

# PROFICIENCY TEST IN MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING ACCORDING TO MITI 4.2.1

Recording id:	Name:	Target:	Date:
46489076	Alison Carrillo	Reduce use of opioids	2024-03-04

## **Global Ratings**

Technical Components	
Cultivating Change Talk This rating reflects your use of MI skills to highlight and strengthen the	(1-5)
patient's own reasons for making a change.	2
Softening Sustain Talk This rating reflects your use of MI skills to validate the patient's	(1-5)
barriers to change and guide them to consider possible reasons for change.	4
Relational Components	
Partnership  This rating reflects your use of skills designed to build a collaborative working relationship with your patient, consistent with the Spirit of MI.	(1-5)
working relationship with your patient, consistent with the Spirit of Mil.	3
Empathy This rating reflects your use of skills designed to express warmth and	(1-5)
understanding of your patient within their unique circumstances, consistent with the Spirit of MI.	2

Behaviour Counts	Total
Giving information	4
Persuade	0
Persuade with Permission	1
Questions	11



Simple Reflection	1
Complex Reflection	4
Affirm	1
Seeking Collaboration	0
Emphasizing Autonomy	0
Confront	0

### Summary of indices of coded skills demonstrated in the interview

Indices of proficiency		Level	
		Fair	Good
Simple + Complex Reflections Questions	= 0.45	(≥1.0)	(≥2.0)
This is the ratio of your reflections to your questions.  Within MI, we aim for reflections to exceed questions, ideally with 3 or 4 (or more) reflections for every question.			
Complex Reflections Simple + Complex Reflections	= 0.80	(≥0.40)	(≥.50)
This is the ratio of your complex reflections to your total number of reflections. Generally speaking, having a higher percentage of complex reflections suggests a better quality of MI interaction.			
Total MI Adherent = Seeking Collaboration + Affirm + Emphazising Autonomy	= 1		
This is the total number of utterances or interactions within the encounter that were consistent with MI			
Total MI Non Adherent = Confront + Persuade	= 0		
This is the total number of utterances or interaction within the encounter that were inconsistent with MI			



Relational Component: <u>Empathy + Partnership</u> 2	= 2.50	(≥3.5)	(≥4.0)
Technical Component: <u>Cultivate Change talk + Softening Sustain talk</u> 2	= 3.00	(≥3.0)	(≥4.0)

## Guidelines on the meaning of Empathy and Partnership

Value	Proficient in relational skills	Things to consider in future use of MI
1 – 2.5	Low	Risk of not being able to help the clients
2.5 – 3.5	Fair	Continued training is recommended
3.5 - 5.0	Good	Likely helpful for clients

## Guidelines on the meaning of technical variables

Value	Proficient in technical skills	Things to consider in future use of MI
1 – 2.5	Low	Risk of not being able to help the clients
3.0	Fair	Continued training is recommended
3.5 – 5.0	Good	Likely helpful for clients



#### Clinician strengths:

If the clinician had asked about the benefits of making a change and explored the reasons, it would have affected the Cultivated Change Talk Score positively.

If the clinician had involved the client in the decision making by Seeking Collaboration as well as emphasized the client autonomy and control, it would have affected the Partnership Score positively.

If some questions had been rephrased as reflections and particularly as Complex reflections the Empathy score had been affected in a positive way and also the Partnership score given the client more space for how to respond.

#### Important areas for improvement:

The clinician is leading into the reasons for making a change by asking, for example 10:10 "Do you feel like that is..." It affects the Cultivating Change Talk Score positively.

The clinician is leading into the reasons for making a change by doing a Complex Reflection, for example 11:40 "I hear you talk about...but you're also really concerned..." It directs the consultation towards change and affects the Softening Sustain Talk Score positively.

The clinician is trying to understand her client's thoughts and feelings by doing a Complex Reflection, for example 15:30 "It sounds really..." It affects the Empathy Score positively.

The clinician is enhancing the client's ambitions by doing an Affirm, for example 20:15 "I'm glad...and that you're willing to consider that..." It affects the Partnership Score positively.

#### How was the coding performed?

The interview between the practitioner and the client (or actor) is reliably assessed according to a manual developed and validated for assessing how well MI is performed. The assessment is made by professional coders at MIC Lab whose reliability is regularly checked.