# Differences between SI and Gaussian units

### December 25, 2013

#### SI units 1

- Coulumb is a derived unit
- base unit involving charge is ampere (for current )
- Force/ meter on one wire when two parallel wires separated by 1 m carrying 1A current and force exerted =  $2 \cdot 10^{-7}$
- Coulumb =  $1 \text{ A} \cdot \text{s}$
- By using Coulumb's law, let  $q_1 = q_2 = 1C$  and r=1m, so our F=  $9.10^9$ N <sup>1</sup>
- : we define k=9·10<sup>9</sup>  $Nm^2/C^2$ =1/4 $\pi\epsilon_0^2$
- : we defined current via the Lorentz force, the Coulumb force between two charges ends up being a number we just have to accept. We can only have nice numbers in one case or another, not both.
- : the SI system gives preference to Lorentz force : in historical experiments, galvanometer measures ampere much easier to measure than F exerted by point charges.

#### 2 Gaussian units

- Gaussian unit of charge =esu  $\rightarrow$  very different from SI Coulumb
- esu is defined via the Coulumb force
- here, we define k=1 (dimensionless)
- : the Lorentz force involves a factor of  $c^2$

#### 3 Main differences between the systems

• units of  $k_{Gaussian}$  is dimensionless

 $<sup>\</sup>begin{array}{c} ^{1}9\cdot 10^{9}=c^{2}/10^{7} \\ ^{2}\epsilon_{0}=8.85\cdot 10^{-12}A^{2}s^{4}kg^{-1}m^{-3} \end{array}$ 

• this allows us to solve for esu in terms of base units

$$F = k \cdot \frac{qq}{r^2}$$
  $[dynes] = [dimensionless] \cdot [\frac{esu^2}{cm^2}]$   
 $\therefore esu = \sqrt{dynes \cdot cm^2} = \sqrt{g \cdot cm^3 \cdot s^{-2}}$ 

• : esu is not a fundamanetal unit ,whereas A is a fundamental unit in SI.

Three main difference between SI and Gaussian (least important  $\rightarrow$  most important)

- 1. cm-gram-second only differ with SI with powers of 10
- 2. Gaussian based on Coulumb's law SI based on Lorentz forces
- 3.  $k_{gaussian}$  dimensionless ; $k_{SI}$  is dimensionful  $\therefore$  can express esu in terms of other gaussian base units.

## 4 Three units versus four

• : there is less base units in Gaussian, doing dimensional analysis in gaussian gives us less insight into stuff.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ dynes= $g \cdot cm/s^2$