```
2014121136
```

구한모 Hanmo Ku

1.

a) if  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  and  $g(n) \in O(f(n))$ , then f(n) <= cg(n) and g(n) <= c'f(n). g(n) <= is equivalent to that 1/c' \* g(n) <= f(n). Therefore 1/c' \* g(n) <= c g(n) and  $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ 

b) If  $f(n) = 5n^2 + 3n + 2$  then  $f(n) \in O(n^2)$  and  $f(n) \in \Omega$  ( $n^2$ ). If  $g(n) = 4n^2+12n+8n^{(1/2)}$  then  $g(n) \in O(n^2)$  and  $g(n) \in \Omega$  ( $n^2$ ). Therefore c1, c2 exists such that  $g(n) <= c2n^2$  and c1n^ <= g(n). This is equivalent to that 1/c1  $g(n) <= n^2$  and  $n^2 <= 1/c2$  g(n). Also, c3, c4 exists such that  $g(n) >= c3n^2$  and  $g(n) <= c4n^2$ . Therefore c3/c1 g(n) <= g(n) and c4/c2 g(n) >= g(n). Therefore  $g(n) \in O(g(n))$  and  $g(n) \in O(g(n))$ .

2.

Let's assume that the size of the list of objects L is n. At the worst case, the comparing operation happens for (1+2+...+(n-2)+(n-1)) times, which is n\*(n-1)/2 times. So the complexity is  $O((n^2)*(k^2))$ 

3.

Algorithm : Recursive Multiply

Input: integer x, y

Ouput: multiplication of x and y

if y is 1 return x;

else if y is -1 return -x;

else if y>1

return Recursive Multiply (x, y-1) + x;

else if y<-1

return Recursive Multiply (-x, -y);

```
4.
a)
Algorithm : Spliting List
Input : linked list L, index i
Output : linked list X and Y
Lsize ← the size of L;
set L(i+1) as a head of X;
set tail of L as a tail of X;
set the size of X as Lsize – i -1;
set Li as a tail of L and link Li to null;
set the size of L as i+1;
y←L;
return X and Y;
the running time is O(n) (At the worst case, searching for L(i+1) operates for n times)
b) O(n)
```

Algorithm : Perfect Tree dertermination

Input : Tree T and integer k

Output : the tree level p of tree T which makes T as perfect Tree at the level p by removing some nodes.

If number of the children of the root of tree T < k

Return 1;

Else

min ← the depth of the tree T

for i**€**0 to k-1

Tt is subtree of which the root is the i-indexed child of the root of the tree T

Temp ← call Perfect Tree determination(Tt, k)

If temp<min, min←temp;

return min+1

a)

Assume that the relation given is a total order relation, then the relation is valid for any real number x1, x2, y1, y2, z1, z2 where point a = (x1, y1, z1) and b = (x2, y2, z2). Let a be a = (1, 0, 0) and b be b = (0, 0, 1). Since a and b belong to the total order relation and a != b, |a| must not be equal to |b|. However  $|a| = (1+0+0)^{(1/2)} = 1$  and  $|b| = (0+1+0)^{(1/2)} = 1$ , so |a| = |b| therefore, the relation given in the problem is not a total order relation.

