

# A general definition of DTT's

HOTTEST

October 9, 2011

Andrej Bauer

joint work with  
Philipp Haselwarter  
Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine

## What are type theories?

A DTT is a formal system ...

- syntax expressions & types
- binding, dependencies
- judgments
- derivation

...

Methods for dealing with such formalisms:

- concrete syntax : words — obsolete
- abstract syntax : well-founded trees — reasonable ←
- intrinsic syntax : objects of meta-level formal system  
(logical framework, QIIT and type theory)

## Steps:

1. Mathematical preliminaries
2. Raw syntax
3. Raw type theories
4. Acceptable TT
5. Well-founded TT

} definition

## 6. Results:

- meta theorems
- examples
- semantics
- implementation

Martin-Löfian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rightarrow \vdash A \text{ type} & & \vdash A \equiv B \\ \Gamma \vdash t : A & & \vdash t \equiv_A s \\ \downarrow & & \end{array}$$

Examples: MLTT<sup>84</sup> MLTT ....  
CIC? HoTT book TT  
(universes à la Tarski)

Counter-examples:

- Cubical TT
- $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \dots$   
↑ linear

## Raw syntax

- Free & bound variables? Pick some standard way, e.g. de Bruijn indices

$$\Gamma \quad x_1 : A_1, x_2 : A_2, \dots, x_n : A_n$$

$$\gamma \quad x_1 : \square, x_2 : \square, \dots, x_n : \square \quad \text{shape}$$

$|y|$  positions

$$0 : \square, 1 : \square, \dots, n : \square$$

Signature: describe symbols of  $\Pi T$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi: (\text{ty}, [(\text{ty}, 0), (\text{ty}, 1)]) \\ \lambda: (\text{tm}, [(\text{ty}, 0), (\text{ty}, 1), (\text{tm}, 1)]) \\ \text{app}: (\text{tm}, [(\text{ty}, 0), (\text{ty}, 1), (\text{tm}, 0), (\text{tm}, 0)]) \end{array} \right.$$

Syntactic classes  
 $\text{ty}, \text{tm}$   
 $+ : 2$   
 $1 : 0$

$S \mapsto (c_S^{\{ \text{tm}, \text{ty} \}}, \alpha_S)$

$$\frac{+ \text{ A type} \quad \begin{array}{c} A \times A \\ x: A + B(x) \text{ type} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{List}(A) \\ y: A + e(y) : B(y) \end{array}}{+ \lambda A \underbrace{(f_x B(x))}_{e_1} (f_y e(y)) : \Pi(A, \{x\} B(x))} \lambda A B e_1 e_2$$

$$\Pi \mapsto (\text{ty}, [(\text{ty}, 0), (\text{ty}, 1)]), \quad \lambda \mapsto \mathbb{N} \downarrow \{x\}. \text{Vec}(x+3) \downarrow \{y\}. \text{Zero}(y+7)$$

$$\lambda \mapsto (\text{tm}, [(\text{ty}, 0), (\text{ty}, 1), (\text{tm}, 1)]),$$

$$\text{app} \mapsto (\text{tm}, [(\text{ty}, 0), (\text{ty}, 1), (\text{tm}, 0), (\text{tm}, 0)])$$

**Definition 3.5.** The *raw syntax* over  $\Sigma$  consists of the collections of *raw type expressions*  $\text{Expr}_\Sigma^{\text{ty}}(\gamma)$  and *raw term expressions*  $\text{Expr}_\Sigma^{\text{tm}}(\gamma)$ , which are generated inductively for any shape  $\gamma$  as follows:

- (1) for every position  $i \in |\gamma|$ , there is a *variable* expression  $\text{var}_i \in \text{Expr}_\Sigma^{\text{tm}}(\gamma)$ ;
- (2) for every symbol  $S \in \Sigma$  of syntactic class  $c_S$ , and a map

$$e \in \prod_{i \in \arg S} \text{Expr}_\Sigma^{c_S i}(\gamma \oplus \text{bind}_S i),$$

there is an expression  $S(e) \in \text{Expr}_\Sigma^{c_S}(\gamma)$ , the *application* of  $S$  to arguments  $e$ .

## Raw type theories

T (raw type theory) : over a signature  $\Sigma$   
family of rules

What is a rule?

$$\frac{\text{premises} \quad \vdash A \text{ type} \quad x:A \vdash B(x) \text{ type}}{\vdash \Pi(A, B) \text{ type}} \rightarrow \text{conclusion}$$

R :

- info about meta-variables
- a family of premises (judgements)
- conclusion (judgement)

(5) 
$$\frac{\vdash A \text{ type} \quad [0: A] \vdash B(\text{var}_0) \text{ type} \quad \vdash f : \Pi(A, B(\text{var}_0)) \quad \vdash a : A \quad \vdash a : \Pi(A, B(\text{var}_0))}{\vdash \text{app}(A, B(\text{var}_0), f, a) : B(a)}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 A \mapsto (\text{ty}, []) & \sum + \alpha_R \\
 B \mapsto (\text{ty}, [\text{tm}, 0]) & \uparrow \\
 f \mapsto (\text{tm}, []) & \text{meta-variables as} \\
 a \mapsto (\text{tm}, []) & \text{symbols "local to the rule"} \\
 \end{array}$$

$$(2) \quad \frac{\vdash A \text{ type} \quad [0: A] \vdash B(\text{var}_0) \text{ type} \quad \vdash f : \Pi(A, B(\text{var}_0)) \quad \vdash a : A}{\vdash \text{app}(A, B(\text{var}_0), f, a) : B(a)}$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{\vdash A \text{ type} \quad [0: A] \vdash B(\text{var}_0) \text{ type} \quad \vdash f : A \quad \vdash a : A}{\vdash \text{app}(A, B(\text{var}_0), f, a) : B(a)}$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{\vdash A \text{ type} \quad [0: A] \vdash B(\text{var}_0) \text{ type} \quad \vdash f : \Pi(A, B(\text{var}_0)) \quad \vdash a : \Pi(A, B(\text{var}_0))}{\vdash \text{app}(A, B(\text{var}_0), f, a) : B(a)}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash A \text{ type} \\ \Gamma \vdash B \text{ type} \\ \Gamma \vdash t : A \\ \Gamma \vdash t : B \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B$$

Uniqueness of typing

$$\frac{}{\vdash u : \underline{El(u)}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash a : El(u)}{\vdash El(a) \text{ type}}$$

$$u \quad El$$

$u$  = the code of the universe  
 $El$  decodes codes to types

$$\frac{}{\vdash A \text{ type}}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \text{List}(A) \text{ type}}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash u_i : El(u_{i+1})}$$

$$\frac{\vdash a : El(u_i)}{\vdash El(a) \text{ type}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash A \equiv B}{\vdash \text{List } A \equiv \text{List } B}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdash A_1 \text{ type} \quad \vdash A_2 \text{ type} \quad x_1 : A_1 \vdash B_1(x_1) \text{ type} \quad x_2 : A_2 \vdash B_2(x_2) \text{ type} \\ \vdash A_1 \equiv A_2 \quad \quad \quad x_1 : A_1 \vdash B_1(x_1) \equiv B_2(x_1) \end{array}}{\vdash \prod(x_1 : A_1). B_1(x_1) \equiv \prod(x_2 : A_2). B_2(x_2)} \} \text{ Congruence rule}$$

$$\frac{\overbrace{\Gamma \vdash t : A}^D \rightsquigarrow \overbrace{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}}^{D'}}{\Gamma \vdash t : A}$$

### ### Substitution elimination

\*\*Theorem:\*\* Suppose  $\mathcal{T}$  is a congruous type theory. If  $\mathcal{T}$  derives a judgement  $\Gamma \vdash J$ , then it also derives  $J$  without any applications of the substitution rules.

### ### Derivability of presuppositions

\*\*Theorem:\*\* Suppose  $\mathcal{T}$  is presuppositional. If  $\mathcal{T}$  derives a judgement  $\Gamma \vdash J$ , then it also derives its presuppositions.

### ### Uniqueness of typing

\*\*Theorem:\*\* Suppose  $\mathcal{T}$  is tight. If  $\mathcal{T}$  derives  $\Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash B \text{ type}$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ , and  $\Gamma \vdash t : B$ , then it also derives  $\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B$ .

Inversion principles.

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\vdash \Pi A B \text{ type}} \rightsquigarrow \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}'}{\vdash A \text{ type}} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}''}{\vdash B \text{ type}}}{\vdash \Pi A B \text{ type}} \Pi\text{-intro}$$