

Ways to Study Programming Languages

- The *sampler approach*
- The *universals approach*
- The *semantics approach*
- The *implementation approach*

The Sampler Approach

- Aka the *comparative approach*, the *survey approach*, the *language-of-the-week approach*
- Examine the “look and feel” of a number of different programming languages
 - Perhaps write the same programs in all the different programming languages you look at
- An approach with merit, but rather outdated
 - Often ends up spending too much time on relatively minor matters like syntax, quirks of specific compilers, etc.
 - Analogy: philology vs. linguistics

The Universals Approach

- Identify a set of key issues that most/all programming languages address
- When studying a particular issue, see how it's dealt with in a variety of programming languages
- Essentially a somewhat updated version of the sampler approach, grouping topics by property rather than by language
- Not a bad approach, but still primarily descriptive

The Semantics Approach

- Develop a framework/model for formalizing what happens when programs execute and how that relates to the constructs provided by a programming language
- Can be done mathematicologically with extreme rigor in terms of functions, sets, predicate logic, etc. and then called
 - *Denotational semantics*
 - *Mathematical semantics*
 - *Formal semantics*

The Semantics Approach (cont.)

- Can also be done in terms of various kinds of formal automata (e.g., Turing machines):
 - *Operational semantics*
- A more explanatory and analytical approach that downplays surface issues of syntax, etc.

The Implementation Approach

- Show how languages work by implementing *language processors* for programming languages
- Customarily done by implementing *definitional interpreters* for programming languages
- Done carefully, combines the best features of the universals approach and the semantics approach

Definitional Interpreters

- An interpreter that shows what each construct in programming language X does during program execution
- Designed to work on a simplified, idealized representation of programs in programming language X , a representation that ignores issues of pure surface-form (i.e., syntax, precedence, punctuation, etc.)

Definitional Interpreters (cont.)

- Such interpreters are often built incrementally:
 - Start with an interpreter for a stripped-down version of programming language X
 - “Grow” the interpreter by adding additional features of programming language X , one at a time
- The incremental approach can be especially illuminating and useful when done using *meta-circular interpreters*

Meta-Circular Interpreters

- A *circular interpreter* for a programming language P is
 - An interpreter for P programs
 - The interpreter is itself written in P
- A *meta-circular interpreter* for a programming language P is
 - An interpreter for programs that use only a subset of the full P programming language
 - The interpreter is written, as nearly as possible, in the same subset of P that the interpreter interprets

The Payoff

- If
 - P is a programming language based on sound mathematicological principles
 - You incrementally develop a (meta-)circular interpreter for P
 - P contains features representative of those found in many/most programming languages
- then you end up knowing a lot about both the formal and implementational semantics of lots of programming languages, even though you've worked on a definitional interpreter for just a single programming language

Typically

- When using the meta-circular definitional interpreters approach, you try to choose a programming language for interpretation that's small, expressive, and flexible:
 - For us, that means we'll work on implementing Scheme interpreters written in Scheme
- Additionally, we'll see how matters related to
 - Types and type-checking
 - Object-oriented features
 - Other things, time permittingcan be added to a Scheme-like framework