

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Jx_i - Jx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \quad \forall i, j \in [n]$$

The given parameters by the question are not true for some cases since when k is bigger than m , the dimension of the realizations is not reduced, they increase. So that, I tried to test the Lemma by my own parameters and the results are shown below. For the test I used $m = (10, 100, 1000)$,
 $k = (5, 10, 50, 100)$ but only when k is smaller than m ,
 $\epsilon = (0.01, 0.1)$
 $n = 10$ (for hardware easiness).

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n : 10
 m : 10
 k : 5
 ϵ : 0.01
Mean of 10 random runs: 0.010753968253968255

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n : 10
 m : 10
 k : 5
 ϵ : 0.1
Mean of 10 random runs: 0.24896913580246913

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n : 10
 m : 100
 k : 5
 ϵ : 0.01
Mean of 10 random runs: 0.03909347442680776

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n : 10
 m : 100
 k : 5
 ϵ : 0.1
Mean of 10 random runs: 0.2143809523809524

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n : 10
 m : 100
 k : 10

ε : 0.01

Mean of 10 random runs: 0.02840652557319224

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10

m: 100

k: 10

ε : 0.1

Mean of 10 random runs: 0.3099841269841269

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10

m: 100

k: 50

ε : 0.01

Mean of 10 random runs: 0.08918871252204585

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10

m: 100

k: 50

ε : 0.1

Mean of 10 random runs: 0.6414426807760142

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10

m: 1000

k: 5

ε : 0.01

Mean of 10 random runs: 0.012087301587301588

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10

m: 1000

k: 5

ε : 0.1

Mean of 10 random runs: 0.23038712522045857

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10

m: 1000

k: 10
 ε : 0.01
Mean of 10 random runs: 0.04327248677248677

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10
m: 1000
k: 10
 ε : 0.1
Mean of 10 random runs: 0.40536155202821866

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10
m: 1000
k: 50
 ε : 0.01
Mean of 10 random runs: 0.08802116402116403

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10
m: 1000
k: 50
 ε : 0.1
Mean of 10 random runs: 0.6565414462081128

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10
m: 1000
k: 100
 ε : 0.01
Mean of 10 random runs: 0.12161816578483246

The used parameters for this run are as follows:

n: 10
m: 1000
k: 100
 ε : 0.1
Mean of 10 random runs: 0.8434435626102292

The above results are copied from python console. As we can see from the results the biggest significance is with the choice of ε since when the test is run by $\varepsilon = 0.1$ instead of

0.01, the results are increased from around %10 to %70 approximately. Also, increasing m and k increased the accuracy but not too much like the effect of ε . It can be said that the Lemma is also effective with small dimensions but the choice of ε is critical.