July 3, 2025

Resolving the Valuation Mystery of Palantir Technologies: How PPP and SIRRIPA Rationalize a Market Darling With a P/E Over 500

By Rainsy Sam

Abstract

Palantir Technologies continues to defy traditional valuation frameworks with a Price-to-Earnings (P/E) ratio exceeding 500—an apparent anomaly that has puzzled analysts and investors alike. This article shows that such a valuation is not irrational when viewed through the lens of the **Potential Payback Period (PPP)** and its derived metrics, particularly the **Stock Internal Rate of Return Including Price Appreciation (SIRRIPA)**. By embedding growth rates, discounting, and time into one unified framework, PPP rationally explains the long-duration return potential of high-growth companies like Palantir. The article further demonstrates how these metrics outperform legacy tools such as P/E and PEG ratios, which collapse under nonlinear growth conditions. A comparative table of four leading technology firms—including Palantir, NVIDIA, Broadcom, and Applied Materials—highlights the internal consistency and analytical clarity of PPP-based valuation, even when traditional metrics fail.

Keywords

Palantir Technologies; Potential Payback Period (PPP); SIRRIPA; SIRR; SPARR; SRP; P/E Ratio; PEG Ratio; Equity Valuation; Risk-Adjusted Return

---

1. Introduction: A Valuation Mystery in Plain Sight

Palantir Technologies has long puzzled analysts and investors alike. With a **Price-to-Earnings (P/E) ratio exceeding 500**, it defies traditional valuation logic. Common metrics such as P/E and PEG (Price/Earnings-to-Growth) ratios, once cornerstones of equity analysis, now seem increasingly irrelevant in the face of hypergrowth stocks like Palantir. Even seasoned market participants confess confusion:

"We simply can't make sense of the valuation with such a lofty P/E; it doesn't map to any grounded investment logic." — Fund Manager, New York
"PEG assumes too much and explains too little, especially for growth stories like Palantir's." — Tech Sector Analyst, London

“We’re stuck using ratios from the 20th century for a company operating two decades into the future.” — Retail Investor Forum Post

This widespread frustration stems from a fundamental problem: legacy valuation tools were not built to handle the kind of nonlinear, back-loaded earnings trajectory Palantir represents. This article demonstrates that only the **Potential Payback Period (PPP)** and its derivative metrics—especially **SIRRIPA (Stock Internal Rate of Return Including Price Appreciation)**—can resolve this valuation puzzle.

---

2. The Business of Palantir and the Case for Long-Term Growth

Palantir Technologies operates at the frontier of enterprise data integration, artificial intelligence (AI), and secure decision-making platforms. Its core products—Palantir Gotham, Foundry, and AIP (Artificial Intelligence Platform)—serve government, defense, and commercial clients seeking to extract actionable insights from complex data ecosystems.

While Palantir’s current earnings remain modest (EPS of $0.24), its addressable market is immense, driven by:

- Accelerating demand for AI-driven data infrastructure
- National security spending in the U.S. and allied countries
- Expansion into commercial sectors like healthcare, energy, and finance.

These dynamics support a **high, but realistic, long-term growth rate**, estimated at **50% per year**. However, this growth is expected to be **back-loaded**—with significant payoff occurring years into the future. This is precisely why short-sighted metrics like the P/E ratio are blind to Palantir’s intrinsic potential.

---

3. PPP and Its Derivatives: Rational Metrics for Forward-Looking Valuation

a. The Logic Behind PPP

The **Potential Payback Period (PPP)** is a growth- and risk-adjusted extension of the P/E ratio, calculated as:

$$PPP = \frac{\log\left[\frac{P}{E}\cdot\frac{g-r}{1+r}+1\right]}{\log\left(\frac{1+g}{1+r}\right)}$$

Where:

- P/E = Price-to-Earnings ratio

- g = Earnings growth rate
- r = Discount rate (typically benchmarked by U.S. 10-Year Treasury).

Interpretation: PPP tells us the number of years required for discounted, growing earnings to pay back the current stock price.

b. Stock Internal Rate of Return (SIRR): Earnings-Only Return

$$\text{SIRR} = e^{\ln(2)/PPP} - 1$$

SIRR assumes no terminal value. It is based on the notion that by the end of the PPP, cumulative discounted earnings equal the original investment.

c. SIRR Including Price Appreciation (SIRRIPA): Taking into account the Exit Price

This is the most comprehensive and realistic metric. It includes both:

- The **cumulative discounted earnings** over the PPP period
- A **discounted Exit Price**, based on a **conservative assumption**:

Exit P/E = PPP

This reflects a scenario in which the earnings growth rate g gradually declines and converges to the discount rate r, causing valuation multiples to normalize by the end of the payback horizon. From the general PPP formula presented earlier, we can mathematically demonstrate that when g = r, the PPP reduces to the traditional P/E ratio.

SIRRIPA is computed as:

$$\text{SIRRIPA} = \left(\frac{\text{Discounted Exit Price} + \text{Discounted EPS Series}}{P}\right)^{1/PPP} - 1$$

This method also assumes **linearly declining growth**—a cautious stance that tempers overoptimistic extrapolations.

d. SPARR and SRP

- **SPARR** (Stock Price Appreciation Rate of Return): isolates capital gains from earnings.
- **SRP** (Stock Risk Premium): measures the margin above the risk-free rate:

$$SRP = \text{SIRRIPA} - r$$

For example, in the case of Palantir (see Comparative Table below):

$$SRP = 7.94\% - 4.25\% = 3.69\%$$

---

4. Comparative Table: Technology Stocks Revisited Through PPP

The following table illustrates how **PPP, SIRR, and SIRRIPA** provide rational, consistent, and forward-looking valuations across four major technology stocks:

Company	Price	EPS	P/E	g (Growth)	r (Discount Rate)	PPP	SIRR	SIRRIPA
Applied Materials	183.76	8.21	22.38	9%	4.25%	17.67	4.00%	4.77%
NVIDIA	153.30	3.10	49.45	40%	4.25%	14.21	5.00%	**9.69%**
Broadcom	264.74	2.73	96.97	30%	4.25%	22.01	3.20%	6.43%
Palantir Technologies	130.68	0.24	549.42	50%	4.25%	24.20	2.91%	**7.94%**

Key Insights:

- Palantir, despite an "unjustifiable" P/E ratio by conventional wisdom, achieves a **respectable SIRRIPA of 7.94%**.
- NVIDIA stands out with a short PPP and the highest SIRRIPA, confirming its leadership.
- The table shows that **when the correct valuation framework is used, even the most perplexing stocks become analytically coherent**.

---

5. Conclusion: Solving the Valuation Puzzle

Palantir Technologies exposes the failure of legacy valuation models in a new economic era defined by intangible capital, AI growth curves, and nonlinear earnings trajectories. Traditional tools like the P/E and PEG ratios collapse under these conditions.

Only the **Potential Payback Period (PPP)** and its risk-adjusted derivatives—**SIRR, SIRRIPA, SPARR, and SRP**—offer clarity and consistency. Unlike outdated ratios, these metrics:

- Handle extreme growth scenarios
- Embed time and discounting
- Provide cautious, defendable forecasts.

By showing how Palantir's valuation becomes not only rational but actionable through the lens of PPP and SIRRIPA, this article reinforces the growing consensus: in today's markets, **valuation must evolve—and PPP is leading that evolution**.

Compared to traditional metrics, SIRRIPA introduces new dimensions to more accurately value equities. However, no single metric can fully incorporate all the variables influencing stock prices. Even the most sophisticated valuation models are only as reliable as the assumptions they rely on—especially earnings growth forecasts. This underscores the critical role of sensitivity analysis.

Finally, while the Potential Payback Period (PPP) methodology appears promising, it remains relatively new. Its theoretical foundation and empirical validity would benefit from further research and application by academic scholars and market practitioners alike.

References

- Sam, R. (2025). *Why SIRRIPA is Set to Replace the P/E Ratio in Modern Equity Valuation*. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202504.1213.v1
- Sam, R. (2025). *SIRRIPA: The Stock-Tailored Yield to Maturity (YTM) and the Emergence of a Cross-Asset Valuation Metric*. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202504.1934.v1
- Sam, R. (2025). *NVIDIA Leads in Forward Returns: A PPP-Based Stock Valuation Analysis of Four Leading Technology Firms*
- Damodaran, A. (2012). *Investment Valuation: Tools and Techniques for Determining the Value of Any Asset*. Wiley.
- Gordon, M. J., & Shapiro, E. (1956). *Capital Equipment Analysis: The Required Rate of Profit*. *Management Science*, 3(1), 102–110.
