

To characterise  $\mathbb{N}$  we need to recall the notion of a sequence:

**Definition:** A sequence is a set of elements  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  indexed by  $J$ , i.e.  $\exists f : J \longrightarrow \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  such that  $f(n) = x_n \forall n \in J$ .

Recall that sequences and their limits were used to define various notions in calculus (differentiation, interpretation, etc.) Also, calculators use sequences in order to compute with various rational and irrational numbers.

### Examples

1.  $\pi \simeq 3.1415\dots$  i.e. instead of  $\pi$  we can work with the following sequence of rational numbers :  $x_1 = 3, x_2 = 3.1, x_3 = 3.14, x_4 = 3.141, x_5 = 3.1415, \dots \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \pi$ .  $\pi$  is irrational, i.e.,  $\pi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .
2.  $\frac{1}{3} \simeq 0.333\dots$  means we can set up the sequence of rational numbers  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0.3, x_3 = 0.33, x_4 = 0.333, x_5 = 0.3333$  etc. such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \frac{1}{3}$ . Note that  $\frac{1}{3} \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Restatement of the definition of countably infinite:** A set  $A$  is countably infinite if its elements can be arranged in a sequence  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ . This is another of saying  $A$  is in bijective correspondence with  $J$ , i.e.  $\exists f : A \longrightarrow J$  a bijection, namely  $A \sim J$ .

**Application of the restatement:**  $\mathbb{Z} \sim \mathbb{N}$

Indeed, we can write  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a sequence since  $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, -1, 2, -2, \dots\}$  so  $\mathbb{Z} \in [\mathbb{N}]$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is countably infinite like  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Big difference between finite and infinite sets:** Let  $A, B$  be finite sets such that  $A \subsetneq B$ , i.e.  $A \subset B$  but  $A \neq B$ . Then  $A \not\sim B$  since  $\#(A) < \#(B)$  and  $J_n \not\sim J_m$  if  $n \neq m$ . Let  $A, B$  be infinite sets such that  $A \subsetneq B$ ,  $A \subset B$ , but  $A \neq B$ . It is possible that  $A \sim B$ . We saw this behaviour in Hilbert's hotel problem (Hilbert's Paradox of the Grand Hotel):  $\mathbb{N}^* \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$ , but  $\mathbb{N} \sim \mathbb{N}^*$  via the bijection  $f : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$  given by  $f(n) = n + 1$ , so  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \sim \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

In the same vein, we get the following result:

**Theorem:** Every infinite subset of a countably infinite set is itself countably infinite.

**Proof:** Let  $E \subseteq A$  be the subset in question, where  $E$  is infinite and  $A$  is countably infinite.  $A$  is countably infinite  $\iff A \sim J \iff A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ .

To show  $E$  is countably infinite, we want to show we can represent  $E = \{x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots\}$ . We construct this sequence of  $n_j$ 's from the indices of the elements of  $A$  in the enumeration  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  as follows:

Let  $n_1$  be the smallest integer in  $J$  such that  $x_{n_1} \in E \subseteq A$ . We construct the rest of the sequence of  $n_j$ 's by induction. Say we have constructed  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $n_k$  be the smallest integer greater than  $n_{k-1}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \in E$ . By construction,  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  and  $E = \{x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots\}$

qed

**Remark:**  $\{x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots\}$  is called a subsequence of  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$

**Algorithmic restatement of previous proof:**

Let  $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  be an enumeration of  $A$  (i.e. writing the countably infinite set  $A$  as a sequence). We process  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  as a queue. First look at  $x_1$ . If  $x_1 \in E$ , keep  $x_1$  and let  $n_1 = 1$ ; otherwise, discard  $x_1$ . Process each  $x_i$  in turn, keeping only those that are in  $E$ . Their indices form the subsequence  $\{n_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots}$  where  $E = \{x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, x_{n_3}, \dots\}$ .