Lab 3- W205 Section 3 Spring 2017 Sanjay Dorairaj

Question 1: What is the output of \dt?

List of relations			
Schema	Name	Туре	Owner
+			+
public	actor	table	postgres
public	address	table	postgres
public	category	table	postgres
public	city	table	postgres
public	country	table	postgres
public	customer	table	postgres
public	film	table	postgres
public	film_actor	table	postgres
public	film_category	table	postgres
public	inventory	table	postgres
public	language	table	postgres
public	payment	table	postgres
public	payment_p2007_01	table	postgres
public	payment_p2007_02	table	postgres
public	payment p2007 03	table	postgres
public	payment_p2007_04	table	postgres
public	payment p2007 05	table	postgres
public	payment p2007 06	table	postgres
public	rental	table	postgres
public	staff	table	postgres
public	store	table	postgres
(21 rows)			1 1 3
(== == ::)			

Question 2: What is the schema for the customer table?

```
activebool
              boolean
                                             not null default true
create date | date
                                             not null default
('now'::text)::date
last_update | timestamp without time zone | default now()
active
             | integer
Indexes:
    "customer pkey" PRIMARY KEY, btree (customer id)
    "idx_fk_address_id" btree (address_id)
    "idx fk store id" btree (store id)
    "idx last name" btree (last name)
Foreign-key constraints:
    "customer address id fkey" FOREIGN KEY (address_id) REFERENCES
address(address id) ON UPDATE CASCADE ON DELETE RESTRICT
    "customer store id fkey" FOREIGN KEY (store id) REFERENCES
store(store id) ON UPDATE CASCADE ON DELETE RESTRICT
Referenced by:
    TABLE "payment" CONSTRAINT "payment customer id fkey" FOREIGN KEY
(customer id) REFERENCES customer(customer id) ON UPDATE CASCADE ON
DELETE RESTRICT
    TABLE "payment p2007 01" CONSTRAINT
"payment_p2007_01_customer_id_fkey" FOREIGN KEY (customer_id)
REFERENCES customer(customer id)
    TABLE "payment p2007 02" CONSTRAINT
"payment p2007 02 customer id fkey" FOREIGN KEY (customer id)
REFERENCES customer(customer id)
    TABLE "payment p2007 03" CONSTRAINT
"payment_p2007_03_customer_id_fkey" FOREIGN KEY (customer_id)
REFERENCES customer(customer_id)
    TABLE "payment p2007 04" CONSTRAINT
"payment p2007 04 customer id fkey" FOREIGN KEY (customer id)
REFERENCES customer(customer id)
    TABLE "payment p2007 05" CONSTRAINT
"payment p2007 05 customer id fkey" FOREIGN KEY (customer id)
REFERENCES customer(customer id)
    TABLE "payment p2007 06" CONSTRAINT
"payment p2007 06 customer id fkey" FOREIGN KEY (customer id)
REFERENCES customer(customer id)
    TABLE "rental" CONSTRAINT "rental_customer_id_fkey" FOREIGN KEY
(customer id) REFERENCES customer (customer id) ON UPDATE CASCADE ON
DELETE RESTRICT
Triggers:
    last updated BEFORE UPDATE ON customer FOR EACH ROW EXECUTE
PROCEDURE last updated()
```

Question 3: What similarities do you see in the explain plains for these 3 queries?

dvdrental=# EXPLAIN select customer_id,first_name,last_name from customer;

```
QUERY PLAN
Seq Scan on customer (cost=0.00..14.99 rows=599 width=17)
(1 row)
SELECT customer id,
       amount,
       payment date
FROM payment
WHERE amount <= 1
 OR amount >= 8;
 dvdrental=# EXPLAIN select customer id, amount, payment date from
payment where amount<=1 or amount >=8;
                                        QUERY PLAN
Result (cost=0.00..420.63 rows=5178 width=19)
  -> Append (cost=0.00..420.63 rows=5178 width=19)
         -> Seq Scan on payment (cost=0.00..29.95 rows=739
width=21)
               Filter: ((amount <= 1::numeric) OR (amount >=
8::numeric))
            Seq Scan on payment p2007 01 payment (cost=0.00..26.36
rows=266 width=18)
              Filter: ((amount <= 1::numeric) OR (amount >=
8::numeric))
         -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 02 payment (cost=0.00..51.68
rows=531 width=18)
              Filter: ((amount <= 1::numeric) OR (amount >=
8::numeric))
         -> Seg Scan on payment p2007 03 payment (cost=0.00..126.66
rows=1268 width=18)
              Filter: ((amount <= 1::numeric) OR (amount >=
8::numeric))
         -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 04 payment (cost=0.00..151.31
rows=1557 width=18)
              Filter: ((amount <= 1::numeric) OR (amount >=
8::numeric))
         -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 05 payment (cost=0.00..4.73
rows=78 width=17)
              Filter: ((amount <= 1::numeric) OR (amount >=
8::numeric))
         -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 06 payment (cost=0.00..29.95
rows=739 width=21)
              Filter: ((amount <= 1::numeric) OR (amount >=
8::numeric))
(16 rows)
SELECT customer id,
      payment id,
       amount
FROM payment
WHERE amount BETWEEN 5 AND 9;
```

```
dvdrental=# explain select customer id, payment id, amount from payment
where amount between 5 and 9;
                                        QUERY PLAN
Result (cost=0.00..420.63 rows=3600 width=14)
   -> Append (cost=0.00..420.63 rows=3600 width=14)
         -> Seq Scan on payment (cost=0.00..29.95 rows=7 width=17)
              Filter: ((amount >= 5::numeric) AND (amount <=
9::numeric))
         -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 01 payment (cost=0.00..26.36
rows=242 width=14)
              Filter: ((amount >= 5::numeric) AND (amount <=
9::numeric))
            Seq Scan on payment p2007 02 payment (cost=0.00..51.68
rows=506 width=14)
              Filter: ((amount >= 5::numeric) AND (amount <=
9::numeric))
        -> Seq Scan on payment_p2007_03 payment (cost=0.00..126.66
rows=1290 width=14)
              Filter: ((amount >= 5::numeric) AND (amount <=
9::numeric))
        -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 04 payment (cost=0.00..151.31
rows=1535 width=14)
              Filter: ((amount >= 5::numeric) AND (amount <=
9::numeric))
         -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 05 payment (cost=0.00..4.73
rows=13 width=13)
              Filter: ((amount >= 5::numeric) AND (amount <=
9::numeric))
        -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 06 payment (cost=0.00..29.95
rows=7 width=17)
              Filter: ((amount >= 5::numeric) AND (amount <=
9::numeric))
(16 rows)
```

In each case we see that a sequential scan is done on the records in each table in order to fetch the necessary data.

Question 4: What is the difference between the plans for the Partitioned table and the union query? Why do you think this difference exists?

```
dvdrental=# explain select u.customer_id,sum(u.amount) from (select *
from payment_p2007_01 union select * from payment_p2007_02) u where
u.payment_date <= '2007-02-01 00:00:00'::TIMESTAMP WITHOUT time ZONE
GROUP BY u.customer_id limit 10;

QUERY PLAN</pre>
```

```
Limit (cost=127.26..127.39 rows=10 width=13)
  -> HashAggregate (cost=127.26..129.76 rows=200 width=13)
         -> HashAggregate (cost=98.31..109.89 rows=1158 width=28)
               -> Append (cost=0.00..80.94 rows=1158 width=28)
                    -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 01
(cost=0.00..23.46 rows=1157 width=28)
                          Filter: (payment date <= '2007-02-01
00:00:00'::timestamp without time zone)
                    -> Seg Scan on payment p2007 02
(cost=0.00..45.90 rows=1 width=28)
                          Filter: (payment date <= '2007-02-01
00:00:00'::timestamp without time zone)
(8 rows)
dvdrental=# explain select customer id,sum(amount) from payment where
payment date<='2007-02-01 00:00:00'::TIMESTAMP without time ZONE
GROUP by customer_id limit 10;
                                           OUERY PLAN
Limit (cost=103.99..104.12 rows=10 width=11)
   -> HashAggregate (cost=103.99..106.49 rows=200 width=11)
        -> Append (cost=0.00..95.99 rows=1601 width=11)
              -> Seq Scan on payment (cost=0.00..26.62 rows=443
width=13)
                    Filter: (payment date <= '2007-02-01
00:00:00'::timestamp without time zone)
              -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 01 payment
(cost=0.00..23.46 rows=1157 width=10)
                    Filter: (payment date <= '2007-02-01
00:00:00'::timestamp without time zone)
              -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 02 payment
(cost=0.00..45.90 rows=1 width=10)
                    Filter: (payment date <= '2007-02-01
00:00:00'::timestamp without time zone)
(9 rows)
```

In the case of the partitioned table, we are not given the exact tables to look for, therefore, an additional sequential scan is done on the payment table to determine the tables that contain the requested records. With the union, the relevant tables are mentioned in the query itself, so there is one less sequential scan required.

Question 5: What join algorithm is used for the inner join?

```
dvdrental=# explain select
customer.customer id,first_name,last_name,email,amount,payment_date
from customer inner join payment on
payment.customer id=customer.customer id;
                                       QUERY PLAN
Hash Join (cost=22.48..606.82 rows=18709 width=65)
  Hash Cond: (public.payment.customer id = customer.customer id)
   -> Append (cost=0.00..327.09 rows=18709 width=18)
         -> Seg Scan on payment (cost=0.00..23.30 rows=1330
width=21)
         -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 01 payment (cost=0.00..20.57
rows=1157 width=18)
        -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 02 payment (cost=0.00..40.12
rows=2312 width=18)
         -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 03 payment (cost=0.00..98.44
rows=5644 width=18)
        -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 04 payment (cost=0.00..117.54
rows=6754 width=18)
        -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 05 payment (cost=0.00..3.82
rows=182 width=17)
        -> Seq Scan on payment p2007 06 payment (cost=0.00..23.30
rows=1330 width=21)
  -> Hash (cost=14.99..14.99 rows=599 width=49)
        -> Seq Scan on customer (cost=0.00..14.99 rows=599
width=49)
(12 rows)
```

The algorithm used is a **hash join** (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hash join)