High Performance Computing

National University of Computer and Emerging Sciences

Deliverable # 02 Complex Computing Problem

$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Hamza~Kaleem-23i\text{-}0783} \\ {\rm Umaima-23i\text{-}0790} \\ {\rm Warisha~Shaukat-23i\text{-}0809} \end{array}$

Contents

1	Cor	verted	Functions	2								
2	Pro	gram l	Execution	2								
3	B Performance Results											
	3.1	CPU 7	Γ imes	3								
	3.2	GPU '	$\Gamma imes \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $	3								
		3.2.1	CUDA API Summary	3								
		3.2.2	CUDA GPU Kernel Summary	3								
		3.2.3	CUDA GPU MemOps Summary (by Time)	4								
		3.2.4	CUDA GPU MemOps Summary (by Size)	4								
4	Cor	nclusio	n	4								

1 Converted Functions

- ConvolveImageHoriz Offloaded wrapper function call.
- ConvolveImageVert Offloaded wrapper function call.
- Interpolate Implemented as both host and device function with core logic intact.
- ComputeGradientSum Converted to kernel with wrapper function.
- ComputeIntensityDifference Convered to kernel with wrapper function.

2 Program Execution

```
(KLT) Tracking 150 features in a 320 by 240 image...

140 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 140 features in a 320 by 240 image...

136 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 136 features in a 320 by 240 image...

136 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 136 features in a 320 by 240 image...

136 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 136 features to PPM file: 'feat3.ppm'

(KLT) Tracking 136 features in a 320 by 240 image...

134 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 134 features to PPM file: 'feat4.ppm'

(KLT) Writing 134 features to PPM file: 'feat4.ppm'

(KLT) Tracking 134 features to PPM file: 'feat5.ppm'

(KLT) Tracking 132 features in a 320 by 240 image...

132 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 132 features to PPM file: 'feat5.ppm'

(KLT) Tracking 132 features to PPM file: 'feat6.ppm'

(KLT) Writing 132 features to PPM file: 'feat6.ppm'

(KLT) Tracking 129 features to PPM file: 'feat6.ppm'

(KLT) Tracking 129 features to PPM file: 'feat7.ppm'

(KLT) Tracking 125 features to PPM file: 'feat7.ppm'

(KLT) Writing 125 features in a 320 by 240 image...

125 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 125 features in a 320 by 240 image...

123 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 125 features in a 320 by 240 image...

126 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 127 features in a 320 by 240 image...

128 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 129 features in a 320 by 240 image...

120 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing 129 features in a 320 by 240 image...

120 features successfully tracked.

(KLT) Writing feature table to text file: 'features.txt'

(KLT) Writing feature table to text file: 'features.txt'

(KLT) Writing feature table to binary file: 'features.ft'

C:\Users\Mritaptop\source\Proc-Deliverable-2\x64\Debug\HPC-Deliverable-2.exe (process 25080) exited with code 0 (0x0).
```

```
| Second | S
```

The program was tested on various frame sizes with screenshots for nframes = 5, and nframes = 10 present in the output directory. Additionally, multiple thread configurations were tested specified in the aforementioned directory.

3 Performance Results

3.1 CPU Times

	Name	Self ms/call	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Total} \\ {\rm ms/call} \end{array}$	Calls	Self seconds	Cumulative seconds	% Time
	_interpolate	0.00	0.00	2069270	0.03	0.03	42.86
geVert	_convolveImag	0.32	0.32	63	0.02	0.05	28.57
geHoriz	_convolveImag	0.16	0.16	63	0.01	0.06	14.29
nsityDiffere	_computeInter	0.00	0.00	8645	0.00	0.07	0.00
dientSum	_computeGrac	0.00	0.00	6235	0.00	0.07	0.00

Table 1: Profiling summary of CPU execution times.

3.2 GPU Times

3.2.1 CUDA API Summary

Time (%)	Total Time (ns)	Num Calls	Avg (ns)	Med (ns)	Min (ns)	Name
36.4	942,514,078	56,468	16,684.8	4,179.5	520	cudaMalloc
34.9	902,487,528	$56,\!464$	15,984.4	1,710.9	520	$\operatorname{cudaMemcpy}$
18.3	201,438,420	56,468	$3,\!568.5$	1,654.6	520	${\it cudaFree}$
7.7	69,517,980	12,520	5,551.9	4,530.3	520	cudaDeviceSy
2.7	69,517,980	12,520	5,519.0	5,328.0	520	cudaLaunchK
0.0	520	1	520.0	520.0	520.0	cuModuleGet

Table 2: CUDA API Summary (cuda_api_sum).

3.2.2 CUDA GPU Kernel Summary

Time (%)	Total Time (ns)	Instances	Avg (ns)	Med (ns)	Min (ns)	Name
54.9	12,488,070	6,234	1,952.1	1,888.0	1,820	computeGradientSumKernel
43.6	9,916,387	6,234	1,590.7	1,600.0	1,536	computeIntensityDifferenceKe
0.7	161,791	63	2,567.9	2,592.0	1,728	convolveImageVertKernel
0.7	161,791	63	2,568.1	2,592.0	1,728	convolveImageHorizKernel

Table 3: CUDA GPU Kernel Summary (cuda_gpu_kern_sum).

3.2.3 CUDA GPU MemOps Summary (by Time)

Time (%)	Total Time (ns)	Count	Avg (ns)	Med (ns)	Min (ns)	Operation
93.7	492,135,180 33,279,350	37,656 18,828	13,069.2 1,767.5	3,232.0 1,600.0	576 576	[CUDA memcpy Host-to-Device] [CUDA
	. ,		,	,		memcpy Device-to- Host]

Table 4: CUDA GPU Memory Operations Summary (by Time).

3.2.4 CUDA GPU MemOps Summary (by Size)

Total (MB)	Count	Avg (MB)	Med (MB)	Min (MB)	Max (MB)	Operation
5,203.583	37,656	0.138	0.000	0.000	51.552	[CUDA memcpy Host-to-Device]
30.853	18,828	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.307	[CUDA memcpy Device-to-Host]

Table 5: CUDA GPU Memory Operations Summary (by Size).

Based on this data, the GPU kernels are SIGNIFICANTLY faster than their CPU equivalents. The highest speedup occurs in _convolveImageVert which is a 120x speedup when comparing the CPU function to the GPU Kernel.

However, factoring in overhead from CUDA API calls shows that the overall program runs slower than the CPU program. With the overall runtime for GPU APIs being around 2.5 seconds and overall runtime for CPU execution being 0.07 seconds.

The overall speedup $\frac{2.5}{0.07} \approx 0.3 x$ indicating a slower execution for the naive GPU implementation.

4 Conclusion

A naive implementation of the KLT algorithm using CUDA slows down overall execution due to API overhead and inefficient memory accesses. The kernels themselves execute code faster but inefficient cudaMallocs and cudaMemorphys drastically slow down the program.

For a measurable increase in speedup memory optimizations are crucial.