OPEN PRACTICES DISCLOSURE

PLEASE COMPLETE AND RETURN TO EDITORIALOFFICE@PSYCHOLOGICALSCIENCE.ORG

Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science	MS #:	AMPPS-	Corresponding author:			
Fractices in Fsychological Science	#:		autiloi:			
scientific practices: publicly available of	lata, r	naterial, or prer	rychological Science, are eligible to earn badges that recognize open registered research plans. Please read more about the badges on mation in the Open Science Framework wiki and FAQ.			
\square Please check this box if you are not interested in participating.						
If you choose to participate, this form will be posted with your article as supplemental online material.						
To apply for one or more badges acknowledging open practices, please check the appropriate box(es) below and provide the information requested in the relevant sections. You will not qualify for a badge for a given item unless you can provide a URL, doi, or other permanent path for accessing the specified information in a public, open-access repository. Qualifying public, open-access repositories are committed to preserving data, materials, and/or registered analysis plans and keeping them publicly accessible via the web into perpetuity. Files must be registered with a time stamp and must not be able to be changed at a later time. Examples of qualifying repositories include the Open Science Framework (OSF) and the various Dataverse networks. Hundreds of other qualifying data/materials repositories are listed at http://re3data.org/ and http://databib.org/ . Preregistration of an analysis plan must take place via a publicly accessible registry system (e.g., OSF, ClinicalTrials.gov or other trial registries in the WHO Registry Network , institutional registration systems). Personal websites and most departmental websites do not qualify as repositories.						
Authors who wish to publicly post third-party material in their data, materials, or preregistration plan must have the proper authority or permission agreement in order to do so.						
There are circumstances in which it is not possible or advisable to share any or all data, materials, or a research plan publicly. For example, there are cases in which sharing participants' data could violate confidentiality. If you would like your article to include an explanation of such circumstances and/or provide links to any data or materials you have made available—even if not under conditions eligible to earn a badge—you may write an alternative note that will be published in the Open Practices note in the article. Please check this box if you would like your article to include an alternative note and provide the text of the note below:						
☐ Alternative Note:						
☐ Application for Open Data Badge						
1. Provide the URL, doi, or other ${m p}$	erma	inent path for a	accessing the data in a public, open-access repository :			
Confirm that there is suf results , including codeb			or an independent researcher to reproduce all of the reported			

			Confirm that you have registered the uploaded files so that they are time stamped and cannot be changed.
	Ap	plicati	ion for Open Materials Badge
	1.	Provid	de the URL, doi, or other permanent path for accessing the materials in a public, open-access repository :
			Confirm that there is sufficient information for an independent researcher to reproduce all of the reported methodology .
			Confirm that you have registered the uploaded files so that they are time stamped and cannot be changed.
	$\mathbf{A}_{]}$	pplica	ation for Preregistered Badge
	1.	Provid	de the URL, doi, or other permanent path to the public registration in a public, open-access repository .*
	2.	Was tl	he analysis plan registered prior to examination of the data or observing the outcomes? If no, explain.**
	3.	Were	there additional registrations for the study other than the one reported? If yes, provide links and explain.*
	4.	Were	there any changes to the preregistered analysis plan for the primary confirmatory analysis? If yes, explain.**
	5.	Are al	ll of the analyses described in the registered plan reported in the article? If no, explain.*
		answe **If th postda chang	adge will be awarded if (1) is not provided, or if (3) is answered "yes" without strong justification, or if (5) is ered "no" without strong justification. The answer to (2) is "no," the notation DE (Data Exist) will be added to the badge, indicating that registration ates realization of the outcomes but predates analysis. If the answer to (4) is "yes" with strong justification for ges, the notation TC (Transparent Changes) will be added to the badge, indicating that the analysis plan was ed but the preregistered analyses and rationale for the change are provided.
rep	rodı	iced or	ow, authors affirm that the above information is accurate and complete, that any third-party material has been otherwise made available only with the permission of the original author or copyright holder, and that publicly not contain information that would allow individuals to be identified without consent.
Na	me:		Date: