

PROFICIENCY TEST IN MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING ACCORDING TO MITI 4.2.1

Recording id:	Name:	Target:	Date:
46489057	Martha Humphreys	Smoking cessation	2024-03-04

Global Ratings

Technical Components	
Cultivating Change Talk This rating reflects your use of MI skills to highlight and strengthen the	(1-5)
patient's own reasons for making a change.	2
Softening Sustain Talk This rating reflects your use of MI skills to validate the patient's	(1-5)
barriers to change and guide them to consider possible reasons for change.	4
Relational Components	
Partnership This rating reflects your use of skills designed to build a collaborative	(1-5)
working relationship with your patient, consistent with the Spirit of MI.	2
Empathy This rating reflects your use of skills designed to express warmth and	(1-5)
understanding of your patient within their unique circumstances, consistent with the Spirit of MI.	1

Behaviour Counts	Total
Giving information	14
Persuade	3
Persuade with Permission	0
Questions	15



Simple Reflection	1
Complex Reflection	1
Affirm	2
Seeking Collaboration	0
Emphasizing Autonomy	0
Confront	0

Summary of indices of coded skills demonstrated in the interview

Indices of proficiency		Level	
		Fair	Good
Simple + Complex Reflections Questions	= 0.13	(≥1.0)	(≥2.0)
This is the ratio of your reflections to your questions. Within MI, we aim for reflections to exceed questions, ideally with 3 or 4 (or more) reflections for every question.			
Complex Reflections Simple + Complex Reflections	= 0.50	(≥0.40)	(≥.50)
This is the ratio of your complex reflections to your total number of reflections. Generally speaking, having a higher percentage of complex reflections suggests a better quality of MI interaction.			
Total MI Adherent = Seeking Collaboration + Affirm + Emphazising Autonomy	= 2		
This is the total number of utterances or interactions within the encounter that were consistent with MI			
Total MI Non Adherent = Confront + Persuade	= 3		
This is the total number of utterances or interaction within the encounter that were inconsistent with MI			



Relational Component: <u>Empathy + Partnership</u> 2	= 1.50	(≥3.5)	(≥4.0)
Technical Component: <u>Cultivate Change talk + Softening Sustain talk</u> 2	= 3.00	(≥3.0)	(≥4.0)

Guidelines on the meaning of Empathy and Partnership

Value	Proficient in relational skills	Things to consider in future use of MI
1 – 2.5	Low	Risk of not being able to help the clients
2.5 – 3.5	Fair	Continued training is recommended
3.5 - 5.0	Good	Likely helpful for clients

Guidelines on the meaning of technical variables

Value	Proficient in technical skills	Things to consider in future use of MI
1 – 2.5	Low	Risk of not being able to help the clients
3.0	Fair	Continued training is recommended
3.5 – 5.0	Good	Likely helpful for clients



Clinician strengths:

More reflections on the client's thoughts and feeling would have affected the Empathy score in a positive way. If some questions had been rephrased as reflections and particularly as Complex reflections the Empathy score had been affected in a positive way.

The clinician is giving advice without asking for permission by doing a Persuade, for example "...Maybe even that might be a good time to poke a pice of nicotine gum instead of a cigarette" (10:58) and "...one thought I have is to decreasing your cigarettes by one or two a day ..." (23:01) which have negative impact on the Partnership score.

The counsellor misses opportunities to encourage the client to elaborate on some potentially important reasons for change, eg (12:42) when he talks about his son and (18:43) when he says that he might sign up in a group" which affect the Cultivating Change Talk score negatively.

Important areas for improvement:

The counselor asks several evoking questions, eg "What are your biggest concerns around smoking" (11:22) and "If you were going to quit smoking, how might your life be different" (14:04). This contributed to the rating of the Cultivating Change talk score in a positive way.

The counselor uses some Affirm utterances, for example "...that is wonderful..." (16:27) and "I think that is a wonderful plan" (22:19). These affect the rating of the Partnership score positively.

The counselor had a Seeking Collaboration utterance, "Do you have any thought of what I said" (07:25) which affect the Partnership score positively.



How was the coding performed?

The interview between the practitioner and the client (or actor) is reliably assessed according to a manual developed and validated for assessing how well MI is performed. The assessment is made by professional coders at MIC Lab whose reliability is regularly checked.