Does the Delivery Matter? Examining Randomization at the Item Level

15

16

Abstract

Scales that are psychometrically sound, meaning those that meet established standards

4 regarding reliability and validity when measuring one or more constructs of interest, are

customarily evaluated based on a set modality (i.e., computer or paper) and administration

6 (fixed-item order). Deviating from an established administration profile could result in

7 non-equivalent response patterns, indicating the possible evaluation of a dissimilar construct.

Randomizing item administration may alter or eliminate these effects. Therefore, we

examined the differences in scale relationships for randomized and nonrandomized computer

delivery for two scales measuring meaning/purpose in life. These scales have questions about

suicidality, depression, and life goals that may cause item reactivity (i.e. a changed response

to a second item based on the answer to the first item). Results indicated that item

13 randomization does not alter scale psychometrics for meaning in life scales, which implies

that results are comparable even if researchers implement different delivery modalities.

Keywords: scales, randomization, item analysis

Does the Delivery Matter? Examining Randomization at the Item Level

The use of the Internet has been integrated into daily life as a means of accessing 18 information, interacting with others, and tending to required tasks. The International 19 Telecommunication Union reports that over half the world is online, and 70% of 15-24 year olds are on the internet (Sanou, 2017). Further, the Nielson Total Audience report from 2016 21 indicates that Americans spend nearly 11 hours a day in media consumption (Media, 2016). 22 Researchers discovered that online data collection can be advantageous over laboratory and 23 paper data collection, as it is often cheaper and more efficient (Ilieva, Baron, & Healy, 2002; Reips, 2012; Schuldt & Totten, 1994). Internet questionnaires first appeared in the early 90s when HTML scripting code integrated form elements, and the first experiments appeared soon after (Musch & Reips, 2000; Reips, 2002). The first experimental lab on the internet was the Web Experimental Psychology Lab formed by Reips (http://www.wexlab.eu), and the use of the Internet to collect data has since grown rapidly (Reips, 2002). What started with email and HTML forms has since moved to whole communities of available participants including websites like Amazon's Mechanical Turk and Qualtrics' Participant Panels. Participants of all types and forms are easily accessible for somewhat little to no cost. Our ability to collect data on the Internet has inevitably lead to the question of 33 equivalence between in person and online data collection methods (Buchanan et al., 2005; Meyerson & Tryon, 2003). We will use the term equivalence as a global term for 35 measurement of the same underlying construct between groups, forms, or testing procedures given no other manipulations. A related concept is measurement invariance, which focuses 37 on the statistical and psychometric structure of measurement (Brown, 2006; Meredith, 1993). Multigroup confirmatory factor analysis (MGCFA) and multiple-indicators-multiple causes (MIMIC) structural models are often used to explore invariance in groups (Brown, 2006; Steenkamp & Baumgartner, 1998). The general approach through MGCFA explores if the latent structure of the proposed model is similar across groups (equal form or configural invariance), followed by more stringent tests indicating equal factor loadings (metric

invariance), equal item intercepts (scalar invariance), and potentially, equal error variances (strict invariance). These steps can be used to determine where and how groups differ when providing responses to questionnaires and to propose changes to interpretations of test scores [for an example, see TrentBuchanan2014]. Measurement invariance implies equivalence between examined groups, while overall equivalence studies may not imply the psychometric concept of invariance.

Research has primarily focused on simple equivalence, with more uptick in research 50 that specifically focuses on measurement invariance with the advent of programs that make 51 such procedures easier. When focusing on equivalence, Deutskens, Ruyter, and Wetzels 52 (2006) found that mail surveys and online surveys produce nearly identical results regarding the accuracy of the data collected online versus by mail. Only minor differences arise between online surveys and mail in surveys when it comes to participant honesty and suggestions. For example, participants who responded to surveys online provided more suggestions, lengthier answers, and greater information about competitors in the field that 57 they may prefer (Deutskens et al., 2006). The hypothesis as to why individuals may be more honest online than in person is that the individual may feel more anonymity and less social desirability effects due to the nature of the online world, therefore less concerned about responding in a socially polite way (Joinson, 1999). A trend found by Fang, Wen, and Pavur (2012a) shows individuals are more likely to respond to surveys online with extreme scores, rather than mid-range responses on Likert scales due to the lessened social desirability factor. There may be slight cultural differences in responses online. For example, collectivistic cultures showed greater tendency toward mid-range responses on Likert scales via in-person and online due to placing greater value on how they are socially perceived; however, the trend is still the same as scores are more extreme online versus in person or by mail (Fang, 67 Wen, & Prybutok, 2012b).

Although work by Dillman and his group (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2008; Frick,
Bächtiger, & Reips, 2001; Smyth, 2006), among others, has shown that many web surveys

are plagued by problems of usability, display, coverage, sampling, non-response, or technology, other studies have found internet data to be reliable and almost preferable as it produces a varied demographic response compared to the traditional sample of introduction 73 to psychology college students while also maintaining equivalence (Lewis, Watson, & White, 2009). However, equivalence in factor structure may be problematic, as Buchanan et al. 75 (2005) have shown that factor structure was not replicable in online and in person surveys. Other work has shown equivalence using a comparison of correlation matrices (Meverson & 77 Tryon, 2003) or t-tests (Schulenberg & Yutrzenka, 1999, 2001), and the literature is mixed on how different methodologies impact factor structure. Weigold, Weigold, and Russell 79 (2013) recently examined both quantitative and research design questions (i.e., missing data) on Internet and paper-and-pencil administration which showed that the administrations were 81 generally equivalent for quantitative structure but research design issues showed non-equivalence. Other potential limitations to online surveys include the accessibility of different populations to the Internet (Frick et al., 2001), selection bias (Bethlehem, 2010), response rates (Cantrell & Lupinacci, 2007; Cook, Heath, & Thompson, 2000; De Leeuw & Hox, 1988; Hox & De Leeuw, 1994), attrition (Cronk & West, 2002), and distraction (Tourangeau, Rips, & Rasinski, 1999). Many of these concerns have been alleviated in the years since online surveys were first developed, especially with the advent of panels and Mechanical Turk to reach a large, diverse population of participants (Buhrmester, Kwang, & Gosling, 2011). 90

With the development of advanced online survey platforms such as Qualtrics and
Survey Monkey, researchers have the potential to control potentially confounding research
design issues through randomization, although other issues may still be present, such as
participant misbehavior (Nosek, Banaji, & Greenwald, 2002). Randomization has been a
hallmark of good research practice, as the order or presentation of stimuli can be a noise
variable in a study with multiple measures (Keppel & Wickens, 2004). Thus, researchers
have often randomized scales by rotating the order of presentation in paper format or simply

clicking the randomization button for web-based studies. This practice has counterbalanced out any order effects of going from one scale to the next (Keppel & Wickens, 2004). gg However, while scale structure has remained constant, these items are still stimuli within a 100 larger construct. Therefore, these construct-related items have the ability to influence the 101 items that appear later on the survey, which we call item reactivity. For example, a question 102 about being prepared for death or thoughts about suicide might change the responses to 103 further questions, especially if previous questions did not alert participants to be prepare for 104 that subject matter. Scale development typically starts with an underlying latent variable 105 that a researcher wishes to examine through measured items or questions (DeVellis, 2016). 106 Question design is a well-studied area that indicates that measurement is best achieved 107 through questions that are direct, positively worded, and understandable to the subject 108 (Dillman et al., 2008). Olson (2010) suggests researchers design a multitude of items in order 109 to investigate and invite subject matter experts to examine these questions. Subject matter 110 experts were found to be variable in their agreement, but excellent at identifying potentially 111 problematic questions. After suggested edits from these experts, a large sample of 112 participant data is collected. While item response theory is gaining traction, classical test 113 theory has dominated this area through the use of exploratory and confirmatory factor 114 analysis (EFA, CFA; Worthington & Whittaker, 2006). EFA elucidates several facets of how 115 the measured items represent the latent trait through factor loadings and overall model fit 116 (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2012). Factor structure represents the correlation between item scores 117 and factors, where a researcher wishes to find items that are strongly related to latent traits. 118 Items that are not related to the latent trait, usually with factor loadings below .300 110 (Preacher & MacCallum, 2003) are discarded. Model fit is examined when simple structure 120 has been achieved (i.e. appropriate factor loadings for each item), and these fit indices inform 121 if the items and factor structure model fit the data well. Well-designed scales include items 122 that are highly related to their latent trait and have excellent fit indices. Scale development 123 additionally includes the examination of other measures of reliability (alpha) and construct 124

validity (relation to other phenomena) but the focus of the scale shifts to subscale or total
scores (Buchanan, Valentine, & Schulenberg, 2014). Published scales are then distributed for
use in the form that is presented in the publication, as item order is often emphasized
through important notes about reverse scoring and creating subscale scores.

The question is no longer whether web-based surveys are reliable sources of data 129 collection; the theory now is in need of a shift to whether or not item-randomization in 130 survey data collection creates psychometric differences. These scale development procedures 131 focus on items, and EFA/CFA statistically try to mimic variance-covariance structure by 132 creating models of the data with the same variance-covariance matrix. If we imagine that 133 stimuli in a classic experimental design can influence the outcome of a study because of their 134 order, then certainly the stimuli on a scale (i.e., the items) can influence the pattern of 135 responses for items. Measuring an attitude or phenomena invokes a reaction in the 136 participant (Knowles et al., 1992). Often, this reaction or reactivity is treated as error in 137 measurement, rather than a variable to be considered in the experiment (Webb, Campbell, 138 Schwartz, & Sechrest, 1966). Potentially, reaction to items on a survey could integrate 139 self-presentation or social desirability (Webb et al., 1966) but cognitive factors also 140 contribute to the participant response. Rogers (1974) and Tourangeau and Rasinski (1988) suggested a four part integration process that occurs when responses are formulated to questions. First, the participant must interpret the item. The interpretation process usually 143 allows for one construal, and other interpretations may be ignored (Lord, Lepper, & Preston, 1984). Based on this process, information from memory about the item must be pulled from 145 memory. The avaliability heuristic will bias information found for the next stage, the 146 judgment process, especially given the mood of the participant (MacLeod & Campbell, 1992; 147 Tversky & Kahneman, 1973). These memories and information, by being recalled as part of 148 answering an item, are often strengthened for future judgments or recall (Posner, 1978, 149 Bargh and Pratto (1986)). 150

The judgment process has important consequences for the answers provided on a

questionnaire. Judgments often polarized because of the cognitive processes used to provide 152 that answer (Tesser, 1978). The participant may become more committed to the answer 153 provided (Feldman et al., 1988), and future judgments are "achored" against this initial 154 judgment (Higgins & Lurie, 1983; Strack, Schwarz, & Gschneidinger, 1985). Finally, future 155 memory searches will be confirmatory for the judgment decision (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986). 156 The response selection is the final stage of the Rogers (1974) and Tourangeau and Rasinski 157 (1988) models. This model provides an excellent framework through which to view the 158 consquences of merely being asked a question. In this study, the focus is on the final stage of 159 response selection, as it is the recordable output of these cognitive processes. Knowles et al. 160 (1992) discuss that the item order may create a context effect for each subsequent question, 161 wherein participants are likely to confuse the content of an item with the context of the 162 previous questions. Their meaning-change hypothesis posits that each following item will be influenced by the previous set of items and does have important consquences for the factor loadings and reliability of the scale. Indeed, Salancik and Brand (1992) indicates that item order creates a specific context that integrates with background knowledge during the 166 answering process, which can create ambiguity in measurement of the interested 167 phenomenon. Pantercontext discuss these effects from classic studies of item ordering, 168 wherein agreement to a specific item first reduces agreement to a more general item second 169 (Strack & Martin, 1987). 170

Given this previous research on item orderings, this study focuses on potential
differences in results based on item randomization delivery methodology. This work is
especially timely given the relative easy with which randomization can be induced with
survey software. The current project examined large samples on two logotherapy-related
scales, as these scales include potentially reactive items (e.g., death and suicide items
embedded in positive psychology questions), as well as both a dichotomous True/False and
traditional 1-7 format for the same items. Large samples were desirable to converge on a
stable, representative population; however, false positives (i.e., Type I errors) can occur by

using large N. Recent developments in the literature focusing on null hypothesis testing make 179 it especially important to present potential alternatives to p-values (Valentine, Buchanan, 180 Scofield, & Beauchamp, 2017). While a large set of researchers have argued that the 181 literature is full of Type I errors (Benjamin et al., 2018), and thus, the α value should be 182 shifted lower (i.e., p < .005 for statistical significance), an equally large set of researchers 183 counter this argument as unfounded and weak (Lakens et al., n.d.). We provide multiple 184 sources of evidence (p-values, effect sizes, Bayes Factors, and tests of equivalence) to 185 determine if differences found are not only statistically significant, but also practically 186 significant. In our study, we expand to item randomization for online based surveys, 187 examining the impact on factor loadings, correlation structure, item means, and total scores 188 again providing evidence of difference/non-difference from multiple statistical sources. 189 Finally, we examine these scenarios with a unique set of scales that have both dichotomous True/False and traditional 107 formats to explore how the answer response options might 191 impact any differences found between randomized and nonrandomized methodologies.

193 Method

194 Participants

The sample population consisted of undergraduate students at a large Midwestern
University, placing the approximate age of participants at around 18-22. Table 1 includes the
demographic information about all datasets. Only two scales were used from each dataset, as
described below. Participants were generally enrolled in an introductory psychology course
that served as a general education requirement for the university. As part of the curriculum,
the students were encouraged to participate in psychology research programs, resulting in
their involvement in this study. These participants were given course credit for their
participation.

Materials

229

Of the surveys included within each larger study, two questionnaires were utilized: the 204 Purpose in Life Questionnaire (PIL; Crumbaugh & Maholick, 1964) and the Life Purpose 205 Questionnaire (LPQ; Hutzell, 1988). 206

The Purpose in Life Questionnaire. The PIL is a 20-item questionnaire that 207 assesses perceived meaning and life purpose. Items are structured in a 7-point type response 208 format; however, each item has different anchoring points that focus on item content. No 200 items are reverse scored, however, items are presented such that the 7 point end would be 210 equally presented on the left and right when answering. Therefore, these items would need 211 to be reverse coded if computer software automatically codes each item from 1 to 7 in a left 212 to right format. Total scores are created by summing the items, resulting in a range of 20 to 213 140 for the overall score. The reliability for the scale is generally high, ranging from .70 to 214 .90 (Schulenberg, 2004; Schulenberg & Melton, 2010). Previous work on validity for the PIL 215 showed viable one- and two-factor models, albeit factor loadings varied across publications 216 (see Schulenberg & Melton, 2010 for a summary), and these fluctuating results lead to the 217 development of a 4-item PIL short form (Schulenberg, Schnetzer, & Buchanan, 2011). 218

Life Purpose Questionnaire. The LPQ was modeled after the full 20-item PIL 219 questionnaire, also measuring perceived meaning and purpose in life. The items are 220 structured in a true/false response format, in contrast to the Likert response format found 221 on the PIL. Each question is matched to the PIL with the same item content, altering the 222 question to create binary answer format. After reverse coding, zero on an item would indicate 223 low meaning, while one on an item would indicate high meaning. A total score is created by 224 summing item scores, resulting in a range from 0 to 20. In both scales, higher scores 225 indicated greater perceived meaning in life. Reliability for this scale is also correspondingly 226 high, usually in the .80 range (Melton & Schulenberg, 2008; Schulenberg, 2004). 227

These two scales were selected because they contained the same item content with 228 differing response formats, which would allow for cross comparisons between results for each 230 scale.

231 Procedure

The form of administration was of interest to this study, and therefore, two formats 232 were included: computerized administration in nonrandom order and computerized 233 administration with a randomized question order. Computerized questionnaires were 234 available for participants to access electronically, and they were allowed to complete the 235 experiment from anywhere with the Internet through Qualtrics. To ensure participants were 236 properly informed, both an introduction and a debriefing were included within the online 237 form. Participants were randomly assigned to complete a nonrandomized or randomized 238 version of the survey. Nonrandomized questionnaires followed the original scale question 239 order, consistent with paper delivery format. A different group of participants were given 240 each question in a randomized order within each scale (i.e. all PIL and LPQ questions will 241 still grouped together on one page). The order of administration of the two scales was randomized across participants for both groups. Once collected, the results were then amalgamated into a database for statistical analysis.

Results

46 Hypotheses and Data-Analytic Plan

Computer forms were analyzed by randomized and nonrandomized groups to examine
the impact of randomization on equivalence through correlation matrices, factor loadings,
item means, and total scores. We expected to find that these forms may potentially vary
across correlation structure and item means, which would indicate differences in reactivity
and item context to questions (i.e., item four always has item three as a precursor on a
nonrandom form, while item four may have a different set of answers when prefaced with
other questions; Knowles et al., 1992). Factor loadings were assessed to determine if
differences in randomization caused a change in focus, such that participant interpretation of

the item changed the relationship to the latent variable (Buchanan et al., 2005). However,
we did not predict if values would change, as latent trait measurement should be consistent.

Last, we examined total scores; however, it was unclear if these values would change. A

difference in item means may result in changes in total scores, but may also result in no

change if some item means decrease, while others increase.

Each hypothesis was therefore tested using four dependent measures. First, we examined the correlation matrix for each type of delivery and compared the matrices to each other by using the *cortest.mat* function in the *psych* package (Revelle, 2017). This test provides a χ^2 value that represents the difference between a pair of correlation matrices. If this value was significant, we followed up by exploring the differences between correlations individually using Fisher's r to z transformation. Each pair of correlations (i.e., random r_{12} versus nonrandom r_{12}) was treated as an independent correlation and the difference between them was calculated by:

$$Z_{difference} = \frac{(Z_1 \ \widetilde{} Z_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N_1 \ \widetilde{} 3} + \frac{1}{N_2 \ \widetilde{} 3}}}$$

Critical $Z_{difference}$ was considered +/- 1.96 for this analysis, and all values are provided online on our OSF page.

We then conducted an exploratory factor analysis on both scales using one-factor 270 models to examine the loading of each item on its latent trait. The PIL factor structure is 271 contested (Strack & Schulenberg, 2009) with many suggestions as to latent structure for one-272 and two-factor models. The LPQ has seen less research on factor structure (Schulenberg, 273 2004). This paper focused on loadings on one global latent trait to determine if the manipulation of delivery impacted factor loadings. We used a one-factor model and included all questions to focus on the loadings, rather than the factor structure. The analysis was 276 performed using the psych package in R with maximum likelihood estimation and an oblique 277 (oblimin) rotation. The LPQ factor analysis used tetrachoric correlation structure to control 278 for the dichotomous format of the scale, rather than traditional Pearson correlation structure. The loadings were then compared using a matched dependent *t*-test (i.e. item one to item one, item two to item two) to examine differences between nonrandomized and randomized computer samples.

Next, item averages were calculated across all participants for each item. These 20 283 items were then compared in a matched dependent t-test to determine if delivery changed 284 the mean of the item on the PIL or LPQ. While correlation structure elucidates the varying 285 relations between items, we may still find that item averages are pushed one direction or another by a change in delivery and still maintain the same correlation between items. If this 287 test was significant, we examined the individual items across participants for large effect 288 sizes, as the large sample sizes in this study would create significant t-test follow ups. 289 Last, the total scores for each participant were compared across delivery type using an 290 independent t-test. Item analyses allow a focus on specific items that may show changes, 291 while total scores allow us to investigate if changes in delivery alter the overall score that is 292 used in other analyses or possible clinical implications. For analyses involving t-tests, we 293 provide multiple measures of evidentiary value so that researchers can weigh the effects of randomization on their own criterion. Recent research on α criteria has shown wide disagreement on the usefulness of p-values and set cut-off scores (Benjamin et al., 2018; Lakens et al., n.d.). Therefore, we sought to provide traditional null hypothesis testing results (t-tests, p-values) and supplement these values with effect sizes (Buchanan, Valentine, 298 & Scofield, 2017; d and non-central confidence intervals, Cumming, 2014; Smithson, 2001), 299 Bayes Factors (Kass & Raftery, 1995; Morey & Rouder, 2015), and one-sided tests of 300 equivalence (TOST, Cribbie, Gruman, & Arpin-Cribbie, 2004; Lakens, 2017; Rogers, Howard, 301 & Vessey, 1993; Schuirmann, 1987). 302 303

For dependent t tests, we used the average standard deviation of each group as the denominator for d calculation as follows (Cumming, 2012):

$$d_{av} = \frac{(M_1 - M_2)}{\frac{SD_1 + SD_2}{2}}$$

This effect size for repeated measures was instead of the traditional d_z formula, wherein mean 305 differences are divided by the standard deviation of the difference scores (Lakens, 2013). The 306 difference scores standard deviation is often much smaller than the average of the standard 307 deviations of each level, which can create an upwardly biased effect size (Cumming, 2014). 308 This bias can lead researchers to interpret larger effects for a psychological phenomenon than 300 actually exist. Lakens (2013) recommends using d_{av} over d_z because d_z can overestimate the 310 effect size [see also, DUNLAP1996] and d_{av} can be more comparable to between subjects 311 designs d values. For independent t tests, we used the d_s formula (Cohen, 1988): 312

$$d_s = \frac{(M_1 - M_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{(N_1 - 1)SD_1 + (N_2 - 1)SD_2}{N_1 + N_2 - 2}}}$$

The normal frequentist approach (NHST) focuses largely on significance derived from 313 p-values while Bayesian approaches allow for the calculation of Bayes Factors that provide 314 estimates of the support for one model as compared to another (Dienes, 2014; Wagenmakers, 315 2007). NHST methods traditionally involve two competing hypotheses: a null or nil 316 hypothsis of no change between groups (Cohen, 1994) and an alternative or research 317 hypothesis of change between groups, as a mish-mash of Fisherian and Neyman-Pearson 318 methods. However, one limitation to this approach is the inability to support the null 319 hypothesis (Gallistel, 2009). Within a Bayesian framework, one focuses on the uncertainty or 320 probability of phenomena, including the likelihood of no differences between groups (Lee & 321 Wagenmakers, 2012). Again, we can create two models: one of the null where both groups 322 arise from the distribution with given parameters and one of the alternative where each group 323 arises from different distributions with their own unique parameters. For both these models, before seeing the data, the researcher decides what they believe the distributions of these 325 parameters look like a priori creating prior distributions. When data is collected, it is used 326 to inform and update these prior distributions creating posterior distributions. Because the 327 Bayesian framework focuses on updating previous beliefs with the data collected to form new 328 beliefs, any number of hypotheses may be tested (for a humorous example, see Wagenmakers, 329

Morey, & Lee, 2016). A Bayesian version of significance testing may be calculated by using model comparison through Bayes Factors (Etz & Wagenmakers, 2015; Kass & Raftery, 1995; Rouder, Speckman, Sun, Morey, & Iverson, 2009). Bayes Factors are calculated as a ratio of the marginal likelihood of the two models. Bayes Factors provide a numeric value for how likely one model is over another model, much like likelihood or odds ratios.

Here, Bayes Factors are calculated as the likelihood of the observed data under the 335 alternative hypothesis divided by the likelihood of the data with the null hypothesis. The 336 resulting ratio can therefore give evidence to the support of one model as compared to 337 another, where BF values less than one indicate support for the null model, values near one 338 indicate both models are equally supported, and values larger than one indicate support for 339 the alternative model. While some researchers have proposed conventions for BF values to 340 discuss the strength of the evidence (Kass & Raftery, 1995), we will present these values as a 341 continuum to allow researchers to make their own decisions (Morey, 2015; Morey & Rouder, 342 2015). Using this Bayesian approach we are then able to show support for or against the null model, in contrast to NHST where we can only show support against the null (Gallistel, 2009).

Specifically, we used the BayesFactor package (Morey & Rouder, 2015) with the 346 recommended default priors that cover a wide range of data (Ly & Verhagen, 2016; Morey & Rouder, 2015; Rouder et al., 2009) of a Jeffreys prior with a fixed rscale (0.5) and random rscale (1.0). The choice of prior distribution can heavily influence the posterior belief, in that uninformative priors allow the data to comprise the posterior distribution. However, most 350 researchers have a background understanding of their field, thus, making completely 351 uninformative priors a tenuous assumption. Because of the dearth of literature in this field, 352 there is not enough previous information to create a strong prior distribution, which would 353 suppress the effect of the data on posterior belief. Therefore, we used the default options in 354 BayesFactor to model this belief. 355

Using Bayes Factors, we may be able to show evidence of the absence of an effect.

Often, non-significant p-values from a NHST analysis are misinterpreted as evidence for the 357 null hypothesis (Lakens, 2017). However, we can use the traditional frequentist approach to 358 determine if an effect is within a set of equivalence bounds. We used the two one-sided tests 359 approach to specify a range of raw-score equivalence that would be considered supportive of 360 the null hypothesis (i.e. no worthwhile effects or differences). TOST are then used to 361 determine if the values found are outside of the equivalence range. Significant TOST values 362 indicate that the effects are within the range of equivalence. We used the TOSTER package 363 (Lakens, 2017) to calculate these values, and graphics created from this package can be found online at https://osf.io/gvx7s/. This manuscript was written in R markdown with the 365 papaja package (Aust & Barth, 2017), and this document, the data, and all scripts used to 366 calculate our statistics are avaliable on the OSF page. 367

The equivalence ranges are often tested by computing an expected effect size of 368 negligible range; however, the TOST for dependent t uses d_z , which can overestimate the 369 effect size of a phenomena (Cumming, 2014; Lakens, 2013). Therefore, we calculated TOST 370 tests on raw score differences to alleviate the overestimation issues. For EFA, we used a 371 change score of .10 in the loadings, as Comrey and Lee (1992) suggested loading estimation 372 ranges, such as .32 (poor) to .45 (fair) to .55 (good), and the differences in these ranges are 373 approximately .10 (as cited in Tabachnick & Fidell, 2012, p. 654). Additionally, this score 374 would amount to a small correlation change using traditional guidelines for interpretation of 375 r (Cohen, 1992). For item and total score differences, we chose a 5% change in magnitude as 376 the raw score cut off as a modest raw score change. To calculate that change for total scores, 377 we used the following formula: 378

$$(Max * N_{Questions} - Min * N_{Questions}) * Change$$

Minimum and maximum values indicate the lower and upper end of the answer choices (i.e. 1 and 7), and change represented the proportion magnitude change expected. Therefore, for total PIL scores, we proposed a change in 6 points to be significant, while LPQ scores would

change 1 point to be a significant change. For item analyses, we divided the total score change by the number of items to determine how much each item should change to impact the total score a significant amount (PIL = 0.30, LPQ = .05).

As discussed in the introduction, another approach to measuring equivalence would be 385 through a MGCFA framework, analyzing measurement invariance. Those analyses were 386 calculated as a supplement to the analyses described above and a summary is provided 387 online. The original goal of this project was to calculate potential reactivity to item order 388 through analyses that would be accessible to most researchers using questionnaires in their 389 research. MGCFA requires not only specialized knowledge, but also specific software and the 390 associated learning curve. We used R in our analyses, however, all analyses presented can be 391 recreated with free software. The writers of BayesFactor have published online calculators for 392 their work at http://pcl.missouri.edu/bayesfactor, and BF values are also avaliable in JASP 393 (JASP Team, 2018). The TOST analyses may be calculated using an Excel spreedsheet 394 avaliable from the author at https://osf.io/qzjaj/ or as an add-in module in the program 395 jamovi (project, 2018). Both JASP and jamovi are user friendly programs that researchers 396 familiar with point and click software like Excel or SPSS will be able to use with ease. 397

398 Data Screening

Each dataset was analyzed separately by splitting on scale and randomization, and
first, all data were screened for accuracy and missing data. Participants with more than 5%
missing data (i.e., 2 or more items) were excluded. Data were imputed using the *mice*package in R for participants with less than 5% of missing data (Van Buuren &
Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011). Next, each dataset was examined for multivariate outliers
using Mahalanobis distance (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2012). Each dataset was then screened for
multivariate assumptions of additivity, linearity, normality, homogeneity, and
homoscedasticity. While some data skew was present, large sample sizes allowed for the
assumption of normality of the sampling distribution. Information about the number of

excluded data points in each step is presented in Table 1.

409 PIL Analyses

Correlation Matrices. The correlation matrices for the randomized and 410 nonrandomized versions of the PIL were found to be significantly different, $\chi^2(380) = 784.84$, 411 p < .001. The Z score differences were examined, and 32 correlations were different across 412 the possible 190 tests. A summary of differences can be found in Table 2. For each item, the total number of differences was calculated, as shown in column two, and those specific items 414 are listed in column three. Next, we summarized if the item had effects more on the items 415 that would come before or after the item in a nonrandom format, as Knowles et al. (1992) 416 has suggested that the items after a question should experience context effects. We 417 additionally added information on if an item directly effected the next item (i.e., one and 418 two are different), as strong reactions might have a more immediate impact. The last two 419 columns summarize the directions of these effects. Positive Z-scores indicated stronger 420 correlations between nonrandomized items, while negative Z-scores indicated stronger 421 correlations for randomized items (summarized in the last column). Two items had strong 422 context effects (i.e., impacted many items), item 2 exciting life and item 15 prepared for 423 death. Interestingly, the impact is the reverse for these two items, as item 2 showed stronger 424 relationships to items when randomized, while item 15 showed stronger relationships to 425 items when nonrandomized. 426

Factor Loadings. Table 3 includes the factor loadings from the one-factor EFA analysis. These loadings were compared using a dependent t-test matched on item, and they were not significantly different, $M_d = 0.00$, 95% CI [-0.02, 0.03], t(19) = 0.25, p = .802. The effect size for this test was correspondingly negligible, $d_{av} = -0.02$ 95% CI [-0.45, 0.42]. The TOST test was significant for both the lower, t(19) = 0.19, p < .001 and the upper bound, t(19) = -0.70, t(19) = -

434 indicated support for the null model.

Item Means. Table 3 includes the means and standard deviation of each item from 435 the PIL scale. The item means were compared using a dependent t-test matched on item. 436 Item means were significantly different $M_d = -0.07, 95\%$ CI [-0.13, -0.02], t(19) = -2.91,437 p=.009. The effect size for this difference was small, $d_{av}=-0.16$ 95% CI [-0.60, 0.29]. Even 438 though the t-test was significant, the TOST test indicated that the difference was within the 430 range of a 5% percent change in item means (0.30). The TOST test for lower bound, t(19) =-1.57, p < .001 and the upper bound, t(19) = -4.26, p < .001, suggested that the significant 441 t-test may be not be interpreted as a meaningful change on the item means. The BF value for this test indicated 6.86 < 0.01\%, which is often considered weak evidence for the 443 alternative model. Here, we find mixed results, indicating that randomization may change item means for the PIL. 445 Total scores were created by summing the items for each participant Total Scores. across all twenty PIL questions. The mean total score for nonrandomized testing was M =103.01 (SD = 18.29) with excellent reliability ($\alpha = 0.93$), while the mean for randomizing testing was M = 104.48 (SD = 17.81) with excellent reliability ($\alpha = 0.92$). This difference was examined with an independent t-test and was not significant, t(1,896) = -1.76, 450

p = .079. The effect size for this difference was negligible, $d_{av} = -0.08~95\%$ CI [-0.17, 0.29].

We tested if scores were changed by 5% (6.00 points), and the TOST test indicated that the

lower, t(1897) = 5.43, p < .001 and the upper bound, t(1897) = -8.95, p < .001 were within

this area of null change. The BF results also supported the null model, 0.25.

455 LPQ Analyses

Correlation Matrices. Mirroring the results for the PIL, the correlation matrices for the randomized and nonrandomized versions of the LPQ were significantly different, $\chi^2(380) = 681.72, p < .001$. Less differences in correlation were found, only 19 out of the possible 190 combinations. The differences are summarized in Table 2. Most of the items

affected one to four other items with item 13 *reliable person* showing the largest number of differences in correlation. All these changes were positive, meaning the correlations were larger for nonrandomized versions.

Factor Loadings. Table 4 includes the factor loadings from the one-factor EFA 463 analysis using tetrachoric correlations. The loadings from randomized and nonrandomized 464 versions were compared using a dependent t-test matched on item, which indicated they 465 were not significantly different, $M_d = 0.01$, 95% CI [-0.02, 0.04], t(19) = 0.97, p = .344. The 466 difference found for this test was negligible, $d_{av} = -0.07 95\%$ CI [-0.50, 0.37]. The TOST test 467 examined if any change was within .10 change, as described earlier. The lower, t(19) = -0.52. 468 p < .001 and the upper bound, t(19) = -1.42, p < .001 were both significant, indicating that 469 the change was within the expected change. Further, in support of the null model, the BF 470 was 0.34. 471

Item Means. Means and standard deviations of each item are presented in Table 4. We again matched items and tested if there was a significant change using a dependent t-test. The test was not significant, $M_d = 0.00$, 95% CI [-0.02, 0.02], t(19) = 0.26, p = .797, and the corresponding effect size reflects how little these means changed, $d_{av} = 0.01$ 95% CI [-0.42, 0.45]. Using a 5% change criterion, items were tested to determine if they changed less than (0.05). The TOST test indicated both lower, t(19) = 0.48, p < .001 and the upper bound, t(19) = 0.04, p < .001, were within the null range. The BF also supported the null model, 0.24.

Total Scores. LPQ total scores were created by summing the items for each participant. The mean total score for randomized testing was M=14.14 (SD=4.01), with good reliability ($\alpha=0.82$), and the mean for nonrandomized testing was M=14.19 (SD=4.22) and good reliability ($\alpha=0.84$). An independent t-test indicated that the testing did not change total score, t(1,630)=0.23, p=.819. The effect size for this difference was negligible, $d_{av}=0.01$ 95% CI [-0.09, 0.45]. The TOST test indicated that the scores were within a 5% (1.00 points) change, lower: t(1627)=5.13, p<.001 and upper: t(1627)=

-4.67, p < .001. The BF results were in support of the null model, 0.06.

488 Discussion

As technology has advanced, initial research questioned the validity of online 489 assessments versus paper assessments. With further investigation, several researchers 490 discovered equivalence with regard to computer surveys compared with paper surveys 491 (Deutskens et al., 2006; Lewis et al., 2009). However, with the addition of technology, Fang 492 et al. (2012a) suggested that individuals respond with more extreme scores in online surveys 493 than in-person surveys due to the social-desirability effect. Research on equivalence is mixed 494 in results for paper and computer, and our work is a first-step on examining survey 495 equivalence on an individual item-level for different forms of computer delivery. The findings 496 from the current study are similar to those of Knowles et al. (1992), in that we found 497 differences in correlation matrices when items were randomized versus nonrandomized. 498 These differences may be attributted to the context of the items when randomized, as described by Salancik and Brand (1992) When viewed through a meaning-change (Knowles et al., 1992) or integration model (Rogers, 1974; Tourangeau & Rasinski, 1988), these differences may indicate that the context and background knowledge are shifting based on 502 the order of the items presented. 503

As items showed these order context effects, randomization may present a way to combat those effects where the context of items is equalized across participants. However, it is important to show that randomization does not change the relationship of items with that underlying factor, rather just the context in which these items are presented. In both the PIL and LPQ scales, the factor loadings were found to be equivalent with results supporting the null hypothesis. For the PIL, we did find support for differences in item means using p-value criterion and Bayes Factor analyses. However, the effect size was small, meaning the differences were potentially not as meaningful as the p-values and BF analyses posit, in addition to considering the evidentiary values of the two one-sided tests, which supported

the null range of expected values. Potentially, the small difference in item means was due to 513 fluctuating context and order effects, with more change possible using a 1 to 7 item answer 514 format (i.e., more possible range of answer change). The LPQ item means were not found to 515 differ, and the correlational analysis showed less items changed in contrast to the PIL 516 analysis. Finally, the total scores showed equivalence between randomization and 517 nonrandomization which suggested that total scales were not considerably impacted with or 518 without randomization of items. The match between results for two types of answer 519 methodologies implied that randomization can be applied across a variety of scale types with 520 similar effects. 521

Since the PIL and LPQ analyses predominately illustrated support for null effects of 522 randomization, item randomization of scales is of practical use when there are potential 523 concerns about item order and context effects described by the meaning-change hypotheses. 524 Randomization has been largely viewed as virtuous research practice in terms of sample 525 selection and order of stimuli presentation for years; now, we must decide if item reactivity 526 earns the same amount of caution that has been granted to existing research procedures. 527 Since we found equivalence in terms of overall scoring of the PIL and LPQ, we advise that 528 randomization should and can be used as a control mechanism, in addition to the ease of comparison between the scales if one researcher decided to randomize and one did not. Moreover, these results would imply that if an individual's total score on the PIL or LPQ is 531 significantly different on randomized versus nonrandomized administrations, it is likely due 532 to factors unrelated to delivery. Future research should investigate if this result is WEIRD 533 (Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, and Democratic), as this study focused on 534 college-age students in the Midwest (Henrich, Heine, & Norenzayan, 2010). As Fang et al. 535 (2012b)'s research indicates different effects for collectivistic cultures, other cultures may 536 show different results based on randomization. Additionally, one should consider the effects 537 of potential computer illiteracy on online surveys (Charters, 2004). 538

A second benefit to using the procedures outlined in this paper to examine for

differences in methodology is the simple implementation of the analyses. While our analyses 540 were performed in R, nearly all of these analyses can be performed in free point and click 541 software, such as jamovi and JASP. Multigroup confirmatory factory analyses can 542 additionally be used to analyze a very similar set of questions (Brown, 2006); however, 543 multigroup analyses require a specialized skill and knowledge set. Bayes Factor and TOST 544 analyses are included in these free programs and are easy to implement. In this paper, we 545 have provided examples of how to test the null hypothesis, as well as ways to include 546 multiple forms of evidentiary value to critically judge an analysis on facets other than p-values (Valentine et al., 2017).

References 549 Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2017). papaja: Create APA manuscripts with R Markdown. Retrieved from https://github.com/crsh/papaja 551 Bargh, J. A., & Pratto, F. (1986). Individual construct accessibility and perceptual selection. 552 Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 22(4), 293–311. 553 doi:10.1016/0022-1031(86)90016-8 554 Benjamin, D. J., Berger, J. O., Johannesson, M., Nosek, B. A., Wagenmakers, E.-J., Berk, 555 R., ... Johnson, V. E. (2018). Redefine statistical significance. Nature Human 556 Behaviour, 2(1), 6–10. doi:10.1038/s41562-017-0189-z 557 Bethlehem, J. (2010). Selection bias in web surveys. *International Statistical Review*, 78(2), 161–188. doi:10.1111/j.1751-5823.2010.00112.x 559 Brown, T. (2006). Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Applied Research. New York, NY: The 560 Guilford Press. 561 Buchanan, E. M., Valentine, K. D., & Schulenberg, S. E. (2014). Exploratory and 562 Confirmatory Factor Analysis: Developing the Purpose in Life Test-Short Form. In P. 563 Bindle (Ed.), SAGE research methods cases. London, United Kingdom: SAGE 564 Publications, Ltd. doi:10.4135/978144627305013517794 565 Buchanan, E. M., Valentine, K. D., & Scofield, J. E. (2017). MOTE. Retrieved from 566 https://github.com/doomlab/MOTE 567 Buchanan, T., Ali, T., Heffernan, T., Ling, J., Parrott, A., Rodgers, J., & Scholey, A. (2005). 568 Nonequivalence of on-line and paper-and-pencil psychological tests: The case of the 569 prospective memory questionnaire. Behavior Research Methods, 37(1), 148–154. 570 doi:10.3758/BF03206409 571

6(1), 3–5. doi:10.1177/1745691610393980

Buhrmester, M., Kwang, T., & Gosling, S. D. (2011). Amazon's Mechanical Turk: A new

source of inexpensive, yet high-quality, data? Perspectives on Psychological Science,

⁵⁷⁵ Cantrell, M. A., & Lupinacci, P. (2007). Methodological issues in online data collection.

```
Journal of Advanced Nursing, 60(5), 544-549. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04448.x
576
   Charters, E. (2004). New perspectives on popular culture, science and technology: Web
577
          browsers and the new illiteracy. College Quarterly, 7(1), 1–13.
578
   Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale,
579
          NJ: Earlbaum.
580
   Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. Psychological Bulletin, 112(1), 155–159.
          doi:10.1037//0033-2909.112.1.155
   Cohen, J. (1994). The Earth Is Round. American Psychologist, 49(12), 997–1003. Retrieved
583
          from http://www.sjsu.edu/faculty/gerstman/misc/Cohen1994.pdf
584
   Comrey, A. L., & Lee, H. B. (1992). A first course in factor analysis, 2nd ed. (pp. xii,
585
          430-xii, 430). doi:10.1037/0011756
586
   Cook, C., Heath, F., & Thompson, R. L. (2000). A meta-analysis of response rates in Web-
587
          or Internet-based surveys. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 60(6),
588
          821–836. doi:10.1177/00131640021970934
   Cribbie, R. A., Gruman, J. A., & Arpin-Cribbie, C. A. (2004). Recommendations for
590
          applying tests of equivalence. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 60(1), 1–10.
591
          doi:10.1002/jclp.10217
592
   Cronk, B. C., & West, J. L. (2002). Personality research on the Internet: A comparison of
593
          Web-based and traditional instruments in take-home and in-class settings. Behavior
594
          Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 34(2), 177–180.
595
          doi:10.3758/BF03195440
   Crumbaugh, J. C., & Maholick, L. T. (1964). An experimental study in existentialism: The
597
          psychometric approach to Frankl's concept ofnoogenic neurosis. Journal of Clinical
598
          Psychology, 20(2), 200-207.
590
          doi:10.1002/1097-4679(196404)20:2<200::AID-JCLP2270200203>3.0.CO;2-U
600
   Cumming, G. (2012). Understanding the new statistics: Effect sizes, confidence intervals,
601
```

```
and meta-analysis. New York, NY: Routledge.
    Cumming, G. (2014). The new statistics: Why and how. Psychological Science, 25(1), 7–29.
603
           doi:10.1177/0956797613504966
    De Leeuw, E. D., & Hox, J. J. (1988). The effects of response-stimulating factors on response
605
           rates and data quality in mail surveys: A test of Dillman's total design method.
606
           Journal of Official Statistics, 4(3), 241–249.
607
   Deutskens, E., Ruyter, K. de, & Wetzels, M. (2006). An Assessment of Equivalence Between
608
           Online and Mail Surveys in Service Research. Journal of Service Research, 8(4),
609
           346–355. doi:10.1177/1094670506286323
610
   DeVellis, R. F. (2016). Scale Development: Theory and Applications, 4th Edition (Vol. 26).
611
   Dienes, Z. (2014). Using Bayes to get the most out of non-significant results. Frontiers in
612
           Psychology, 5(July), 1–17. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00781
613
   Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2008). Internet, mail, and mixed-mode
614
           surveys: The tailored design method (3rd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
615
           doi:10.2307/41061275
616
   Etz, A., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2015). J. B. S. Haldane's Contribution to the Bayes Factor
           Hypothesis Test, 1–23. Retrieved from http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.08180
618
   Fang, J., Wen, C., & Pavur, R. (2012a). Participation willingness in web surveys: Exploring
619
           effect of sponsoring corporation's and survey provider's reputation. Cyberpsychology,
620
           Behavior, and Social Networking, 15(4), 195–199. doi:10.1089/cyber.2011.0411
621
   Fang, J., Wen, C., & Prybutok, V. R. (2012b). An assessment of equivalence between
622
           Internet and paper-based surveys: evidence from collectivistic cultures. Quality \mathcal{E}
623
           Quantity, 48(1), 493–506. doi:10.1007/s11135-012-9783-3
624
   Feldman, J. M., Lynch, J. G., Banks, C., Feldman, S., Garland, H., Ho-Rowitz, I., ...
625
           Feldman, M. (1988). Self-Generated Validity and Other Effects of Measurement on
626
           Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior. Journal of Applied Psychology, 73(3).
627
           Retrieved from
628
```

```
https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/55e6/b3ae155043601287b8e14246a21272805fd2.pdf
629
   Frick, A., Bächtiger, M. T., & Reips, U.-D. (2001). Financial incentives, personal
630
          information and dropout in online studies. In U.-D. Reips & M. Bosnjak (Eds.),
631
          Dimensions of internet science (pp. 209–219).
632
    Gallistel, C. R. (2009). The importance of proving the null. Psychological Review, 116(2),
633
          439–53. doi:10.1037/a0015251
634
    Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world?
635
          Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 33(2-3), 61-83. doi:10.1017/S0140525X0999152X
    Higgins, E., & Lurie, L. (1983). Context, categorization, and recall: The "change-of-standard"
637
          effect. Cognitive Psychology, 15(4), 525–547. doi:10.1016/0010-0285(83)90018-X
638
   Hox, J. J., & De Leeuw, E. D. (1994). A comparison of nonresponse in mail, telephone, and
639
          face-to-face surveys. Quality and Quantity, 28(4), 329-344. doi:10.1007/BF01097014
640
   Hutzell, R. (1988). A review of the Purpose in Life Test. International Forum for
          Logotherapy, 11(2), 89-101.
642
   Ilieva, J., Baron, S., & Healy, N. M. (2002). On-line surveys in international marketing
643
          research: Pros and cons. International Journal of Market Research, 44(3), 361–376.
644
    JASP Team. (2018). JASP (Version 0.8.6) [Computer software]. Retrieved from
645
          https://jasp-stats.org/
    Joinson, A. (1999). Social desirability, anonymity, and Internet-based questionnaires.
647
           Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 31(3), 433-438.
648
          doi:10.3758/BF03200723
649
   Kass, R. E., & Raftery, A. E. (1995). Bayes Factors. Journal of the American Statistical
650
          Association, 90(430), 773. doi:10.2307/2291091
651
   Keppel, G., & Wickens, T. (2004). Design and Analysis: A Researcher's Handbook (4th ed.).
652
           Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
653
    Knowles, E. S., Coker, M. C., Cook, D. A., Diercks, S. R., Irwin, M. E., Lundeen, E. J., ...
654
          Sibicky, M. E. (1992). Order Effects within Personality Measures. In N. Schwarz & S.
655
```

```
Sudman (Eds.), Context efects in social and psychological research (pp. 221–236).
656
          New York: Springer-Verlag.
657
   Lakens, D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: A
658
          practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. Frontiers in Psychology, 4.
659
          doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00863
660
   Lakens, D. (2017). Equivalence tests. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 8(4),
661
          355-362. doi:10.1177/1948550617697177
662
    Lakens, D., Adolfi, F. G., Albers, C. J., Anvari, F., Apps, M. A. J., Argamon, S. E., ...
663
           Zwaan, R. A. (n.d.). Justify Your Alpha. Nature Human Behaviour.
664
          doi:10.17605/OSF.IO/9S3Y6
665
   Lee, M. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2012). Bayesian Cognitive Modeling: A Practical Course.
666
          Retrieved from http://faculty.sites.uci.edu/mdlee/files/2011/03/BB{\} Free.pdf
667
   Lewis, I., Watson, B., & White, K. M. (2009). Internet versus paper-and-pencil survey
668
          methods in psychological experiments: Equivalence testing of participant responses to
          health-related messages. Australian Journal of Psychology, 61(2), 107–116.
          doi:10.1080/00049530802105865
671
   Lord, C. G., Lepper, M. R., & Preston, E. (1984). Considering the opposite: A corrective
672
          strategy for social judgment. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 47(6),
673
          1231–1243. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.47.6.1231
   Ly, A., & Verhagen, J. (2016). Harold Jeffreys's default Bayes factor hypothesis tests:
           Explanation, extension, and application in psychology. Journal of Mathematical
676
          Psychology, 72, 19–32. doi:10.1016/J.JMP.2015.06.004
   MacLeod, C., & Campbell, L. (1992). Memory accessibility and probability judgments: an
678
          experimental evaluation of the availability heuristic. Journal of Personality and
679
          Social Psychology, 63(6), 890–902. Retrieved from
```

```
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1460558
681
   Media. (2016). The Total Audience Report: Q1 2016.
682
   Melton, A. M. A., & Schulenberg, S. E. (2008). On the measurement of meaning:
683
           Logotherapy's empirical contributions to humanistic psychology. The Humanistic
684
          Psychologist, 36(1), 31-44. doi:10.1080/08873260701828870
685
   Meredith, W. (1993). Measurement invariance, factor analysis and factorial invariance.
          Psychometrika, 58(4), 525–543. doi:10.1007/BF02294825
687
   Meyerson, P., & Tryon, W. W. (2003). Validating Internet research: A test of the
688
          psychometric equivalence of Internet and in-person samples. Behavior Research
680
          Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 35(4), 614-620. doi:10.3758/BF03195541
690
   Morey, R. D. (2015). On verbal categories for the interpretation of Bayes factors. Retrieved
691
          from http:
692
          //bayesfactor.blogspot.com/2015/01/on-verbal-categories-for-interpretation.html
693
   Morey, R. D., & Rouder, J. N. (2015). BayesFactor: Computation of Bayes Factors for
694
          common designs. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/package=BayesFactor
695
   Musch, J., & Reips, U.-D. (2000). A brief history of web experimenting. In M. H. Birnbaum
696
          (Ed.), Psychological experiments on the internet (pp. 61–87). Elsevier.
697
          doi:10.1016/B978-012099980-4/50004-6
698
   Nosek, B. A., Banaji, M. R., & Greenwald, A. G. (2002). E-Research: Ethics, security,
          design, and control in psychological research on the Internet. Journal of Social Issues,
700
          58(1), 161–176. doi:10.1111/1540-4560.00254
701
   Olson, K. (2010). An examination of questionnaire evaluation by expert reviewers. Field
702
          Methods, 22(4), 295–318. doi:10.1177/1525822X10379795
703
   Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). Communication and persuasion: Central and
704
           peripheral routes to attitude change. New York: Springer-Verlag.
705
   Posner, M. I. (1978). Chronometric explorations of mind. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
706
   Preacher, K. J., & MacCallum, R. C. (2003). Repairing Tom Swift's Electric Factor Analysis
707
```

```
Machine. Understanding Statistics, 2(1), 13-43. doi:10.1207/S15328031US0201 02
708
   project, J. (2018). jamovi (Version 0.8)[Computer software]. Retrieved from
709
          https://www.jamovi.org
710
   Reips, U.-D. (2002). Standards for Internet-based experimenting. Experimental Psychology,
711
          49(4), 243–256. doi:10.1026//1618-3169.49.4.243
712
   Reips, U.-D. (2012). Using the Internet to collect data. In APA handbook of research
713
          methods in psychology, vol 2: Research designs: Quantitative, qualitative,
714
          neuropsychological, and biological. (Vol. 2, pp. 291–310). Washington: American
715
          Psychological Association. doi:10.1037/13620-017
716
   Revelle, W. (2017). psych: Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and Personality
          Research. Evanston, Illinois: Northwestern University. Retrieved from
718
          https://cran.r-project.org/package=psych
719
   Rogers, J. L., Howard, K. I., & Vessey, J. T. (1993). Using significance tests to evaluate
720
          equivalence between two experimental groups. Psychological Bulletin, 113(3),
721
          553–565. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.113.3.553
722
   Rogers, T. (1974). An analysis of the stages underlying the process of responding to
723
          personality items. Acta Psychologica, 38(3), 205–213.
724
          doi:10.1016/0001-6918(74)90034-1
725
   Rouder, J. N., Speckman, P. L., Sun, D., Morey, R. D., & Iverson, G. (2009). Bayesian t
726
          tests for accepting and rejecting the null hypothesis. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review,
727
          16(2), 225–237. doi:10.3758/PBR.16.2.225
728
   Salancik, G. R., & Brand, J. F. (1992). Context influences on the meaning of work. In N.
729
          Schwarz & S. Sudman (Eds.), Context efects in social and psychological research (pp.
730
          237–247). New York: Springer-Verlag.
   Sanou, B. (2017, July). ICT Facts and Figures 2017. Retrieved from http:
732
          //www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/ICTFactsFigures2017.pdf
733
   Schuirmann, D. J. (1987). A comparison of the Two One-Sided Tests Procedure and the
734
```

```
Power Approach for assessing the equivalence of average bioavailability. Journal of
735
          Pharmacokinetics and Biopharmaceutics, 15(6), 657–680. doi:10.1007/BF01068419
736
   Schuldt, B. a., & Totten, J. W. (1994). Electronic mail vs. mail survey response rates.
          Marketing Research, 6, 36–39.
738
   Schulenberg, S. E. (2004). A psychometric investigation of logotherapy measures and the
739
           Outcome Questionnaire (OQ-45.2). North American Journal of Psychology, 6(3),
740
          477 - 492.
741
   Schulenberg, S. E., & Melton, A. M. A. (2010). A confirmatory factor-analytic evaluation of
742
           the purpose in life test: Preliminary psychometric support for a replicable two-factor
743
          model. Journal of Happiness Studies, 11(1), 95–111. doi:10.1007/s10902-008-9124-3
744
   Schulenberg, S. E., & Yutrzenka, B. A. (1999). The equivalence of computerized and
745
           paper-and-pencil psychological instruments: Implications for measures of negative
746
          affect. Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 31(2), 315–321.
747
          doi:10.3758/BF03207726
748
   Schulenberg, S. E., & Yutrzenka, B. A. (2001). Equivalence of computerized and
          conventional versions of the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II). Current
750
          Psychology, 20(3), 216–230. doi:10.1007/s12144-001-1008-1
751
   Schulenberg, S. E., Schnetzer, L. W., & Buchanan, E. M. (2011). The Purpose in Life
752
          Test-Short Form: Development and Psychometric Support. Journal of Happiness
          Studies, 12(5), 861–876. doi:10.1007/s10902-010-9231-9
   Smithson, M. (2001). Correct confidence intervals for various regression effect sizes and
755
          parameters: The importance of noncentral distributions in computing intervals.
756
          Educational and Psychological Measurement, 61(4), 605–632.
757
          doi:10.1177/00131640121971392
758
   Smyth, J. D. (2006). Comparing check-all and forced-choice question formats in web surveys.
750
          Public Opinion Quarterly, 70(1), 66–77. doi:10.1093/poq/nfj007
760
```

Steenkamp, J. E. M., & Baumgartner, H. (1998). Assessing Measurement Invariance in

```
Cross-National Consumer Research. Journal of Consumer Research, 25(1), 78–107.
762
          doi:10.1086/209528
763
   Strack, F., & Martin, L. L. (1987). Thinking, Judging, and Communicating: A Process
          Account of Context Effects in Attitude Surveys. In (pp. 123–148). Springer, New
765
          York, NY. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-4798-2 7
766
   Strack, F., Schwarz, N., & Gschneidinger, E. (1985). Happiness and Reminiscing: The Role
767
          of Time Perspective, Affect, and Mode of Thinking. Journal of Personality and Social
768
          Psychology, 49(6), 1460–1469. Retrieved from
769
          https://jshellman-reminiscence.wiki.uml.edu/file/view/reminiscing.pdf
   Strack, K. M., & Schulenberg, S. E. (2009). Understanding empowerment, meaning, and
771
          perceived coercion in individuals with serious mental illness. Journal of Clinical
772
          Psychology, 65(10), 1137–1148. doi:10.1002/jclp.20607
773
   Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2012). Using Multivariate Statistics (6th ed.). Boston,
774
          MA: Pearson.
775
   Tesser, A. (1978). Self-generated attitude change. Advances in Experimental Social
776
          Psychology, 11, 289-338.
777
   Tourangeau, R., & Rasinski, K. A. (1988). Cognitive Processes Underlying Context Effects
778
          in Attitude Measurement. Psychological Bulletin, 103(3), 299–314. Retrieved from
779
          http://www.jwalkonline.org/docs/Grad Classes/Fall 07/Cog Surv/project
780
          2/articles/tourangeau rasinski cog processes context eff.pdf
   Tourangeau, R., Rips, L. J., & Rasinski, K. (1999). The Psychology of Survey Response.
782
          Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
783
   Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1973). Availability: A heuristic for judging frequency and
784
          probability. Cognitive Psychology, 5(2), 207–232. doi:10.1016/0010-0285(73)90033-9
785
   Valentine, K. D., Buchanan, E. M., Scofield, J. E., & Beauchamp, M. (2017). Beyond
786
```

p-values: Utilizing Multiple Estimates to Evaluate Evidence.

787

```
doi:10.17605/osf.io/9hp7y
788
   Van Buuren, S., & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. (2011). mice: Multivariate Imputation by
789
           Chained Equations in R. Journal of Statistical Software, 45(3), 1–67.
790
          doi:10.18637/jss.v045.i03
791
   Wagenmakers, E. M.;, Morey, R. D.;, & Lee, M. D. (2016). Bayesian Benefits for the
792
          Pragmatic Researcher. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 25(3), 169–176.
793
          doi:10.1177/0963721416643289
794
   Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2007). A practical solution to the pervasive problems of p values.
795
          Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 14(5), 779–804. doi:10.3758/BF03194105
796
   Webb, E. S., Campbell, D. T., Schwartz, R. D., & Sechrest, L. (1966). Unobtrusive measures:
797
          Nonreactive research in the social sciences. Chicago: Rand McNally.
798
   Weigold, A., Weigold, I. K., & Russell, E. J. (2013). Examination of the equivalence of
          self-report survey-based paper-and-pencil and internet data collection methods.
800
          Psychological Methods, 18(1), 53–70. doi:10.1037/a0031607
801
   Worthington, R. L., & Whittaker, T. a. (2006). Scale development research: A content
802
          analysis and recommendations for best practices. The Counseling Psychologist, 34(6),
803
           806-838. doi:10.1177/0011000006288127
804
```

Table 1

Demographic and Data Screening Information

Group	Female	White	Age (SD)	Original N	Missing N	Outlier N
PIL Random	61.6	81.1	19.50 (2.93)	1462	333	59
PIL Not Random	54.1	78.6	19.68 (3.58)	915	51	36
LPQ Random	-	-	-	1462	555	24
LPQ Not Random	-	-	-	915	150	16

Note. Participants took both the PIL and LPQ scale, therefore, random and not random demographics are the same. Not every participant was given the LPQ, resulting in missing data for those subjects. Several PIL participants were removed because they were missing an item on their scale.

 $\mathrm{LPQ}\ 4$

N/A

N/A

Table 2

Correlation Matrices Results by Item

Item	Differences	Items Changed	Where Changed	Item Directly After	Direct
PIL 1	3	2, 12, 15	After	Yes	2 Nega
PIL 2	9	1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 15, 18, 19, 20	1 Before & 8 After	Yes	8 Nega
PIL 3	1	2	Before	No	1
PIL 4	2	2, 15	1 Before & 1 After	No	1 Nega
PIL 5	2	9, 15	After	No	1 Nega
PIL 6	2	12, 15	After	No	2
PIL 7	2	17, 19	After	No	2
PIL 8	1	2	Before	No	1
PIL 9	3	2, 5, 15	2 Before & 1 After	No	2 Nega
PIL 10	2	12, 15	After	No	2
PIL 11	3	12, 15, 20	After	Yes	3
PIL 12	6	1, 6, 10, 11, 14, 20	4 Before & 2 After	No	2 Nega
PIL 13	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
PIL 14	2	12, 18	1 Before & 1 After	No	2
PIL 15	10	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 17, 19	8 Before & 2 After	No	10
PIL 16	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
PIL 17	4	7, 15, 18, 19	2 Before & 2 After	Yes	4
PIL 18	3	2, 14, 17	Before	No	2 Nega
PIL 19	5	2, 7, 15, 17, 20	4 Before & 1 After	Yes	1 Nega
PIL 20	4	2, 11, 12, 19	Before	N/A	1 Nega
LPQ 1	3	11, 13, 18	After	No	1 Nega
LPQ 2	1	6	After	No	1
LPQ 3	1	8	After	No	1

N/A

N/A

Table 3 $Item\ Statistics\ for\ the\ PIL\ Scale$

Item	FL-R	FL-NR	M-R	M-NR	SD-R	SD-NR
1	.667	.638	4.829	4.806	1.279	1.278
2	.679	.572	4.929	4.600	1.437	1.452
3	.685	.671	5.815	5.732	1.124	1.101
4	.839	.847	5.673	5.655	1.300	1.285
5	.639	.574	4.666	4.407	1.496	1.497
6	.674	.685	5.425	5.338	1.308	1.400
7	.424	.439	6.172	6.081	1.207	1.373
8	.626	.596	5.014	5.011	1.092	1.139
9	.823	.796	5.355	5.327	1.176	1.198
10	.723	.764	5.202	5.156	1.502	1.543
11	.775	.796	5.222	5.165	1.629	1.621
12	.604	.649	4.496	4.527	1.570	1.600
13	.429	.403	5.745	5.738	1.244	1.216
14	.449	.421	5.431	5.239	1.377	1.547
15	.081	.211	4.376	4.149	1.941	1.884
16	.547	.554	5.099	5.266	1.983	1.861
17	.720	.735	5.422	5.399	1.393	1.404
18	.483	.501	5.387	5.302	1.474	1.593
19	.678	.721	4.879	4.907	1.412	1.455
20	.782	.810	5.343	5.210	1.314	1.289

Note. FL = Factor Loadings, M = Mean, SD = Standard Deviation, R = Random, NR = Not Random

Table 4 $Item\ Statistics\ for\ the\ LPQ\ Scale$

Item	FL-R	FL-NR	M-R	M-NR	SD-R	SD-NR
1	.675	.682	.567	.613	.496	.487
2	.900	.870	.754	.760	.431	.428
3	.503	.394	.864	.844	.343	.363
4	.730	.685	.908	.868	.289	.339
5	.687	.682	.419	.507	.494	.500
6	.502	.555	.638	.582	.481	.494
7	.193	.286	.775	.810	.418	.392
8	.555	.471	.482	.467	.500	.499
9	.856	.911	.810	.781	.393	.414
10	.592	.620	.635	.646	.482	.478
11	.636	.760	.727	.761	.446	.427
12	.687	.758	.787	.752	.410	.432
13	.314	.399	.965	.911	.184	.286
14	.486	.486	.762	.769	.426	.422
15	.046	.102	.323	.395	.468	.489
16	.700	.707	.863	.872	.344	.335
17	.514	.502	.847	.814	.360	.389
18	.558	.511	.830	.828	.376	.378
19	.675	.717	.463	.497	.499	.500
20	.644	.618	.721	.712	.449	.453

Note. FL = Factor Loadings, M = Mean, SD = Standard Deviation, R = Random, NR = Not Random