An Introduction To Idris

Donovan Crichton

May 2023

Preliminaries

- ► Slides and Examples available at: https://github.com/donovancrichton/Talks
- ► This talk: COMP1130/IntroToldrisAndGADTS

Generalised Algebraic Data Types

- Guarded Recursive DataTypes HOAS [Xi et al., 2003].
- ► First Class Phantom Types Type Equality from Pattern Matching [Cheney and Hinze, 2003].
- ► Generalised Algebraic Data Types Type Inference [Jones et al., 2004].

Syntax

What do GADTs actually look like?

```
{-# LANGUAGE GADTs #-}
-- this is the data constructor
data Expr :: * -> * where
-- these are the type constructors:
    EInt :: Int -> Expr Int
    EBool :: Bool -> Expr Bool
    EAdd :: Expr Int -> Expr Int -> Expr Int
    EAnd :: Expr Bool -> Expr Bool
    EIsZero :: Expr Int -> Expr Bool
```

Limitations of Algebraic Data Types

Imagine a list with a concrete constructor and a polymorphic one.

```
-- this is just fine.
data List a =
  Nil
  | Cons a (List a)
  | CInt Int (List Int)
-- this is not!
tl :: List a -> List a
tl Nil = Nil
tl (Cons x xs) = xs
tl (CInt k ks) = ks
```

Limitations of Algebraic Data Types 2

- ▶ If we *parameterise* our data types we can't fix that parameter later.
- ▶ In PLT, TT and Logic we have object and meta languages.
- We would like to use the binding in our meta language for our object language.

Polymorphic Evaluation

```
{-# Langauge GADTs #-}
data Expr a where
  EInt :: Int -> Expr Int
  EBool :: Bool -> Expr Bool
  EAdd :: Expr Int -> Expr Int -> Expr Int
  EAnd :: Expr Bool -> Expr Bool -> Expr Bool
  EIsZero :: Expr Bool -> Expr Int
eval :: Expr a -> a
eval (EInt x) = x
eval (EBool b) = b
eval (EAdd x y) = eval x + eval y
eval (EAnd p q) = eval p && eval q
eval (EIsZero k) = (eval k) == 0
```

GADT Demo

► let's see the demo.

Correctness by Construction

- GADTs now type-check our object language expressions in our meta language.
- ▶ It is not possible for me to accidentally write addition on Boolean expressions.
- ▶ Idea create your data structures in such away that they enforce correctness?.

Moving Away from Haskell

- Extensions overload: PolyKinds, DataKinds, KindSignatures, KindAsType, etc.
- ▶ Reification and Reflection: Haskell has two languages!
- Just to get more expressivity and functionality for GADTS?

Stephanie Weirich's Dependent Haskell...

Haskell looks different with just one extension...

```
{-# LANGUAGE DataKinds, TypeFamilies, PolyKinds,
    TypeInType, GADTs, RankNTypes, ScopedTypeVariables,
    TypeApplications, TemplateHaskell,
    UndecidableInstances, InstanceSigs,
    MultiParamTypeClasses, TypeOperators,
    KindSignatures, TypeFamiliyDependencies,
    AllowAmbiguousTypes, FlexibleContexts,
    FlexibleInstances #-}
```

To Idris!

- ► Full Support for Dependent Types.
- Pure Functional Language.
- Syntactically Similar to Haskell.
- ► Term and Type Languages are Identical.
- ► It has a book [Brady, 2017].
- My Favourite.

Installation (on Linux)

The README on the repo has good installation instructions.

- 1. \$ sudo apt-get install chezscheme9,5
- 2. \$ git clone https://github.com/idris-lang/idris2
- 3. \$ cd idris2
- 4. \$ make bootstrap SCHEME=chezscheme9.5
- 5. \$ make install
- 6. \$ make clean
- 7. \$ make all
- 8. \$ make install
- 9. add /.idris2/bin to your system path

DDTS (GADTS) in Idris

Strictness, loss of type inference.

```
data Expr : (a : Type) -> Type where
  EVal : a -> Expr a
  EAdd : Num a => Expr a -> Expr a -> Expr a
  EAnd : Expr Bool -> Lazy (Expr Bool) -> Expr Bool

eval : Expr a -> a
eval (Eval x) = x
eval (EAdd x y) = (eval x) + (eval y)
eval (EAnd p q) = (eval p) && (eval q)
```

Slim instead of Thick. Fat instead of Thin. Full of holes.

```
> : not ::
> (x :: xs) not (x : xs)
> => not ->
> ?what
f : Nat -> Nat
f x =
    case x of
Z => ?baseCaseHole
    (S k) => ?stepCaseHole
```

Gentle Dependent Types 1

- ▶ We can *index* our data types as well as *parameterise* them.
- ► The index may have a specific element in the return type, the parameter may not.
- in List, a is a parameter. In Expr, a is an index.

Gentle Dependent Types 2

We can be *precise* about head and tail.

```
data Vect : Nat -> Type -> Type
  Nil : Vect Z a
  (::) : a -> Vect k a -> Vect (S k) a

hd : Vect (S k) a -> a
hd (x :: _) = x

tail : Vect (S k) a -> Vect k a
tail (_ :: xs) = xs
```

Scary Dependent Types 1

```
data (=) : a -> b -> Type where
  Refl : a = a
```

We can state equality between any two types. However we can only construct an element of the equality type if a and b are the same thing.

This happens by beta reduction.

Scary Dependent Types 2

Interactive Demo on defining (++)

- What is rewrite? Goal changing?
- ► Also implicits?
- ▶ More proofs like this, more practice? Port from Coq!
- ► Read logical foundations and skip the tactics!

Okay...but that's not a proof!

- ▶ A proof based on intuitionistic higher order logic.
- ▶ Thanks to the curry-howard isomorphism.
- ► Commonly known as 'Propositions as Types' [Wadler, 2015].
- As Phillip Wadler speaks about.

Other Invariants

- Sorted Lists
- Ordered Binary Trees
- ► What about Balanced BSTs?
- let's see the demo.

Who to talk to if I want more?

- ► Lots of academics in SOC Foundations Cluster care about FP and Formal Methods.
- ▶ Offhand: Dirk Pattinson, Ranald Clouston, Michael Norrish.
- likely others!

References

- E. Brady. *Type-driven development with Idris*. Simon and Schuster, 2017.
- J. Cheney and R. Hinze. First-class phantom types. Technical report, Cornell University, 2003.
- S. P. Jones, G. Washburn, and S. Weirich. Wobbly types: type inference for generalised algebraic data types. Technical report, Technical Report MS-CIS-05-26, Univ. of Pennsylvania, 2004.
- P. Wadler. Propositions as types. *Communications of the ACM*, 58 (12):75–84, 2015.
- H. Xi, C. Chen, and G. Chen. Guarded recursive datatype constructors. In ACM SIGPLAN Notices, volume 38, pages 224–235. ACM, 2003.