## 【防空】【当前新闻】对MH17事件之分析之

\_

2014-07-22 12:06:00

原文网址: http://blog.udn.com/MengyuanWang/108908613

很巧合的在我登出"对MH17事件之分析"的同时,美国眾议员Ron Paul也写了一篇对MH17事件的评论文章。他觉得美国急着把这事件的责任赖到普丁的头上,是不诚实又不公平的。其实为什么美国全国动员,操弄媒体来打这口水仗是很明显的:CIA(中央情报局)策划乌克兰政变触动了俄国的戦略底线,促使普丁完全倒向中共;美国平时虽然还得装模作樣做个假道学,既然已经撕破脸,就没有什么正义或真理的考量,从此美国对俄国的戦略目标只有一个,就是不择手段要把普丁搞下台。很明显的例子:显示一套山毛榉导弹发射系统正从乌克兰被转移到俄罗斯的一段类似视频是编造的,背景中的公告板显示视频是在小镇克拉诺阿梅斯克城拍摄的,该镇自5月11日以来一直在乌克兰政府军控制之下。

Ron Paul是美国联邦政府里唯一一个诚实又有见识的人。当然眾人皆醉我独醒,让他成了眾矢之的;一般美国媒体忙着骂他是疯子还来不及,更别想要登他的文章了,所以他只好开自己的网站。这篇文章如下(我的中文打字很慢,翻译的工作就交给打字强的读者了):

## What the Media Won't Report About Malaysian Airlines Flight MH17

written by ron paul

sunday july 20, 2014

Just days after the tragic crash of a Malaysian Airlines flight over eastern Ukraine, Western politicians and media joined together to gain the maximum propaganda value from the disaster. It had to be Russia; it had to be Putin, they said. President Obama held a press conference to claim – even before an investigation – that it was pro-Russian rebels in the region who were responsible. His ambassador to the UN, Samantha Power, did the same at the UN Security Council – just one day after the crash!

While western media outlets rush to repeat government propaganda on the event, there are a few things they will not report.

They will not report that the crisis in Ukraine started late last year, when EU and US-supported protesters plotted the overthrow of the elected Ukrainian president, Viktor Yanukovych. Without US-sponsored "regime change," it is unlikely that hundreds would have been killed in the unrest that followed. Nor would the Malaysian Airlines crash have happened.

The media has reported that the plane must have been shot down by Russian forces or Russianbacked separatists, because the missile that reportedly brought down the plane was Russian made. But they will not report that the Ukrainian government also uses the exact same Russian-made weapons.

They will not report that the post-coup government in Kiev has, according to OSCE monitors, killed 250 people in the breakaway Lugansk region since June, including 20 killed as government forces bombed the city center the day after the plane crash! Most of these are civilians and together they roughly equal the number killed in the plane crash. By contrast, Russia has killed no one in Ukraine, and the separatists have struck largely military, not civilian, targets.

They will not report that the US has strongly backed the Ukrainian government in these attacks on civilians, which a State Department spokeswoman called "measured and moderate."

They will not report that neither Russia nor the separatists in eastern Ukraine have anything to gain but everything to lose by shooting down a passenger liner full of civilians.

They will not report that the Ukrainian government has much to gain by pinning the attack on Russia, and that the Ukrainian prime minister has already expressed his pleasure that Russia is being blamed for the attack.

They will not report that the missile that apparently shot down the plane was from a sophisticated surface-to-air missile system that requires a good deal of training that the separatists do not have.

They will not report that the separatists in eastern Ukraine have inflicted considerable losses on the Ukrainian government in the week before the plane was downed.

They will not report how similar this is to last summer's US claim that the Assad government in Syria had used poison gas against civilians in Ghouta. Assad was also gaining the upper hand in his struggle with US-backed rebels and the US claimed that the attack came from Syrian government positions. Then, US claims led us to the brink of another war in the Middle East. At the last minute public opposition forced Obama to back down – and we have learned since then that US claims about the gas attack were false.

Of course it is entirely possible that the Obama administration and the US media has it right this time, and Russia or the separatists in eastern Ukraine either purposely or inadvertently shot down this aircraft. The real point is, it's very difficult to get accurate information so everybody engages in propaganda. At this point it would be unwise to say the Russians did it, the Ukrainian government did it, or the rebels did it. Is it so hard to simply demand a real investigation?

## 1条留言

史谛夫 2017-05-27 00:00:00

马航在乌克兰东部发生空难悲剧之后几天,西方政界及媒体无不藉此灾难极尽宣传之能事,他们总是说,一定是俄国,一定是普丁;欧巴马总统---甚至在未开始调查之前---召集了新闻发布会,声称该区域亲俄的反叛军要负责。其驻联合国大使萨曼莎·鲍尔在联合国安理会亦是如此宣称---仅就在空难发生之隔日。

当西方媒体纷纷急着就此事广播着官方的宣传时,有几件事情他们却不报导。

他们不会报导乌克兰危机始于去年底前,正是欧盟及美国所支持的抗议人士在密谋要推翻民选的 维克托·亚努科维奇总统的时候。若没有美国所援助的「政权变革」,在随后的动乱中也就不会有 数以百计的人命丧生,亦不会有马航空难的惨剧。

媒体报导该班机一定是被俄国军方或被俄国所支持的分离分子所击落的,因为击中该飞机的导弹 是俄国所制造,但他们不会报导说乌克兰政府亦使用完全同一型号的俄制武器。

他们不会报导说,根据欧安组织所显示,自六月份以来,政变后的基辅政权已经在闹脱离的卢甘斯克地区杀害了250人,包括在飞机遇难后隔天政府军轰炸市中心时所导致的 20人丧生!大多数罹难者是平民,至今的总数大概等于飞机坠毁事故遇害的人数。相较之下,俄国在乌克兰没有造成任何人的死亡,而分离主义者所攻击的目标大多是军方非平民。

他们不会报导说,是美国坚决地支持乌克兰政府对平民的袭击,而国务院女发言人称为「平等而适度地打击。」

他们不会报导说,打下一架满载平民的客机,无论对俄罗斯或者对乌克兰东部的分离主义分子, 不仅不会有任何的好处还会对其造成严重的阻挠。

他们不会报导说,若将此事归咎于俄罗斯的话,乌克兰政府会收受极大的好处,而乌克兰总理已 经表明很高兴俄罗斯因为马航被击落而受到舆论的指责。

他们不会报导说,击落客机的飞弹很明显地是来自极其复杂的地对空导弹系统,其所须要的训练 量能,是分离主义分子无法具备的。

他们不会报导说,乌克兰东部的分离主义分子在飞机被击落之前一个星期,已经对乌克兰政府造 成相当大的损失。

他们不会报导说,这起事件与去年夏天美国所声称的,叙利亚阿萨德政府用毒气攻击古多平民的那一桩事件有多么类似。彼时阿萨德在与美国所支持的反叛分子斗争中也占据上风,美国遂声称该毒气袭击是来自叙利亚政府的阵地。之后,美国的主张几乎把我们推上另一场中东战争。在最后一刻,公眾的反对迫使欧巴马收敛 - 在那之后我们才知道美国所谓的毒气袭击消息根本是子虚乌有。

当然,这一次欧巴马政府和美国媒体没有搞错是完全有可能的,确实是俄罗斯或者是东乌克兰的分离主义者不论是蓄意或者无意中击落了这架飞机。真正的重点是,要获得真确的信息是相当困难的,所以每个参与者都只是道听涂说地宣传。就这一点上,急就地去说此事是俄国人所为、是乌克兰政府所为,或是反叛军所为都是很不明智的。只单纯要真实的调查会是很难吗?

66

正是因为打下民航机,对俄国没有好处,所以只可能是误击。但是英美有宣传优势,一旦 认错,必然会被无限上纲,所以俄国只好抵死否认。

这本身是个悲剧,但是始作俑者却是世界霸主,所以挨駡、受罪的都是他人。毕竟真理只在大炮的射程之内。