

PROFICIENCY TEST IN MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING ACCORDING TO MITI 4.2.1

Recording id:	Name:	Target:	Date:
46489075	Muna Sharif	Smoking cessation	2024-03-14

Global Ratings

Technical Components	
Cultivating Change Talk This rating reflects your use of MI skills to highlight and strengthen the	(1-5)
patient's own reasons for making a change.	2
Softening Sustain Talk This rating reflects your use of MI skills to validate the patient's	(1-5)
barriers to change and guide them to consider possible reasons for change.	2
Relational Components	
Partnership This rating reflects your use of skills designed to build a collaborative working relationship with your patient, consistent with the Spirit of MI.	(1-5)
	2
Empathy This rating reflects your use of skills designed to express warmth and	(1-5)
understanding of your patient within their unique circumstances, consistent with the Spirit of MI.	2

Behaviour Counts	Total
Giving information	9
Persuade	3
Persuade with Permission	0
Questions	33



Simple Reflection	3
Complex Reflection	4
Affirm	3
Seeking Collaboration	0
Emphasizing Autonomy	0
Confront	0

Summary of indices of coded skills demonstrated in the interview

Indices of proficiency		Level	
		Fair	Good
Simple + Complex Reflections Questions	= 0.21	(≥1.0)	(≥2.0)
This is the ratio of your reflections to your questions. Within MI, we aim for reflections to exceed questions, ideally with 3 or 4 (or more) reflections for every question.			
Complex Reflections Simple + Complex Reflections	= 0.57	(≥0.40)	(≥.50)
This is the ratio of your complex reflections to your total number of reflections. Generally speaking, having a higher percentage of complex reflections suggests a better quality of MI interaction.			
Total MI Adherent = Seeking Collaboration + Affirm + Emphazising Autonomy	= 3		
This is the total number of utterances or interactions within the encounter that were consistent with MI			
Total MI Non Adherent = Confront + Persuade	= 3		
This is the total number of utterances or interaction within the encounter that were inconsistent with MI			



Relational Component: <u>Empathy + Partnership</u> 2	= 2.00	(≥3.5)	(≥4.0)
Technical Component: <u>Cultivate Change talk + Softening Sustain talk</u> 2	= 2.00	(≥3.0)	(≥4.0)

Guidelines on the meaning of Empathy and Partnership

Value	Proficient in relational skills	Things to consider in future use of MI
1 – 2.5	Low	Risk of not being able to help the clients
2.5 – 3.5	Fair	Continued training is recommended
3.5 - 5.0	Good	Likely helpful for clients

Guidelines on the meaning of technical variables

Value	Proficient in technical skills	Things to consider in future use of MI
1 – 2.5	Low	Risk of not being able to help the clients
3.0	Fair	Continued training is recommended
3.5 – 5.0	Good	Likely helpful for clients



Clinician strengths:

If some questions had been rephrased as reflections and particularly as Complex reflections the Empathy score had been affected in a positive way and also the Partnership score given the client more space for how to respond.

The clinician asks about reasons to smoke, for example 04:00 "What triggers you to smoke..." It directs the consultation towards continue to smoke and affects the Softening Sustain Talk Score negatively.

The clinician is giving advice without asking for permission by doing a Persuade, for example 11:18 "I think it's better..." It affects the Partnership Score negatively.

The clinician is giving advice without asking for permission by doing a Persuade, for example 14:25 "Do you think that..." It affects the Partnership Score negatively.

If the clinician had involved the client in the decision making by Seeking Collaboration, it would have affected the Partnership Score positively.

Important areas for improvement:

The clinician is enhancing the client's previous efforts by doing an Affirm, for example 03:40 "Great that..." It affects the Partnership Score positively.

The clinician asks about reasons to quit smoking, for example 05:00 "Anything that could motivate you..." It affects the Cultivating Change Talk Score positively.

The clinician is enhancing the client's ambitions by doing an Affirm, for example 06:10 "So I see you want to be a..." It affects the Partnership Score positively.

How was the coding performed?

The interview between the practitioner and the client (or actor) is reliably assessed according to a manual developed and validated for assessing how well MI is performed. The assessment is made by professional coders at MIC Lab whose reliability is regularly checked.