Does the Delivery Matter? Examining Randomization at the Item Level

15

16

Abstract

Scales that are psychometrically sound, meaning those that meet established standards

4 regarding reliability and validity when measuring one or more constructs of interest, are

customarily evaluated based on a set modality (i.e., computer or paper) and administration

6 (fixed-item order). Deviating from an established administration profile could result in

7 non-equivalent response patterns, indicating the possible evaluation of a dissimilar construct.

Randomizing item administration may alter or eliminate these effects. Therefore, we

examined the differences in scale relationships for randomized and nonrandomized computer

delivery for two scales measuring meaning/purpose in life. These scales have questions about

suicidality, depression, and life goals that may cause item reactivity (i.e. a changed response

to a second item based on the answer to the first item). Results indicated that item

13 randomization does not alter scale psychometrics for meaning in life scales, which implies

that results are comparable even if researchers implement different delivery modalities.

Keywords: scales, randomization, item analysis

Does the Delivery Matter? Examining Randomization at the Item Level

The use of the Internet has been integrated into daily life as a means of accessing 18 information, interacting with others, and tending to required tasks. The International 19 Telecommunication Union reports that over half the world is online, and 70% of 15-24 year olds are on the internet (Sanou, 2017). Further, the Nielson Total Audience report from 2016 21 indicates that Americans spend nearly 11 hours a day in media consumption (Media, 2016). 22 Researchers discovered that online data collection can be advantageous over laboratory and 23 paper data collection, as it is often cheaper and more efficient (Ilieva, Baron, & Healy, 2002; 24 Reips, 2012; Schuldt & Totten, 1994). Internet questionnaires first appeared in the early 90s when HTML scripting code integrated form elements, and the first experiments appeared soon after (Musch & Reips, 2000; Reips, 2002). The first experimental lab on the internet was the Web Experimental Psychology Lab formed by Reips (http://www.wexlab.eu), and the use of the Internet to collect data has since grown rapidly (Reips, 2002). What started with email and HTML forms has since moved to whole communities of available participants including websites like Amazon's Mechanical Turk and Qualtrics' Participant Panels. Participants of all types and forms are easily accessible for somewhat little to no cost. Our ability to collect data on the Internet has inevitably lead to the question of 33 measurement invariance between in person and online data collection methods (Buchanan et al., 2005; Meyerson & Tryon, 2003). Invariance implies that different forms, data collection 35 procedures, or even target demographics produce comparable sets of responses, which is a desirable characteristic to ensure a minimal number of confounding variables (Brown, 2006). According to Deutskens, Ruyter, and Wetzels (2006), mail surveys and online surveys produce nearly identical results regarding the accuracy of the data collected online versus by mail. Only minor differences arise between online surveys and mail in surveys when it comes to participant honesty and suggestions. For example, participants who responded to surveys online provided more suggestions, lengthier answers, and greater information about competitors in the field that they may prefer (Deutskens et al., 2006). The hypothesis as to

why individuals may be more honest online than in person is that the individual may feel
more anonymity and less social desirability effects due to the nature of the online world,
therefore less concerned about responding in a socially polite way (Joinson, 1999). A trend
found by Fang, Wen, and Pavur (2012a) shows individuals are more likely to respond to
surveys online with extreme scores, rather than mid-range responses on Likert scales due to
the lessened social desirability factor. There may be slight cultural differences in responses
online. For example, collectivistic cultures showed greater tendency toward mid-range
responses on Likert scales via in-person and online due to placing greater value on how they
are socially perceived; however, the trend is still the same as scores are more extreme online
versus in person or by mail (Fang, Wen, & Prybutok, 2012b).

Although work by Dillman and his group (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2008; Frick, 54 Bächtiger, & Reips, 2001; Smyth, 2006), among others, has shown that many web surveys 55 are plagued by problems of usability, display, coverage, sampling, non-response, or 56 technology, other studies have found internet data to be reliable and almost preferable as it 57 produces a varied demographic response compared to the traditional sample of introduction to psychology college students while also maintaining data equivalence (Lewis, Watson, & White, 2009). However, equivalence in factor structure may be problematic, as Buchanan et al. (2005) have shown that factor structure was not replicable in online and in person surveys. Other work has shown equivalence using a comparison of correlation matrices (Meyerson & Tryon, 2003) or t-tests (Schulenberg & Yutrzenka, 1999, 2001), and the literature is mixed on how different methodologies impact factor structure. Weigold, Weigold, and Russell (2013) recently examined both quantitative and research design questions (i.e. missing data) on Internet and paper-and-pencil administration which showed that the administrations were generally equivalent for quantitative structure but research design issues showed non-equivalence. Other potential limitations to online surveys include the accessibility of different populations to the Internet (Frick et al., 2001), selection bias (Bethlehem, 2010), response rates (Cantrell & Lupinacci, 2007; Cook, Heath, & Thompson, 2000; De Leeuw & Hox, 1988; Hox & De Leeuw, 1994), attrition (Cronk & West, 2002), and distraction (Tourangeau, Rips, & Rasinski, 1999). Many of these concerns have been alleviated in the years since online surveys were first developed, especially with the advent of panels and Mechanical Turk to reach a large, diverse population of participants (Buhrmester, Kwang, & Gosling, 2011).

With the development of advanced online survey platforms such as Qualtrics and 76 Survey Monkey, researchers have the potential to control potentially confounding research 77 design issues through randomization, although other issues may still be present, such as 78 participant misbehavior (Nosek, Banaji, & Greenwald, 2002). Randomization has been a 79 hallmark of good research practice, as the order or presentation of stimuli can be a noise variable in a study with multiple measures (Keppel & Wickens, 2004). Thus, researchers 81 have often randomized scales by rotating the order of presentation in paper format or simply clicking the randomization button for web-based studies. This practice has counterbalanced out any order effects of going from one scale to the next (Keppel & Wickens, 2004). However, while scale structure has remained constant, these items are still stimuli within a larger construct. Therefore, these construct-related items have the ability to influence the items that appear later on the survey, which we call item reactivity. For example, a question about being prepared for death or thoughts about suicide might change the responses to further questions, especially if previous questions did not alert participants to be prepare for that subject matter.

Scale development typically starts with an underlying latent variable that a researcher wishes to examine through measured items or questions (DeVellis, 2016). Question design is a well-studied area that indicates that measurement is best achieved through questions that are direct, positively worded, and understandable to the subject (Dillman et al., 2008). Olson (2010) suggests researchers design a multitude of items in order to investigate and invite subject matter experts to examine these questions. Subject matter experts were found to be variable in their agreement, but excellent at identifying potentially problematic

questions. After suggested edits from these experts, a large sample of participant data is collected. While item response theory is gaining traction, classical test theory has dominated this area through the use of exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis (EFA, CFA; 100 Worthington & Whittaker, 2006). EFA elucidates several facets of how the measured items 101 represent the latent trait through factor loadings and overall model fit (Tabachnick & Fidell, 102 2012). Factor structure represents the correlation between item scores and factors, where a 103 researcher wishes to find items that are strongly related to latent traits. Items that are not 104 related to the latent trait, usually with factor loadings below .300 (Preacher & MacCallum, 105 2003) are discarded. Model fit is examined when simple structure has been achieved 106 (i.e. appropriate factor loadings for each item), and these fit indices inform if the items and 107 factor structure model fit the data well. Well-designed scales include items that are highly 108 related to their latent trait and have excellent fit indices. Scale development additionally includes the examination of other measures of reliability (alpha) and construct validity 110 (relation to other phenomena) but the focus of the scale shifts to subscale or total scores (Buchanan, Valentine, & Schulenberg, 2014). Published scales are then distributed for use in the form that is presented in the publication, as item order is often emphasized through 113 important notes about reverse scoring and creating subscale scores.

The question is no longer whether web-based surveys are reliable sources of data 115 collection; the theory now is in need of a shift to whether or not item-randomization in 116 survey data collection creates psychometric differences. These scale development procedures 117 focus on items, and EFA/CFA statistically try to mimic variance-covariance structure by 118 creating models of the data with the same variance-covariance matrix. If we imagine that 119 stimuli in a classic experimental design can influence the outcome of a study because of their 120 order, then certainly the stimuli on a scale (i.e., the items) can influence the pattern of 121 responses for items. This area of study is relatively unexplored, as easily randomizing items 122 has only recently become available for researchers. 123

Therefore, this study focuses on potential differences in results based on item

randomization delivery methodology. The current project examined large samples on two logotherapy-related scales, as these scales include potentially reactive items, as well as both a 126 dichotomous True/False and traditional Likert format for the same items. Large samples 127 were desirable to converge on a stable, representative population; however, false positives 128 (i.e., Type I errors) can occur by using large N. Recent developments in the literature 129 focusing on null hypothesis testing make it especially important to present potential 130 alternatives to p-values (Valentine, Buchanan, Scofield, & Beauchamp, 2017). While a large 131 set of researchers have argued that the literature is full of Type I errors (Benjamin et al., 132 2018), and thus, the α value should be shifted lower (i.e., p < .005 for statistical 133 significance), an equally large set of researchers counter this argument as unfounded and 134 weak (Lakens et al., n.d.). We provide multiple sources of evidence (p-values, effect sizes, 135 Bayes Factors, and tests of equivalence) to determine if differences found are not only statistically significant, but also practically significant. In our study, we expand to item randomization for online based surveys, examining the impact on factor loadings, 138 variance-covariance structure, item means, and total scores again providing evidence of 139 difference/non-difference from multiple statistical sources. Finally, we examine these 140 scenarios with a unique set of scales that have both dichotomous True/False and traditional Likert formats to explore how the answer response options might impact any differences 142 found between randomized and nonrandomized methodologies. 143

144 Method

145 Participants

The sample population consisted of undergraduate students at a large Midwestern
University, placing the approximate age of participants at around 18-22. Table 1 includes the
demographic information about all datasets. Only two scales were used from each dataset, as
described below. Participants were generally enrolled in an introductory psychology course
that served as a general education requirement for the university. As part of the curriculum,

the students were encouraged to participate in psychology research programs, resulting in their involvement in this study. These participants were given course credit for their participation.

154 Materials

176

Of the surveys included within each larger study, two questionnaires were utilized: the
Purpose in Life Questionnaire (PIL; Crumbaugh & Maholick, 1964) and the Life Purpose
Questionnaire (LPQ; Hutzell, 1988).

The Purpose in Life Questionnaire. The PIL is a 20-item questionnaire that 158 assesses perceived meaning and life purpose. Items are structured in a 7-point Likert type 159 response format; however, each item has different anchoring points that focus on item 160 content. Total scores are created by summing the items, resulting in a range of 20 to 140 for 161 the overall score. The reliability for the scale is generally high, ranging from .70 to .90 162 (Schulenberg, 2004; Schulenberg & Melton, 2010). Previous work on validity for the PIL 163 showed viable one- and two-factor models, albeit factor loadings varied across publications 164 (see Schulenberg & Melton, 2010 for a summary), and these fluctuating results lead to the 165 development of a 4-item PIL short form (Schulenberg, Schnetzer, & Buchanan, 2011). 166

Life Purpose Questionnaire. The LPQ was modeled after the full 20-item PIL 167 questionnaire, also measuring perceived meaning and purpose in life. The items are 168 structured in a true/false response format, in contrast to the Likert response format found 169 on the PIL. Each question is matched to the PIL with the same item content, altering the 170 question to create binary answer format. After reverse coding, zero on an item would indicate 171 low meaning, while one on an item would indicate high meaning. A total score is created by 172 summing item scores, resulting in a range from 0 to 20. In both scales, higher scores 173 indicated greater perceived meaning in life. Reliability for this scale is also correspondingly 174 high, usually in the .80 range (Melton & Schulenberg, 2008; Schulenberg, 2004). 175

These two scales were selected because they contained the same item content with

differing response formats, which would allow for cross comparisons between results for each scale.

179 Procedure

The form of administration was of interest to this study, and therefore, two formats 180 were included: computerized administration in nonrandom order and computerized 181 administration with a randomized question order. Computerized questionnaires were 182 available for participants to access electronically, and they were allowed to complete the 183 experiment from anywhere with the Internet through Qualtrics. To ensure participants were 184 properly informed, both an introduction and a debriefing were included within the online 185 form. Participants were randomly assigned to complete a nonrandomized or randomized 186 version of the survey. Nonrandomized questionnaires followed the original scale question 187 order, consistent with paper delivery format. A different group of participants were given 188 each question in a randomized order within each scale (i.e. all PIL and LPQ questions will 189 still grouped together on one page). The order of administration of the two scales was randomized across participants for both groups. Once collected, the results were then 191 amalgamated into a database for statistical analysis.

193 Results

4 Hypothesis and Data-Analytic Plan

Computer forms were analyzed by randomized and nonrandomized groups to examine
the impact of randomization on covariance structure, factor loadings, item means, and total
scores. We expected to find that these forms may potentially vary across covariance
structure and item means, which would indicate differences in reactivity to questions
(i.e. item four always has item three as a precursor on a nonrandom form, while item four
may have a different set of answers when prefaced with other questions). Factor loadings
were assessed to determine if differences in randomization caused a change in focus, such

that participant interpretation of the item changed the relationship to the latent variable.

However, we did not predict if values would change, as latent trait measurement should be

consistent. Last, we examined total scores; however, it was unclear if these values would

change. A difference in item means may result in changes in total scores, but may also result

in no change if some item means decrease, while others increase.

Each hypothesis was therefore tested using four dependent measures. First, we 207 examined the variance-covariance matrix for each type of delivery and compared the 208 matrices to each other by using root mean squared error (RMSE). RMSE estimates the 209 difference between covariance matrices and is often used in structural equation modeling to 210 determine if models have good fit to the data. A criterion of < .06 for good fit, .06-.08 for 211 acceptable fit, and > .10 for bad fit was used (Hu & Bentler, 1999). This analysis was used 212 to determine if the change in delivery changed the structure of the item relationships to each 213 other (i.e. if the covariance matrices are different). RMSE values were calculated using the 214 monomvn package in R (Gramacy & Pantaleoy, 2010). 215

We then conducted an exploratory factor analysis on both scales using one-factor 216 models to examine the loading of each item on its latent trait. The PIL factor structure is 217 contested (Strack & Schulenberg, 2009) with many suggestions as to latent structure for one-218 and two-factor models. The LPQ has seen less research on factor structure (Schulenberg, 219 2004). This paper focused on loadings on one global latent trait to determine if the 220 manipulation of delivery impacted factor loadings. We used a one-factor model and included 221 all questions to focus on the loadings, rather than the factor structure. The analysis was 222 performed using the psych package in R with maximum likelihood estimation and an oblique 223 (oblimin) rotation. The LPQ factor analysis used tetrachoric correlation structure to control 224 for the dichotomous format of the scale, rather than traditional Pearson correlation structure. The loadings were then compared using a matched dependent t-test (i.e. item one to item 226 one, item two to item two) to examine differences between nonrandomized and randomized 227 computer samples. 228

Next, item averages were calculated across all participants for each item. These 20 229 items were then compared in a matched dependent t-test to determine if delivery changed 230 the mean of the item on the PIL or LPQ. While covariance structure elucidates the varying 231 relations between items, we may still find that item averages are pushed one direction or 232 another by a change in delivery and still maintain the same correlation between items. If this 233 test was significant, we examined the individual items across participants for large effect 234 sizes, as the large sample sizes in this study would create significant t-test follow ups. 235 Last, the total scores for each participant were compared across delivery type using an 236 independent t-test. Item analyses allow a focus on specific items that may show changes, 237 while total scores allow us to investigate if changes in delivery alter the overall score that is 238 used in other analyses or possible clinical implications. For analyses involving t-tests, we 239 provide multiple measures of evidentiary value so that researchers can weigh the effects of 240 randomization on their own criterion. Recent research on α criteria has shown wide 241 disagreement on the usefulness of p-values and set cut-off scores (Benjamin et al., 2018; 242 Lakens et al., n.d.). Therefore, we sought to provide traditional null hypothesis testing 243 results (t-tests, p-values) and supplement these values with effect sizes (Buchanan, Valentine, 244 & Scofield, 2017; d and non-central confidence intervals, Cumming, 2014; Smithson, 2001), 245 Bayes Factors (???; Morey & Rouder, 2015), and one-sided tests of equivalence (TOST, 246 Cribbie, Gruman, & Arpin-Cribbie, 2004; Lakens, 2017; Rogers, Howard, & Vessey, 1993; 247 Schuirmann, 1987). We used the average standard deviation of each group as the 248 denominator for d calculation as follows: 249

$$d_{av} = \frac{(M_1 - M_2)}{\frac{SD_1 + SD_2}{2}}$$

This effect size is less biased than the traditional d_z formula, wherein mean differences are
divided by the standard deviation of the difference scores (Lakens, 2013). The difference
scores standard deviation is often much smaller than the average of the standard deviations
of each level, which can create an upwardly biased effect size (Cumming, 2014). This bias

280

can lead researchers to interpret larger effects for a psychological phenomenon than actually exist.

Bayes Factors are calculated in opposition to a normal frequentist (NHST) approach, 256 as a ratio of the likelihood of two models. Traditional NHST focuses on the likelihood of the 257 data, given the null hypothesis is true, and Bayesian analysis instead posits the likelihood of 258 a hypothesis given the data. Prior distributions are our estimation of the likelihood of our 259 hypothesis before the data was collected, which is combined with the data collected to form 260 a posterior belief of our hypothesis. We chose to use Bayes Factors as a middle ground to the 261 Bayesian analysis continuum, that uses mildly uninformative priors and allows for the data 262 to strongly impact the posterior distribution. The choice of prior distribution can heavily 263 influence the posterior belief, in that uninformative priors allow the data to comprise the 264 posterior distribution. However, most researchers have a background understanding of their 265 field, thus, making completely uninformative priors a tenuous assumption. Because of the 266 dearth of literature in this field, there is not enough previous information to create a strong 267 prior distribution, which would suppress the effect of the data on posterior belief. The 268 BayesFactor package (Morey & Rouder, 2015) uses recommended default priors that cover a 269 wide range of data (Morey & Rouder, 2015; Rouder, Speckman, Sun, Morey, & Iverson, 2009) of a Jeffreys prior with a fixed rscale (0.5) and random rscale (1.0). The alternative model is 271 generally considered a model wherein means between groups or items differ, and this model is compared to a null model of no mean differences. The resulting ratio is therefore the odds of the alternative model to the null, where BF values less than one indicate evidence for the null, values at one indicate even evidence for the null and alternative, and values larger than 275 one indicate evidence for the alternative model. While some researchers have posed labels for 276 BF values (???), we present these values as a continuum to allow researchers to make their 277 own decisions (Morey, 2015; Morey & Rouder, 2015). 278

NHST has also been criticized for an inability to test the null hypothesis, and thus, show evidence of the absence of an effect. Non-significant p-values are often misinterpreted

as evidence for the null hypothesis (Lakens, 2017). However, we can use the traditional 281 frequentist approach to determine if an effect is within a set of equivalence bounds. We used 282 the two one-sided tests approach to specify a range of raw-score equivalence that would be 283 considered supportive of the null hypothesis (i.e. no worthwhile effects or differences). TOST 284 are then used to determine if the values found are outside of the equivalence range. 285 Significant TOST values indicate that the effects are within the range of equivalence. We 286 used the TOSTER package (Lakens, 2017) to calculate these values, and graphics created 287 from this package can be found online at https://osf.io/gvx7s/. 288

The equivalence ranges are often tested by computing an expected effect size of 289 negligible range; however, the TOST for dependent t uses d_z , which can overestimate the 290 effect size of a phenomena (Cumming, 2014; Lakens, 2013). Therefore, we calculated TOST 291 tests on raw score differences to alleviate the overestimation issues. For EFA, we used a 292 change score of .10 in the loadings, as Comrey and Lee (1992) suggested loading estimation 293 ranges, such as .32 (poor) to .45 (fair) to .55 (good), and the differences in these ranges are 294 approximately .10 (as cited in Tabachnick & Fidell, 2012, p. 654). Additionally, this score 295 would amount to a small correlation change using traditional guidelines for interpretation of 296 r (Cohen, 1992). For item and total score differences, we chose a 5% change in magnitude as 297 the raw score cut off as a modest raw score change. To calculate that change for total scores, 298 we used the following formula: 290

$$(Max * N_{Questions} - Min * N_{Questions}) * Change$$

Minimum and maximum values indicate the lower and upper end of the answer choices (i.e. 1 and 7), and change represented the proportion magnitude change expected. Therefore, for total PIL scores, we proposed a change in 6 points to be significant, while LPQ scores would change 1 point to be a significant change. For item analyses, we divided the total score change by the number of items to determine how much each item should change to impact the total score a significant amount (PIL = 0.30, LPQ = .05).

Data Screening

Each dataset was analyzed separately by splitting on scale and randomization, and 307 first, all data were screened for accuracy and missing data. Participants with more than 5% 308 missing data (i.e. 2 or more items) were excluded. Data were imputed using the mice 309 package in R for participants with less than 5% of missing data (Van Buuren & 310 Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011). Next, each dataset was examined for multivariate outliers 311 using Mahalanobis distance (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2012). Each dataset was then screened for 312 multivariate assumptions of additivity, linearity, normality, homogeneity, and 313 homoscedasticity. While some data skew was present, large sample sizes allowed for the 314 assumption of normality of the sampling distribution. Information about the number of 315 excluded data points in each step is presented in Table 1. 316

317 PIL Analyses

Covariance structure was considered different (i.e. above .10; Covariance Matrices. 318 Hu & Bentler, 1999) for the randomized and not randomized forms of item order, RMSE =.14. Standardized residuals were calculated by dividing the difference in covariance tables by the variance of the differences (Hausman, 1978). While RMSE indicated partial misfit between the covariance relationships, only 3 values were significantly different using Z of 1.96 as a criterion: the variances of PIL 7 and 14. PIL 7 in a randomized form had less variance 323 $(SD^2 = 1.46)$ than the nonrandomized form $(SD^2 = 1.89)$. Likewise, PIL 14 randomized had a smaller variance $(SD^2 = 1.90)$ than the nonrandomized form $(SD^2 = 2.39)$. Questions 325 about retirement and freedom to make choices decreased in variance when they were 326 randomly presented. 327

IF YOU DO NOT CHANGE THIS WHOLE SECTION BE SURE TO TALK ABOUT
PIL 16 IS THE OTHER ONE WE MISSED.

Factor Loadings. Table 2 includes the factor loadings from the one-factor EFA analysis. These loadings were compared using a dependent t-test matched on item, and they

were not significantly different, $M_d = 0.00$, 95% CI [-0.02, 0.03], t(19) = 0.25, p = .802. The
effect size for this test was correspondingly negligible, $d_{av} = -0.02$ 95% CI [-0.45, 0.42]. The
TOST test was significant for both the lower, t(19) = 0.19, p < .001 and the upper bound, t(19) = -0.70, p < .001. This result indicated that the change score was within the
confidence band of expected negligible changes. Lastly, the BF for this test was $0.24 \pm 0.02\%$,
which indicated support for the null model.

Item Means. Table 2 includes the means and standard deviation of each item from 338 the PIL scale. The item means were compared using a dependent t-test matched on item. Item means were significantly different $M_d = -0.07, 95\%$ CI [-0.13, -0.02], t(19) = -2.91,340 p = .009. The effect size for this difference was small, $d_{av} = -0.16~95\%$ CI [-0.60, 0.29]. Even 341 though the t-test was significant, the TOST test indicated that the difference was within the 342 range of a 5% percent change in item means (0.30). The TOST test for lower bound, t(19) =343 -1.57, p < .001 and the upper bound, t(19) = -4.26, p < .001, suggested that the significant 344 t-test may be not be interpreted as a meaningful change on the item means. The BF value 345 for this test indicated 6.86 < 0.01%, which is often considered weak evidence for the 346 alternative model. Here, we find mixed results, indicating that randomization may change 347 item means for the PIL. 348

Total Scores. Total scores were created by summing the items for each participant 349 across all twenty PIL questions. The mean total score for nonrandomized testing was M =350 103.01 (SD = 18.29) with excellent reliability ($\alpha = 0.93$), while the mean for randomizing 351 testing was M = 104.48 (SD = 17.81) with excellent reliability ($\alpha = 0.92$). This difference 352 was examined with an independent t-test and was not significant, t(1,896) = -1.76, p=.079. The effect size for this difference was negligible, $d_{av}=-0.08$ 95% CI [-0.17, 0.29]. 354 We tested if scores were changed by 5% (6.00 points), and the TOST test indicated that the 355 lower, t(1897) = 5.43, p < .001 and the upper bound, t(1897) = -8.95, p < .001 were within 356 this area of null change. The BF results also supported the null model, 0.25 < 0.01%. 357

58 LPQ Analyses

Covariance Matrices. Covariance structure for the LPQ was found to be the same 359 across both randomized and nonrandomized testing, RMSE = .02. Standardized residuals 360 indicated that the covariance between items 9 and 11 were significantly different, while item 361 13 included significantly different variances. The correlation between items 9 (empty life) 362 and 11 (wondering about being alive) for randomized versions was r = .32 while the 363 correlation for nonrandomized versions was r = .51. The variance for item 13 (responsibility) 364 in a randomized version $(SD^2 = .03)$ was smaller than the variance in the nonrandomized 365 version $(SD^2 = .08)$. 366

Table 3 includes the factor loadings from the one-factor EFA Factor Loadings. 367 analysis using tetrachoric correlations. The loadings from randomized and nonrandomized versions were compared using a dependent t-test matched on item, which indicated they 369 were not significantly different, $M_d = 0.01$, 95% CI [-0.02, 0.04], t(19) = 0.97, p = .344. The difference found for this test was negligible, $d_{av} = -0.07 95\%$ CI [-0.50, 0.37]. The TOST test 371 examined if any change was within .10 change, as described earlier. The lower, t(19) = -0.52, 372 p < .001 and the upper bound, t(19) = -1.42, p < .001 were both significant, indicating that 373 the change was within the expected change. Further, in support of the null model, the BF 374 was $0.34 \pm 0.02\%$. 375

Item Means. Means and standard deviations of each item are presented in Table 3. 376 We again matched items and tested if there was a significant change using a dependent t-test. 377 The test was not significant, $M_d = 0.00, 95\%$ CI [-0.02, 0.02], t(19) = 0.26, p = .797, and 378 the corresponding effect size reflects how little these means changed, $d_{av} = 0.01$ 95% CI 379 [-0.42, 0.45]. Using a 5% change criterion, items were tested to determine if they changed 380 less than (0.05). The TOST test indicated both lower, t(19) = 0.48, p < .001 and the upper bound, t(19) = 0.04, p < .001, were within the null range. The BF also supported the null 382 model, $0.24 \pm 0.02\%$. 383

Total Scores. LPQ total scores were created by summing the items for each participant. The mean total score for randomized testing was M=14.14 (SD=4.01), with good reliability ($\alpha=0.82$), and the mean for nonrandomized testing was M=14.19 (SD=4.22) and good reliability ($\alpha=0.84$). An independent t-test indicated that the testing did not change total score, t(1,630)=0.23, p=.819. The effect size for this difference was negligible, $d_{av}=0.01$ 95% CI [-0.09, 0.45]. The TOST test indicated that the scores were withing a 5% (1.00 points) change, lower: t(1627)=5.13, p<.001 and upper: t(1627)=-4.67, p<.001. The BF results were in support of the null model, $0.06\pm0.04\%$.

392 Discussion

As technology has advanced, initial research questioned the validity of online
assessments versus paper assessments. With further investigation, several researchers
discovered measurement invariance with regard to computer surveys compared with paper
surveys (Deutskens et al., 2006; Lewis et al., 2009). However, with the addition of
technology, Fang et al. (2012a) suggested that individuals respond with more extreme scores
in online surveys than in-person surveys due to the social-desirability effect. Research on
scale invariance is mixed in results for paper and computer, and our work is a first-step on
examining survey equivalence on an individual item-level for different forms of computer
delivery.

FIX THIS PARAGRAPH The findings from the current study imply that item
randomization is a viable option for controlling any potential reactivity between questions.
First, as we analyzed the PIL, the covariance matrices were non-equivalent; the randomized
data show decreased variance for several items compared to the nonrandomized data. Since
variance provides a measure of how the data vary around the mean, decreased variance
typically results in decreased measurement error; thus, randomization has the potential to
decrease measurement error in data collection. FIX ME END. TALK ABOUT THIS MORE
IRT STYLE - THINK ABOUT HOW THIS MAY CHANGE WITH THE CORTEST

FUNCTION 110

The findings also support the null hypothesis in regards to factor loading differences 411 because the item relationship to a latent variable should not change with randomization. 412 The item means comparison resulted in significant differences between item randomization 413 and nonrandomization using p-value criterion and Bayes Factor analyses. However, the effect 414 size was small, meaning the differences were not as meaningful as the p-values and BF415 analyses posit, in addition to considering the evidentiary values of the two one-sided tests, 416 which supported the null range of expected values. Finally, the total scores showed 417 equivalence between randomization and nonrandomization which suggested that total scales 418 were not considerably impacted with or without randomization of items. 419

Analyses for the LPQ yielded somewhat similar results to those of the PIL. Pertaining 420 to covariance structures, the randomized and nonrandomized scales resulted in equivalence, 421 with a recapitulation of the PIL analysis in which variance was decreased in the randomized 422 sample for at least one item. A slight correlational difference was detected for items 9 and 11 423 in which the nonrandomized scale shows a large association between the items, while the 424 randomized scale shows a moderate association between the items. However, the presence of 425 the association remained present on both randomized and nonrandomized scales. Further 426 analyses of the factor loadings, item means, and total scores resulted in equivalence between 427 forms. Therefore, the null hypothesis was supported. Evidentiary equivalence for item means and total scores suggested that randomization of items was not disadvantaging the overall scoring structure of the scale and provides further support for randomization as a means of methodological control. The match between results for two types of answer methodologies 431 (i.e. Likert and True/False) implied that randomization can be applied across a variety of 432 scale types with similar effects. 433

Since the PIL and LPQ analyses predominately illustrated support for null effects of randomization, item randomization of scales is of practical use when there are potential concerns about item order. Randomization has been largely viewed as virtuous research

459

practice in terms of sample selection and order of stimuli presentation for years; now, we 437 must decide if item reactivity earns the same amount of caution that has been granted to 438 existing research procedures. Since we found equivalence in terms of overall scoring of the 439 PIL and LPQ, we advise that randomization should and can be used as a control mechanism, 440 in addition to the ease of comparison between the scales if one researcher decided to 441 randomize and one did not. Moreover, these results would imply that if an individual's total 442 score on the PIL or LPQ is significantly different on randomized versus nonrandomized 443 administrations, it is likely due to factors unrelated to delivery. Future research should investigate if this result is WEIRD (Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, and 445 Democratic), as this study focused on college-age students in the Midwest (Henrich, Heine, 446 & Norenzayan, 2010). As Fang et al. (2012b)'s research indicates different effects for 447 collectivistic cultures, other cultures may show different results based on randomization. Additionally, one should consider the effects of potential computer illiteracy on online surveys (Charters, 2004). 450 A second benefit to using the procedures outlined in this paper to examine for 451 differences in methodology is the simple implementation of the analyses. While our analyses 452 were performed in R, nearly all of these analyses can be performed in free point and click 453 software, such as jamovi and JASP. Multigroup confirmatory factory analyses can 454 additionally be used to analyze a very similar set of questions (covariance matrices, latent 455 loadings, item means, and latent means; Brown, 2006); however, multigroup analyses require 456 a specialized skill and knowledge set. Bayes Factor and TOST analyses are included in these 457

free programs and are easy to implement. In this paper, we have provided examples of how

to test the null hypothesis, as well as ways to include multiple forms of evidentiary value to

critically judge an analysis on facets other than p-values (Valentine et al., 2017).

461 References

```
Benjamin, D. J., Berger, J. O., Johannesson, M., Nosek, B. A., Wagenmakers, E.-J., Berk,
          R., ... Johnson, V. E. (2018). Redefine statistical significance. Nature Human
          Behaviour, 2(1), 6–10. doi:10.1038/s41562-017-0189-z
   Bethlehem, J. (2010). Selection bias in web surveys. International Statistical Review, 78(2),
          161–188. doi:10.1111/j.1751-5823.2010.00112.x
466
   Brown, T. (2006). Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research. New York, NY: The
          Guilford Press.
   Buchanan, E. M., Valentine, K. D., & Schulenberg, S. E. (2014). Exploratory and
          Confirmatory Factor Analysis: Developing the Purpose in Life Test-Short Form. In P.
          Bindle (Ed.), SAGE research methods cases. London, United Kingdom: SAGE
471
          Publications, Ltd. doi:10.4135/978144627305013517794
472
   Buchanan, E. M., Valentine, K. D., & Scofield, J. E. (2017). MOTE. Retrieved from
473
          https://github.com/doomlab/MOTE
   Buchanan, T., Ali, T., Heffernan, T., Ling, J., Parrott, A., Rodgers, J., & Scholey, A. (2005).
          Nonequivalence of on-line and paper-and-pencil psychological tests: The case of the
476
          prospective memory questionnaire. Behavior Research Methods, 37(1), 148–154.
477
          doi:10.3758/BF03206409
478
   Buhrmester, M., Kwang, T., & Gosling, S. D. (2011). Amazon's Mechanical Turk: A new
479
          source of inexpensive, yet high-quality, data? Perspectives on Psychological Science,
480
          6(1), 3-5. doi:10.1177/1745691610393980
481
   Cantrell, M. A., & Lupinacci, P. (2007). Methodological issues in online data collection.
482
          Journal of Advanced Nursing, 60(5), 544-549. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04448.x
483
   Charters, E. (2004). New perspectives on popular culture, science and technology: Web
484
          browsers and the new illiteracy. College Quarterly, 7(1), 1–13.
485
```

Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. Psychological Bulletin, 112(1), 155–159.

486

```
doi:10.1037//0033-2909.112.1.155
487
   Comrey, A. L., & Lee, H. B. (1992). A first course in factor analysis, 2nd ed. (pp. xii,
488
          430-xii, 430). doi:10.1037/0011756
   Cook, C., Heath, F., & Thompson, R. L. (2000). A meta-analysis of response rates in Web-
490
           or Internet-based surveys. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 60(6),
491
          821–836. doi:10.1177/00131640021970934
492
   Cribbie, R. A., Gruman, J. A., & Arpin-Cribbie, C. A. (2004). Recommendations for
493
           applying tests of equivalence. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 60(1), 1–10.
494
          doi:10.1002/jclp.10217
495
   Cronk, B. C., & West, J. L. (2002). Personality research on the Internet: A comparison of
          Web-based and traditional instruments in take-home and in-class settings. Behavior
497
          Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 34(2), 177–180.
498
          doi:10.3758/BF03195440
499
   Crumbaugh, J. C., & Maholick, L. T. (1964). An experimental study in existentialism: The
500
          psychometric approach to Frankl's concept ofnoogenic neurosis. Journal of Clinical
501
          Psychology, 20(2), 200-207.
502
          doi:10.1002/1097-4679(196404)20:2<200::AID-JCLP2270200203>3.0.CO;2-U
503
   Cumming, G. (2014). The new statistics: Why and how. Psychological Science, 25(1), 7–29.
504
          doi:10.1177/0956797613504966
505
   De Leeuw, E. D., & Hox, J. J. (1988). The effects of response-stimulating factors on response
506
          rates and data quality in mail surveys: A test of Dillman's total design method.
507
          Journal of Official Statistics, 4(3), 241–249.
508
   Deutskens, E., Ruyter, K. de, & Wetzels, M. (2006). An Assessment of Equivalence Between
           Online and Mail Surveys in Service Research. Journal of Service Research, 8(4),
510
          346–355. doi:10.1177/1094670506286323
511
   DeVellis, R. F. (2016). Scale Development: Theory and Applications, 4th Edition (Vol. 26).
512
   Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2008). Internet, mail, and mixed-mode
513
```

```
surveys: The tailored design method (3rd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
514
           doi:10.2307/41061275
515
   Fang, J., Wen, C., & Pavur, R. (2012a). Participation willingness in web surveys: Exploring
516
           effect of sponsoring corporation's and survey provider's reputation. Cyberpsychology,
517
           Behavior, and Social Networking, 15(4), 195-199. doi:10.1089/cyber.2011.0411
   Fang, J., Wen, C., & Prybutok, V. R. (2012b). An assessment of equivalence between
519
           Internet and paper-based surveys: evidence from collectivistic cultures. Quality \mathcal{E}
520
           Quantity, 48(1), 493–506. doi:10.1007/s11135-012-9783-3
521
    Frick, A., Bächtiger, M. T., & Reips, U.-D. (2001). Financial incentives, personal
522
           information and dropout in online studies. In U.-D. Reips & M. Bosnjak (Eds.),
523
           Dimensions of internet science (pp. 209–219).
524
    Gramacy, R. B., & Pantaleoy, E. (2010). Shrinkage regression for multivariate inference with
525
           missing data, and an application to portfolio balancing. Bayesian Analysis, 5(2),
526
          237–262. doi:10.1214/10-BA602
   Hausman, J. A. (1978). Specification tests in Econometrics. Econometrica, 46(6), 1251.
528
           doi:10.2307/1913827
529
   Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world?
           Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 33(2-3), 61-83. doi:10.1017/S0140525X0999152X
   Hox, J. J., & De Leeuw, E. D. (1994). A comparison of nonresponse in mail, telephone, and
532
           face-to-face surveys. Quality and Quantity, 28(4), 329-344. doi:10.1007/BF01097014
533
   Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure
           analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Structural Equation Modeling:
535
           A Multidisciplinary Journal, 6(1), 1–55. doi:10.1080/10705519909540118
536
   Hutzell, R. (1988). A review of the Purpose in Life Test. International Forum for
537
           Logotherapy, 11(2), 89–101.
538
```

Ilieva, J., Baron, S., & Healy, N. M. (2002). On-line surveys in international marketing

```
research: Pros and cons. International Journal of Market Research, 44(3), 361–376.
540
   Joinson, A. (1999). Social desirability, anonymity, and Internet-based questionnaires.
          Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 31(3), 433–438.
          doi:10.3758/BF03200723
   Keppel, G., & Wickens, T. (2004). Design and Analysis: A Researcher's Handbook (4th ed.).
544
           Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
545
   Lakens, D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: A
546
          practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. Frontiers in Psychology, 4.
547
          doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00863
548
   Lakens, D. (2017). Equivalence tests. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 8(4),
          355–362. doi:10.1177/1948550617697177
550
   Lakens, D., Adolfi, F. G., Albers, C. J., Anvari, F., Apps, M. A. J., Argamon, S. E., ...
551
           Zwaan, R. A. (n.d.). Justify Your Alpha. Nature Human Behaviour.
552
          doi:10.17605/OSF.IO/9S3Y6
553
   Lewis, I., Watson, B., & White, K. M. (2009). Internet versus paper-and-pencil survey
554
          methods in psychological experiments: Equivalence testing of participant responses to
555
          health-related messages. Australian Journal of Psychology, 61(2), 107–116.
556
          doi:10.1080/00049530802105865
557
   Media. (2016). The Total Audience Report: Q1 2016.
558
   Melton, A. M. A., & Schulenberg, S. E. (2008). On the measurement of meaning:
559
           Logotherapy's empirical contributions to humanistic psychology. The Humanistic
560
          Psychologist, 36(1), 31-44. doi:10.1080/08873260701828870
561
   Meyerson, P., & Tryon, W. W. (2003). Validating Internet research: A test of the
562
          psychometric equivalence of Internet and in-person samples. Behavior Research
563
          Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 35(4), 614–620. doi:10.3758/BF03195541
564
   Morey, R. D. (2015). On verbal categories for the interpretation of Bayes factors. Retrieved
565
          from http:
566
```

```
//bayesfactor.blogspot.com/2015/01/on-verbal-categories-for-interpretation.html
567
   Morey, R. D., & Rouder, J. N. (2015). BayesFactor: Computation of Bayes Factors for
568
          common designs. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/package=BayesFactor
   Musch, J., & Reips, U.-D. (2000). A brief history of web experimenting. In M. H. Birnbaum
570
          (Ed.), Psychological experiments on the internet (pp. 61–87). Elsevier.
571
          doi:10.1016/B978-012099980-4/50004-6
572
   Nosek, B. A., Banaji, M. R., & Greenwald, A. G. (2002). E-Research: Ethics, security,
          design, and control in psychological research on the Internet. Journal of Social Issues,
574
          58(1), 161–176. doi:10.1111/1540-4560.00254
575
   Olson, K. (2010). An examination of questionnaire evaluation by expert reviewers. Field
576
          Methods, 22(4), 295–318. doi:10.1177/1525822X10379795
577
   Preacher, K. J., & MacCallum, R. C. (2003). Repairing Tom Swift's Electric Factor Analysis
578
          Machine. Understanding Statistics, 2(1), 13-43. doi:10.1207/S15328031US0201 02
579
   Reips, U.-D. (2002). Standards for Internet-based experimenting. Experimental Psychology,
          49(4), 243–256. doi:10.1026//1618-3169.49.4.243
581
   Reips, U.-D. (2012). Using the Internet to collect data. In APA handbook of research
582
          methods in psychology, vol 2: Research designs: Quantitative, qualitative,
583
          neuropsychological, and biological. (Vol. 2, pp. 291–310). Washington: American
584
          Psychological Association. doi:10.1037/13620-017
585
   Rogers, J. L., Howard, K. I., & Vessey, J. T. (1993). Using significance tests to evaluate
          equivalence between two experimental groups. Psychological Bulletin, 113(3),
587
           553–565. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.113.3.553
588
   Rouder, J. N., Speckman, P. L., Sun, D., Morey, R. D., & Iverson, G. (2009). Bayesian t
580
           tests for accepting and rejecting the null hypothesis. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review,
590
          16(2), 225–237. doi:10.3758/PBR.16.2.225
591
```

Sanou, B. (2017, July). ICT Facts and Figures 2017. Retrieved from http:

```
//www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/ICTFactsFigures2017.pdf
593
   Schuirmann, D. J. (1987). A comparison of the Two One-Sided Tests Procedure and the
594
           Power Approach for assessing the equivalence of average bioavailability. Journal of
          Pharmacokinetics and Biopharmaceutics, 15(6), 657–680. doi:10.1007/BF01068419
596
   Schuldt, B. a., & Totten, J. W. (1994). Electronic mail vs. mail survey response rates.
597
          Marketing Research, 6, 36–39.
598
   Schulenberg, S. E. (2004). A psychometric investigation of logotherapy measures and the
599
           Outcome Questionnaire (OQ-45.2). North American Journal of Psychology, 6(3),
600
          477 - 492.
601
   Schulenberg, S. E., & Melton, A. M. A. (2010). A confirmatory factor-analytic evaluation of
602
          the purpose in life test: Preliminary psychometric support for a replicable two-factor
603
          model. Journal of Happiness Studies, 11(1), 95–111. doi:10.1007/s10902-008-9124-3
604
   Schulenberg, S. E., & Yutrzenka, B. A. (1999). The equivalence of computerized and
605
          paper-and-pencil psychological instruments: Implications for measures of negative
606
          affect. Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 31(2), 315–321.
607
          doi:10.3758/BF03207726
608
   Schulenberg, S. E., & Yutrzenka, B. A. (2001). Equivalence of computerized and
609
          conventional versions of the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II). Current
610
          Psychology, 20(3), 216–230. doi:10.1007/s12144-001-1008-1
   Schulenberg, S. E., Schnetzer, L. W., & Buchanan, E. M. (2011). The Purpose in Life
612
          Test-Short Form: Development and Psychometric Support. Journal of Happiness
613
          Studies, 12(5), 861–876. doi:10.1007/s10902-010-9231-9
614
   Smithson, M. (2001). Correct confidence intervals for various regression effect sizes and
615
           parameters: The importance of noncentral distributions in computing intervals.
616
           Educational and Psychological Measurement, 61(4), 605–632.
617
          doi:10.1177/00131640121971392
618
   Smyth, J. D. (2006). Comparing check-all and forced-choice question formats in web surveys.
```

```
Public Opinion Quarterly, 70(1), 66–77. doi:10.1093/pog/nfj007
620
   Strack, K. M., & Schulenberg, S. E. (2009). Understanding empowerment, meaning, and
621
           perceived coercion in individuals with serious mental illness. Journal of Clinical
622
          Psychology, 65(10), 1137–1148. doi:10.1002/jclp.20607
623
   Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2012). Using Multivariate Statistics (6th ed.). Boston,
624
           MA: Pearson.
625
    Tourangeau, R., Rips, L. J., & Rasinski, K. (1999). The psychology of survey response.
626
           Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
627
    Valentine, K. D., Buchanan, E. M., Scofield, J. E., & Beauchamp, M. (2017). Beyond
628
          p-values: Utilizing Multiple Estimates to Evaluate Evidence.
629
          doi:10.17605/osf.io/9hp7y
630
    Van Buuren, S., & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. (2011). mice: Multivariate Imputation by
           Chained Equations in R. Journal of Statistical Software, 45(3), 1–67.
632
          doi:10.18637/jss.v045.i03
633
    Weigold, A., Weigold, I. K., & Russell, E. J. (2013). Examination of the equivalence of
634
          self-report survey-based paper-and-pencil and internet data collection methods.
635
          Psychological Methods, 18(1), 53–70. doi:10.1037/a0031607
636
    Worthington, R. L., & Whittaker, T. a. (2006). Scale development research: A content
637
          analysis and recommendations for best practices. The Counseling Psychologist, 34(6),
638
          806-838. doi:10.1177/0011000006288127
639
```

Table 1

Demographic and Data Screening Information

Group	Female	White	Age (SD)	Original N	Missing N	Outlier N
PIL Random	61.6	81.1	19.50 (2.93)	1462	333	59
PIL Not Random	54.1	78.6	19.68 (3.58)	915	51	36
LPQ Random	-	-	-	1462	555	24
LPQ Not Random	-	-	-	915	150	16

Note. Participants took both the PIL and LPQ scale, therefore, random and not random demographics are the same. Not every participant was given the LPQ, resulting in missing data for those subjects. Several PIL participants were removed because they were missing an item on their scale.

 $\label{thm:continuous} \begin{tabular}{ll} Table 2 \\ Item Statistics for the PIL Scale \\ \end{tabular}$

Item	FL-R	FL-NR	M-R	M-NR	SD-R	SD-NR
1	.667	.638	4.829	4.806	1.279	1.278
2	.679	.572	4.929	4.600	1.437	1.452
3	.685	.671	5.815	5.732	1.124	1.101
4	.839	.847	5.673	5.655	1.300	1.285
5	.639	.574	4.666	4.407	1.496	1.497
6	.674	.685	5.425	5.338	1.308	1.400
7	.424	.439	6.172	6.081	1.207	1.373
8	.626	.596	5.014	5.011	1.092	1.139
9	.823	.796	5.355	5.327	1.176	1.198
10	.723	.764	5.202	5.156	1.502	1.543
11	.775	.796	5.222	5.165	1.629	1.621
12	.604	.649	4.496	4.527	1.570	1.600
13	.429	.403	5.745	5.738	1.244	1.216
14	.449	.421	5.431	5.239	1.377	1.547
15	.081	.211	4.376	4.149	1.941	1.884
16	.547	.554	5.099	5.266	1.983	1.861
17	.720	.735	5.422	5.399	1.393	1.404
18	.483	.501	5.387	5.302	1.474	1.593
19	.678	.721	4.879	4.907	1.412	1.455
20	.782	.810	5.343	5.210	1.314	1.289

Note. FL = Factor Loadings, M = Mean, SD = Standard Deviation, R = Random, NR = Not Random

Table 3 $Item\ Statistics\ for\ the\ LPQ\ Scale$

Item	FL-R	FL-NR	M-R	M-NR	SD-R	SD-NR
1	.675	.682	.567	.613	.496	.487
2	.900	.870	.754	.760	.431	.428
3	.503	.394	.864	.844	.343	.363
4	.730	.685	.908	.868	.289	.339
5	.687	.682	.419	.507	.494	.500
6	.502	.555	.638	.582	.481	.494
7	.193	.286	.775	.810	.418	.392
8	.555	.471	.482	.467	.500	.499
9	.856	.911	.810	.781	.393	.414
10	.592	.620	.635	.646	.482	.478
11	.636	.760	.727	.761	.446	.427
12	.687	.758	.787	.752	.410	.432
13	.314	.399	.965	.911	.184	.286
14	.486	.486	.762	.769	.426	.422
15	.046	.102	.323	.395	.468	.489
16	.700	.707	.863	.872	.344	.335
17	.514	.502	.847	.814	.360	.389
18	.558	.511	.830	.828	.376	.378
19	.675	.717	.463	.497	.499	.500
20	.644	.618	.721	.712	.449	.453

Note. FL = Factor Loadings, M = Mean, SD = Standard Deviation, R = Random, NR = Not Random