DORA-RS

On Async Runtime

github.com/dora-rs/dora

Xavier Tao

TOKIO RUNTIME

Very powerful async engine that is able to run green threads, running highlyconccurrent tasks!

```
#[tokio::main]
async fn main() -> eyre::Result<()> {
```

```
enum Event {
    Input(InputEvent),
    InputsStopped,
    Operator {
        id: OperatorId,
            event: OperatorEvent,
        },
}
```

```
enum Event {
    Input(InputEvent),
    InputsStopped,
    Operator {
        id: OperatorId,
        event: OperatorEvent,
    },
}
```

```
enum Event {
    Input(InputEvent),
    InputsStopped,
    Operator {
        id: OperatorId,
            event: OperatorEvent,
        },
}

let inputs = subscribe(&topics, communication).await?;
let input_events = inputs.map(Event::Input)
        .chain(stream::once(async { Event::InputSStopped }));

let mut operator_events = tokio_stream::StreamMap::new();
for operator in operators {
        operator_events.insert(operator.id, spawn_operator().await);
}
let op events = operator events.map(|(id, event)| Event::Operator { id, event });
```

```
enum Event {
    Input(InputEvent),
    InputsStopped,
    Operator {
        id: OperatorId,
        event: OperatorEvent,
    },
let inputs = subscribe(&topics, communication).await?;
let input events = inputs.map(Event::Input)
    .chain(stream::once(async { Event::InputsStopped }));
let mut operator events = tokio stream::StreamMap::new();
for operator in operators {
    operator events.insert(operator.id, spawn operator().await);
let op events = operator events.map(|(id, event)| Event::Operator { id, event });
use futures concurrency::Merge;
let mut events = (input events, op events).merge();
// now we have a normal while loop with a match instead of needing `select`
while let Some(event) = events.next().await {
    match event { ... }
```

CHALLENGES WITH ASYNC RUST

- CPU-bound operations in async functions
- Cancellation of spawned tasks
- Error/panic propagation

CPU-BOUND OPERATIONS IN ASYNC FUNCTIONS

To give a sense of scale of how much time is too much, a good rule of thumb is **no more than 10 to 100 microseconds** between each .await.

	CPU-bound computation	Synchronous IO	Running forever
spawn_blocking	Suboptimal	OK	No
rayon	OK	No	No
Dedicated thread	OK	OK	OK

[—] Alice Ryhl, in "Async: What is blocking?"

SPAWNING CPU-BOUND TASKS

- tokio::spawn_blocking: Optimal for reducing tail latency
 - Pros
 - Directly available threadpool
 - Work well enough on most cases
 - Cons
 - Need adequate parametrisation (workers, threads, timeout...)
- rayon: Optimal for maximizing cpu usage
 - Pros
 - Do not need Arc for ∞ read
 - Stack allocation of tasks instead of heap
 - Recursive parallelisation
 - Cons
 - Add a layer of technology



CANCELLATION OF SPAWNED TASKS

- Dropping a JoinHandle detaches the task
 - → keeps running in the background

```
let task = tokio::spawn(async {
    let mut items = Vec::new();
    for _ in 0..n {
        items.push(read_large_file().await);
    }
    let _ = result_tx.send(items).await;
});
do_something_else()?; // // `task` keeps running on error
let items = task.await;
```

CANCELLATION OF SPAWNED TASKS

- Dropping a JoinHandle detaches the task
 - → keeps running in the background

```
let task = tokio::spawn(async {
    let mut items = Vec::new();
    for _ in 0..n {
        items.push(read_large_file().await);
    }
    let _ = result_tx.send(items).await;
});
do_something_else()?; // ~ `task` keeps running on error
let items = task.await;
```

- Workarounds
 - manual checks (e.g. is result tx closed?)
 - → error-prone and less efficient
 - use smol instead of tokio or async_std
 - use FutureExt:remote handle everywhere

```
let (task, handle) = task.remote_handle();
tokio::spawn(task);
do_something_else()?; // dropping `handle` cancels task
handle.await
```

ERROR/PANIC PROPAGATION

Easy to accidentally discard a panic/error

```
tokio::spawn(async { panic!("foo") });
tokio::spawn(async { Result::<(), u32>::Err(1) });
```

- neither a compiler warning nor a runtime error occurs (just some stderr output for the panic)
- same with async std
- must_use warning with smol (tasks are canceled on drop)

ASYNC ANNOYANCES

• Compiler cannot infer error type in async blocks

```
let task = async {
    value.context("I/O error")?;
    Ok(())
    // ^^ cannot infer type for type parameter `E`
    // workaround: `Result::<_, anyhow::Error>::Ok(())`
};
```

ASYNC ANNOYANCES

Compiler cannot infer error type in async blocks

```
let task = async {
    value.context("I/O error")?;
    Ok(())
    // ^^ cannot infer type for type parameter `E`
    // workaround: `Result::<_, anyhow::Error>::Ok(())`
};
```

• Clone boilerplate with async move {}

```
let id_clone = id.clone();
let tx_clone = tx.clone();
// etc ...
let task = async move {
    tx_clone.send(id_clone).await
};
// (we still need `id`, `tx`, etc. here)
```

(also applies to move closures)

DON'T USE select MACRO

- requires lots of pinning and fusing
- its custom syntax breaks tools such as rust-analyzer or rustfmt
- FusedFuture bound does not prevent poll after Poll::Ready

```
loop {
    let mut fut = (&mut fut).fuse(); // ~ (should be outside of loop)
    select! {
        _ = fut => println!("foo"),
    };
}
```

DON'T USE select MACRO

- requires lots of pinning and fusing
- its custom syntax breaks tools such as rust-analyzer or rustfmt
- FusedFuture bound does not prevent poll after Poll::Ready

```
loop {
    let mut fut = (&mut fut).fuse(); // ~ (should be outside of loop)
    select! {
        _ = fut => println!("foo"),
    };
}
```

- Use an event stream instead
 - as proposed by Yoshua Wuyts in "Futures Concurrency III"

Q&A