r \cdot W)} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathcal{C}'} \mathbb{1} \left\{ C \cap r \cdot W \neq \varnothing \right\} f(C) \, d\Phi(C) \right] = \frac{\gamma}{V_d(W)} \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} V_d(W) \, f(C) \, d\mathbb{Q}(C)$$
$$= \gamma \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} f(C) \, d\mathbb{Q}(C)$$
$$= \gamma_f(\Phi).$$

Problem 3 (An inclusion-exclusion principle - Lemma 4.4)

Let \mathbb{R}^d be the collection of all finite unions of convex bodies.

a) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be an additive map in the sense of Definition 4.3, that is,

$$f(\varnothing) = 0$$
 as well as $f(K \cup L) + f(K \cap L) = f(K) + f(L)$, $K, L \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Prove that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K_1, \ldots, K_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f(K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m) = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq m} f(K_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap K_{i_k}).$$

- b) Prove that the map $\varphi_X : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $B \mapsto \varphi_X(B) := \mathbb{1}_B(X)$, is additive for every $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- c) Prove that, for $m \in \mathbb{N}, \, K_1, \dots, K_m \in \mathcal{K}^d$, and $K := \bigcup_{j=1}^m K_j$,

$$\mathbb{1}_{K} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} (-1)^{\ell-1} \sum_{1 \leqslant i_{1} < \dots < i_{\ell} \leqslant m} \mathbb{1}_{K_{i_{1}} \cap \dots \cap K_{i_{\ell}}}$$

Proposed solution:

a) We prove the claim by induction. For m=1, the statement is trivially true. Assume that the claim holds for some fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for $K_1, \ldots, K_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the additivity of f and the induction hypothesis yield

$$\begin{split} f(K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_{m+1}) &= f(K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m) + f(K_{m+1}) - f\left((K_1 \cap K_{m+1}) \cup \ldots \cup (K_m \cap K_{m+1})\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leqslant m} f(K_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap K_{i_k}) + f(K_{m+1}) \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leqslant m} f\left((K_{i_1} \cap K_{m+1}) \cap \ldots \cap (K_{i_k} \cap K_{m+1})\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leqslant m} f(K_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap K_{i_k}) + f(K_{m+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leqslant m} f(K_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap K_{i_k} \cap K_{m+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leqslant m} f(K_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap K_{i_k}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leqslant m+1} f(K_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap K_{i_k}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leqslant m+1} f(K_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap K_{i_k}). \end{split}$$

b) Let $K, L \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be arbitrary. We distinguish three cases in x:

In **case 1**, we consider $x \in K^c \cap L^c$. We clearly have

$$\phi_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{K} \cup \boldsymbol{L}) + \phi_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{K} \cap \boldsymbol{L}) = \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{K} \cup \boldsymbol{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{K} \cap \boldsymbol{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0 + 0 = \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \phi_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{K}) + \phi_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{L}).$$

In case 2, we consider $x \in K \cap L^c$. It follows that

$$\varphi_{X}(K \cup L) + \varphi_{X}(K \cap L) = \mathbb{1}_{K \cup I}(X) + \mathbb{1}_{K \cap I}(X) = 1 + 0 = \mathbb{1}_{K}(X) + \mathbb{1}_{I}(X) = \varphi_{X}(K) + \varphi_{X}(L).$$

The case $x \in K^c \cap L$ follows by an identical argument.

In **case 3**, we consider $x \in K \cap L$. We have

$$\varphi_{X}(K \cup L) + \varphi_{X}(K \cap L) = \mathbb{1}_{K \cup L}(X) + \mathbb{1}_{K \cap L}(X) = 1 + 1 = \mathbb{1}_{K}(X) + \mathbb{1}_{L}(X) = \varphi_{X}(K) + \varphi_{X}(L).$$

c) The claim follows immediately from parts a) and b).

Problem 4 (From the proof of Lemma 4.7)

For $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ let $C_z := C^d + z$, where $C^d := [0, 1]^d$. For r > 0 as well as $W \in \mathcal{K}^d$ with $V_d(W) > 0$ and $0 \in \text{int}(W)$, define

$$Z_r^1 := \big\{ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : C_z \cap r \cdot W \neq \varnothing, \ C_z \not\subset r \cdot W \big\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad Z_r^2 := \big\{ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : C_z \subset r \cdot W \big\}.$$

Prove that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\text{card}(Z^1_r)}{V_d(r\cdot W)}=0\qquad\text{and}\qquad\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\text{card}(Z^2_r)}{V_d(r\cdot W)}=1.$$

Proposed solution: First notice that there exist s, t > 0 as well as $r_0 \ge 0$ such that, for every $r \ge r_0$,

$$\bigcup_{z \in Z_r^1} C_z \subset (r+s) \cdot W \setminus (r-s) \cdot W \quad \text{and} \quad (r-t) \cdot W \subset \bigcup_{z \in Z_r^2} C_z \subset r \cdot W.$$
 (3)

From the first inclusion of (3) it follows that, for $r \ge r_0$,

$$0 \leqslant \operatorname{card}(Z_r^1) \leqslant V_d((r+s) \cdot W) - V_d((r-s) \cdot W).$$

Since

$$\frac{V_d\big((r+s)\cdot W\big)-V_d\big((r-s)\cdot W\big)}{V_d(r\cdot W)}=\frac{(r+s)^d-(r-s)^d}{r^d}\to 0 \qquad (\text{as } r\to \infty),$$

we conclude that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{card}(Z_r^1)}{V_d(r\cdot W)}=0.$$

From the second inclusion of (3) it follows that, for $r \geqslant r_0$,

$$V_{\mathcal{O}}((r-t)\cdot W)\leqslant \operatorname{card}(Z_r^2)\leqslant V_{\mathcal{O}}(r\cdot W).$$

Since

$$\frac{V_d\big((r-t)\cdot W\big)}{V_d(r\cdot W)} = \frac{(r-t)^d}{r^d} \to 1 \qquad (\text{as } r\to \infty),$$

we conclude that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{card}(Z_r^2)}{V_d(r\cdot W)}=1.$$