

PART A:

Because Scheme evaluates the arguments of a function call before the function itself, we can nest expressions inside of lambdas whose body is a single expression for begins that contain more than 1 subexpression. For example,

```
> (begin)
```

Contains zero subexpressions, and thus would be turned into my selected unspecified value:

```
> 'Nothing
```

For a begin with one subexpression,

```
> (begin (display 'a))
```

we take the subexpression and place it on its own:

```
> (display 'a)
```

For a begin with 2 or more subexpressions, we start to use nested lambda expressions. For example:

```
> (begin (display 'a) (display 'b))
```

Would be transformed into:

```
> ((lambda (moot) (display 'b)) (display 'a))
```

Where moot is a moot variable that is not used in the lambda expression. In this way, the result of evaluating `> (lambda (moot) (display 'b))` is a procedure that can evaluate with `> (display 'a)`.

Every time we wish to add a subexpression to our begin expression (as long as the begin expression has 2 or more subexpressions), we simply take what we've already constructed and drop an expression of the form: `> (lambda (moot) subexpression)` in our most nested

lambda expression, in between the moot formal and the body of the lambda expression. For example, if we wanted to transform:

```
> (begin (display 'a) (display 'b)(display 'c))
```

Into an expression without begins using my algorithm, it would proceed as follows:
Take out the first subexpression, in this case, it is > (display 'a)

```
> (display 'a)
```

Next, nest a lambda expression to the left of that subexpression as such:

```
> ((lambda (moot) (display 'b)) (display 'a))
```

Next, repeat, but place the lambda expression in between “(moot)” and “(display)”:

```
> ((lambda (moot) ((lambda (moot) (display 'c)) (display 'b))) (display 'a))
```

This technique will work for nested begins as well.

```
> (begin (display 'a) (display (cons (begin 'a) '())))
```

Becomes:

```
> ((lambda (moot) (display (cons 'a '()))) (display 'a))
```

And

```
> (begin (begin (begin )))
```

Becomes:

```
> 'Nothing
```

And

```
> (begin (display 'a) (display (list (begin 'x 'y 'z))))
```

Becomes:

```
> ((lambda (moot) (display (list ((lambda (moot) ((lambda (moot) 'z) 'y)) 'x)))) (display 'a))
```

PART B:

```
> (begin)
```

Becomes:

```
> 'Nothing
```

Because there are 0 subexpressions in the begin expression.

```
> (begin x)
```

Becomes:

```
> x
```

Because there is exactly one subexpression in the begin expression.

```
> (begin y0 y1)
```

Becomes:

```
> ((lambda (moot) y1) y0)
```

Because there are more than one subexpressions in the begin expression we nest the subexpressions using a lambda expression.

```
> (begin z0 z1 z2)
```

Becomes:

```
> ((lambda (moot)((lambda (moot) z2) z1)) z0)
```

Because there are more than one subexpressions in the begin expression, we continue to nest the subexpressions using lambda expressions, building off of what we would have constructed for $>$ (begin z_0 z_1).