

PROFICIENCY TEST IN MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING ACCORDING TO MITI 4.2.1

Recording id: {{id}}}	Name: {	{name}	}}	,
-----------------------	---------	--------	----	---

Date: {{qa_completed_date}} Target Change:{{target}}

Global Ratings

Technical Components					
Cultivating Change Talk	1	2	3	4	5
This rating reflects your use of MI skills to highlight and					
strengthen the patient's own reasons for making a change.	1				
Softening Sustain Talk	1	2	3	4	5
This rating reflects your use of MI skills to validate the					
patient's barriers to change and guide them to consider					
possible reasons for change.					
Relational Componer	nts				
Relational Componer Partnership	nts	2	3	4	5
•	П .	2	3	4	5
Partnership	П .	2	3	4	5
Partnership This rating reflects your use of skills designed to build a	П .	2	3	4	5
Partnership This rating reflects your use of skills designed to build a collaborative working relationship with your patient,	П .	2	3	4	5
Partnership This rating reflects your use of skills designed to build a collaborative working relationship with your patient, consistent with the Spirit of MI.	П .			·	
Partnership This rating reflects your use of skills designed to build a collaborative working relationship with your patient, consistent with the Spirit of MI. Empathy	П .			·	

Behaviour Counts	Total
Giving information	
Persuade	
Persuade with Permission	
Questions	
Simple Reflection	
Complex Reflection	
Affirm	
Seeking Collaboration	
Emphasizing Autonomy	
Confront	



Summary of indices of coded skills demonstrated in the interview

Indices of proficiency		Le	vel
		Fair	Good
Simple + Complex Reflections Questions	=	(≥1.0)	(≥2.0)
This is the ratio of your reflections to your questions. Within MI, we aim for reflections to exceed questions, ideally with 3 or 4 (or more) reflections for every question.			
<u>Complex Reflections</u> Simple + Complex Reflections	=	(≥0.40)	(≥.50)
This is the ratio of your complex reflections to your total number of reflections. Generally speaking, having a higher percentage of complex reflections suggests a better quality of MI interaction.			
Total MI Adherent = Seeking Collaboration + Affirm + Emphazising Autonomy	=		
This is the total number of utterances or interactions within the encounter that were consistent with MI			
Total MI Non Adherent = Confront + Persuade	=		
This is the total number of utterances or interaction within the encounter that were inconsistent with MI			
Relational Component: Empathy + Partnership 2	=	(≥3.5)	(≥4.0)
Technical Component: <u>Cultivate Change talk + Softening Sustain talk</u> 2	=	(≥3.0)	(≥4.0)



Guidelines on the meaning of Empathy and Partnership

Value	Proficient in relational skills	Things to consider in future use of MI
1 – 2.5	Low	Risk of not being able to help the clients
2.5 – 3.5	Fair	Continued training is recommended
3.5 – 5	Good	Likely helpful for clients

Guidelines on the meaning of technical variables

Value	Proficient in technical skills	Things to consider in future use of MI
1–2.5	Low	Risk of not being able to help the clients
3.0	Fair	Continued training is recommended
3.5–5	Good	Likely helpful for clients

Clinician strengths:

Important areas for improvement:

How was the coding performed?

The interview between the practitioner and the client (or actor) is reliably assessed according to a manual developed and validated for assessing how well MI is performed. The assessment is made by professional coders at MIC Lab whose reliability is regularly checked.