I used Euclidean distance since it is the most correct distance function that can be used in these types of models. The disadvantage of Euclidean Distance is that it can take too long when the data is big in terms of number of features. Since our data has 8 features and it is such a small number relatively, we can use Euclidean distance for better results. I also tried Chi-square distance in order to prove my claim. It took nearly quarter of the time that Euclidean Distance take but the results were much worse.

Sometimes the features can be useless or indicate the same thing in machine learning algorithms and when the number of features is much, we can reduce the number of features and can reduce the cost without getting too much error. Even when we reduce the data, sometimes we get better results since the data can overfit.

When I train the data with kNN classifier using all the features, the confusion matrix accuracy and time are shown below.

```
TP = 30 FN = 25
FP = 19 TN = 80
```

```
accuracy wiith all features: 0.7142857142857143
12.314371109008789 time for 8 feature training
```

After I trained with all the 8 features, I used Backward elimination method for feature selection method. This method can be explained basically as I trained the data with taking out one of the features for all features and then eliminate the feature that you get the most accuracy. I did this until I get a worse accuracy before eliminating a feature and when the accuracy dropped, I stopped.

The accuracies, dropped features and validation times are listed below.

- BloodPressure is the dropped feature accuracy after first feature dropped: 0.7402 95.8690357208252 time for 8 featue 8 times
- Insulin is the dropped feature
 accuracy after second feature dropped: 0.7597
 84.92653512954712 time for 7 feature 7 times
- Age is the dropped feature accuracy after third feature dropped: 0.77272 73.38230609893799 time for 6 feature 6 times
- SkinThickness is the dropped feature

When I analyzed the time data, I see that feature selection takes much more than the training time as I expected because in this method to select the features, I ran the same training with omitting a single feature and do this for every feature. Also, as the feature

numbers decrease, the time decrease rationally which is also an expecting result. We can easily say that this method cannot be used when the feature count is too much since we cannot train the data again and again for all the features.