# **Bayesian Linear Models**

Andrew Finley<sup>1</sup> & Jeffrey Doser<sup>2</sup> May 15, 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Forestry, Michigan State University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Integrative Biology, Michigan State University.

## **Linear Regression**

- Linear regression is, perhaps, the most widely used statistical modeling tool.
- It addresses the following question: How does a quantity of primary interest, y, vary as (depend upon) another quantity, or set of quantities, x?
- The quantity y is called the response or outcome variable.
   Some people simply refer to it as the dependent variable.
- The variable(s) x are called *explanatory variables*, *covariates* or simply *independent variables*.
- In general, we are interested in the conditional distribution of y, given x, parametrized as  $p(y | \theta, x)$ .

- Typically, we have a set of *units* or *experimental subjects* i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- For each of these units we have measured an outcome  $y_i$  and a set of explanatory variables  $x_i^{\top} = (1, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{ip})$ .
- The first element of  $x_i^{\top}$  is often taken as 1 to signify the presence of an "intercept".
- We collect the outcome and explanatory variables into an  $n \times 1$  vector and an  $n \times (p+1)$  matrix:

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}; \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^\top \\ x_2^\top \\ \vdots \\ x_n^\top \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The linear model is the most fundamental of all serious statistical models underpinning:
  - ANOVA:  $y_i$  is continuous,  $x_{ij}$ 's are all categorical
  - REGRESSION:  $y_i$  is continuous,  $x_{ij}$ 's are continuous
  - ANCOVA: y<sub>i</sub> is continuous, x<sub>ij</sub>'s are continuous for some j and categorical for others.

# Conjugate Bayesian Linear Regression

A conjugate Bayesian linear model is given by:

$$y_i \mid \mu_i, \sigma^2, X \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\mu_i, \sigma^2); \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$
  
 $\mu_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} = x_i^\top \beta; \quad \beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^\top;$   
 $\beta \mid \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_\beta, \sigma^2 V_\beta); \quad \sigma^2 \sim IG(a, b).$ 

- Unknown parameters include the regression parameters and the variance, i.e.  $\theta = \{\beta, \sigma^2\}$ .
- We assume X is observed without error and all inference is conditional on X.
- The above model is often written it terms of the posterior density  $p(\theta \mid y) \propto p(\theta, y)$ :

$$IG(\sigma^2 \mid a, b) \times N(\beta \mid \mu_{\beta}, \sigma^2 V_{\beta}) \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} N(y_i \mid x_i^{\top} \beta, \sigma^2)$$
.

# Conjugate Bayesian (General) Linear Regression

A more general conjugate Bayesian linear model is given by:

$$y \mid \beta, \sigma^2, X \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 V_y)$$
  
 $\beta \mid \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_\beta, \sigma^2 V_\beta)$ ;  
 $\sigma^2 \sim IG(a, b)$ .

- $V_{V}$ ,  $V_{\beta}$  and  $\mu_{\beta}$  are assumed fixed.
- Unknown parameters include the regression parameters and the variance, i.e.  $\theta = \{\beta, \sigma^2\}$ .
- We assume X is observed without error and all inference is conditional on X.
- The posterior density  $p(\theta | y) \propto p(\theta, y)$ :

$$IG(\sigma^2 \mid a, b) \times N(\beta \mid \mu_{\beta}, \sigma^2 V_{\beta}) \times N(y \mid X\beta, \sigma^2 V_{y})$$

The model on the previous slide is a special case with  $V_y = I_n$  ( $n \times n$  identity matrix).

# Conjugate Bayesian (General) Linear Regression

The joint posterior density can be written as

$$p(\beta, \sigma^2 | y) \propto \underbrace{IG(\sigma^2 | a^*, b^*)}_{p(\sigma^2 | y)} \times \underbrace{N(\beta | Mm, \sigma^2 M)}_{p(\beta | \sigma^2, y)}$$

where

$$a^* = a + \frac{n}{2};$$
  $b^* = b + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu_{\beta}^{\top} V_{\beta}^{-1} \mu_{\beta} + y^{\top} y - m^{\top} M m \right);$   
 $m = V_{\beta}^{-1} \mu_{\beta} + X^{\top} V_{y}^{-1} y;$   $M^{-1} = V_{\beta}^{-1} + X^{\top} V_{y}^{-1} X.$ 

- Exact posterior sampling from  $p(\beta, \sigma^2 | y)$  will automatically yield samples from  $p(\beta | y)$  and  $p(\sigma^2 | y)$ .
- For each i = 1, 2, ..., N do the following:
  - 1. Draw  $\sigma_{(i)}^2 \sim IG(a^*, b^*)$
  - 2. Draw  $\beta_{(i)} \sim N\left(Mm, \sigma_{(i)}^2 M\right)$
- The above is sometimes referred to as composition sampling.

## **Exact sampling from joint posterior distributions**

Suppose we wish to draw samples from a joint posterior:

$$p(\theta_1, \theta_2 | y) = p(\theta_1 | y) \times p(\theta_2 | \theta_1, y).$$

- In conjugate models, it is often easy to draw samples from  $p(\theta_1 \mid y)$  and from  $p(\theta_2 \mid \theta_1, y)$ .
- We can draw M samples from  $p(\theta_1, \theta_2 | y)$  as follows.
- For each i = 1, 2, ..., N do the following:
  - 1. Draw  $\theta_{1(i)} \sim p(\theta_1 \mid y)$
  - 2. Draw  $\theta_{2(i)} \sim p(\theta_2 \mid \theta_1, y)$
- Remarkably, the  $\theta_{2(i)}$ 's drawn above have marginal distribution  $p(\theta_2 \mid y)$  because:  $P(\theta_2 \leq u \mid y) = \mathsf{E}_{\theta_2 \mid y} \left[ 1(\theta_2 \leq u) \right] = \mathsf{E}_{\theta_1 \mid y} \left\{ \mathsf{E}_{\theta_2 \mid \theta_1, y} \left[ 1(\theta_2 \leq u) \right] \right\}$

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathsf{E}_{\theta_{2} \mid \theta_{1(i)}, y} \left[ 1(\theta_{2} \leq u) \right] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1(\theta_{2(i)} \leq u) \; .$$

• "Automatic Marginalization:" We draw samples  $p(\theta_1, \theta_2 | y)$  and automatically get samples from  $p(\theta_1 | y)$  and  $p(\theta_2 | y)$ .

### Bayesian predictions from linear regression

- Let  $\tilde{y}$  denote an  $m \times 1$  vector of outcomes we seek to predict based upon predictors  $\tilde{X}$ .
- We seek the posterior predictive density:

$$p(\tilde{y} | y) = \int p(\tilde{y} | \theta, y) p(\theta | y) d\theta$$
.

- Posterior predictive inference: sample from  $p(\tilde{y} \mid y)$ .
- For each i = 1, 2, ..., N do the following:
  - 1. Draw  $\theta_{(i)} \sim p(\theta \mid y)$
  - 2. Draw  $\tilde{y}_{(i)} \sim p(\tilde{y} \mid \theta_{(i)}, y)$

## Bayesian predictions from linear regression (contd.)

- For legitimate probabilistic predictions (forecasting), the conditional distribution  $p(\tilde{y} \mid \theta, y)$  must be well-defined.
- For example, consider the case with  $V_y = I_n$ . Specify the linear model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y \\ \tilde{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ \tilde{X} \end{bmatrix} \beta + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \tilde{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \; ; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \tilde{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \, \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} I_n & O \\ O & I_m \end{bmatrix} \right) \; .$$

Easy to derive the conditional density:

$$p(\tilde{y} \mid \theta, y) = p(\tilde{y} \mid \theta) = N(\tilde{y} \mid \tilde{X}\beta, \sigma^{2}I_{m})$$

Posterior predictive density:

$$p(\tilde{y} \mid y) = \int N(\tilde{y} \mid \tilde{X}\beta, \sigma^2 I_m) p(\beta, \sigma^2 \mid y) d\beta d\sigma^2.$$

- For each i = 1, 2, ..., N do the following:
  - 1. Draw  $\{\beta_{(i)}, \sigma_{(i)}^2\} \sim p(\beta, \sigma^2 | y)$
  - 2. Draw  $\tilde{y}_{(i)} \sim N(\tilde{X}\beta_{(i)}, \sigma_{(i)}^2 I_m)$

## Bayesian predictions from general linear regression

• For example, consider the case with general  $V_y$ . Specify:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y \\ \tilde{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ \tilde{X} \end{bmatrix} \beta + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \tilde{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \; ; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \tilde{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \, , \, \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} V_y & V_{y\tilde{y}} \\ V_{y\tilde{y}}^\top & V_{\tilde{y}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \; .$$

Derive the conditional density

$$p(\tilde{y} \mid \theta, y) = N(\tilde{y} \mid \mu_{\tilde{y}|y}, \sigma^2 V_{\tilde{y}|y}):$$

$$\mu_{\tilde{y}|y} = \tilde{X}\beta + V_{y\tilde{y}}^{\top}V_{y}^{-1}(y - X\beta); \quad V_{\tilde{y}|y} = V_{\tilde{y}} - V_{y\tilde{y}}^{\top}V_{y}^{-1}V_{y\tilde{y}}.$$

Posterior predictive density:

$$p(\tilde{y} \mid y) = \int N\left(\tilde{y} \mid \mu_{\tilde{y}|y}, \sigma^2 V_{\tilde{y}|y}\right) p(\beta, \sigma^2 \mid y) d\beta d\sigma^2.$$

- For each i = 1, 2, ..., N do the following:
  - 1. Draw  $\{\beta_{(i)}, \sigma_{(i)}^2\} \sim p(\beta, \sigma^2 | y)$
  - 2. Compute  $\mu_{\tilde{y}|y}$  using  $\beta_{(i)}$  and draw  $\tilde{y}_{(i)} \sim N(\mu_{\tilde{y}|y}, \sigma_{(i)}^2 V_{\tilde{y}})$

### **Application to Bayesian Geostatistics**

Consider the spatial regression model

$$y(s_i) = x^{\top}(s_i)\beta + w(s_i) + \epsilon(s_i)$$
,

where  $w(s_i)$ 's are spatial random effects and  $\epsilon(s_i)$ 's are unstructured errors ("white noise").

- $w = (w(s_1), w(s_2), \dots, w(s_n))^{\top} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 R(\phi))$
- $\epsilon = (\epsilon(s_1), \epsilon(s_2), \dots, \epsilon(s_n))^{\top} \sim N(0, \tau^2 I_n)$
- Integrating out random effects leads to a Bayesian model:

$$IG(\sigma^2 \mid a, b) \times N(\beta \mid \mu_{\beta}, \sigma^2 V_{\beta}) \times N(y \mid X\beta, \sigma^2 V_y)$$

where  $V_y = R(\phi) + \alpha I_n$  and  $\alpha = \tau^2/\sigma^2$  .

- Fixing  $\phi$  and  $\alpha$  (e.g., from variogram or other EDA) yields a conjugate Bayesian model.
- Exact posterior sampling is easily achieved as before.

### Inference on spatial random effects

• Rewrite the model in terms of w as:

$$IG(\sigma^2 \mid a, b) \times N(\beta \mid \mu_{\beta}, \sigma^2 V_{\beta}) \times N(w \mid 0, \sigma^2 R(\phi))$$
$$\times N(y \mid X\beta + w, \tau^2 I_n) .$$

Posterior distribution of spatial random effects w:

$$p(w \mid y) = \int N(w \mid Mm, \sigma^2 M) \times p(\beta, \sigma^2 \mid y) \mathrm{d}\beta \mathrm{d}\sigma^2 \;,$$
 where  $m = (1/\alpha)(y - X\beta)$  and  $M^{-1} = R^{-1}(\phi) + (1/\alpha)I_n$ .

- For each i = 1, 2, ..., N do the following:
  - 1. Draw  $\{\beta_{(i)}, \sigma_{(i)}^2\} \sim p(\beta, \sigma^2 \mid y)$
  - 2. Compute m from  $\beta_{(i)}$  and draw  $w_{(i)} \sim N(Mm, \sigma_{(i)}^2 M)$

#### Inference on the process

• Posterior distribution of  $w(s_0)$  at new location  $s_0$ :

$$p(w(s_0) | y) = \int N(w(s_0) | \mu_{w(s_0)|w}, \sigma^2_{w(s_0)|w}) \times p(\sigma^2, w | y) d\sigma^2 dw,$$

where

$$\mu_{w(s_0)|w} = r^{\top}(s_0; \phi) R^{-1}(\phi) w ;$$
  
$$\sigma^2_{w(s_0)|w} = \sigma^2 \{ 1 - r^{\top}(s_0; \phi) R^{-1}(\phi) r(s_0, \phi) \}$$

- For each i = 1, 2, ..., N do the following:
  - 1. Compute  $\mu_{w(s_0)|w}$  and  $\sigma^2_{w(s_0)|w}$  from  $w_{(i)}$  and  $\sigma^2_{(i)}$ .
  - 2. Draw  $w_{(i)}(s_0) \sim N(\mu_{w(s_0)|w}, \sigma^2_{w(s_0)|w})$ .

### Bayesian "kriging" or prediction

■ Posterior predictive distribution at new location  $s_0$  is  $p(y(s_0)|y)$ :

$$\int N(y(s_0) | x^{\top}(s_0)\beta + w(s_0), \alpha\sigma^2) \times p(\beta, \sigma^2, w | y) d\beta d\sigma^2 dw ,$$

- For each i = 1, 2, ..., N do the following:
  - 1. Draw  $y_{(i)}(s_0) \sim N(x^{\top}(s_0)\beta_{(i)} + w_{(i)}(s_0), \alpha \sigma_{(i)}^2)$ .

#### Non-conjugate models: The Gibbs Sampler

- Let  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)$  be the parameters in our model.
- $\theta^{(0)} = (\theta_1^{(0)}, \dots, \theta_p^{(0)})$
- For j = 1, ..., M, update successively using the *full conditional* distributions:

$$\begin{split} & \theta_{1}^{(j)} \sim p(\theta_{1}^{(j)} \mid \theta_{2}^{(j-1)}, \dots, \theta_{p}^{(j-1)}, y) \\ & \theta_{2}^{(j)} \sim p(\theta_{2} \mid \theta_{1}^{(j)}, \theta_{3}^{(j-1)}, \dots, \theta_{p}^{(j-1)}, y) \\ & \vdots \\ & (\text{the generic } k^{th} \text{ element}) \\ & \theta_{k}^{(j)} \sim p(\theta_{k} | \theta_{1}^{(j)}, \dots, \theta_{k-1}^{(j)}, \theta_{k+1}^{(j-1)}, \dots, \theta_{p}^{(j-1)}, y) \\ & \vdots \\ & \theta_{p}^{(j)} \sim p(\theta_{p} \mid \theta_{1}^{(j)}, \dots, \theta_{p-1}^{(j)}, y) \end{split}$$

- In principle, the Gibbs sampler will work for extremely complex hierarchical models. The only issue is sampling from the full conditionals. They may not be amenable to easy sampling – when these are not in closed form. A more general and extremely powerful - and often easier to code - algorithm is the Metropolis-Hastings (MH) algorithm.
- This algorithm also constructs a Markov Chain, but does not necessarily care about full conditionals.
- Popular approach: Embed Metropolis steps within Gibbs to draw from full conditionals that are not accessible to directly generate from.

#### The Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm

- The Metropolis-Hastings algorithm: Start with a initial value for  $\theta = \theta^{(0)}$ . Select a *candidate* or *proposal* distribution from which to propose a value of  $\theta$  at the j-th iteration:  $\theta^{(j)} \sim q(\theta^{(j-1)}, \nu)$ . For example,  $q(\theta^{(j-1)}, \nu) = N(\theta^{(j-1)}, \nu)$  with  $\nu$  fixed.
- Compute

$$r = \frac{p(\theta^* \mid y)q(\theta^{(j-1)} \mid \theta^*, \nu)}{p(\theta^{(j-1)} \mid y)q(\theta^* \mid \theta^{(j-1)} \nu)}$$

- If  $r \ge 1$  then set  $\theta^{(j)} = \theta^*$ . If  $r \le 1$  then draw  $U \sim (0,1)$ . If  $U \le r$  then  $\theta^{(j)} = \theta^*$ . Otherwise,  $\theta^{(j)} = \theta^{(j-1)}$ .
- Repeat for  $j=1,\ldots M$ . This yields  $\theta^{(1)},\ldots,\theta^{(M)}$ , which, after a burn-in period, will be samples from the true posterior distribution. It is important to monitor the acceptance ratio r of the sampler through the iterations. Rough recommendations: for vector updates  $r\approx 20\%$ ., for scalar updates  $r\approx 40\%$ . This can be controlled by "tuning"  $\nu$ .
- Popular approach: Embed Metropolis steps within Gibbs to draw from full conditionals that are not accessible to directly generate from.

- Example: For the linear model, our parameters are  $(\beta, \sigma^2)$ . We write  $\theta = (\beta, \log(\sigma^2))$  and, at the j-th iteration, propose  $\theta^* \sim N(\theta^{(j-1)}, \Sigma)$ . The log transformation on  $\sigma^2$  ensures that all components of  $\theta$  have support on the entire real line and can have meaningful proposed values from the multivariate normal. But we need to transform our prior to  $p(\beta, \log(\sigma^2))$ .
- Let  $z = \log(\sigma^2)$  and assume  $p(\beta, z) = p(\beta)p(z)$ . Let us derive p(z). REMEMBER: we need to adjust for the jacobian. Then  $p(z) = p(\sigma^2)|d\sigma^2/dz| = p(e^z)e^z$ . The jacobian here is  $e^z = \sigma^2$ .
- Let  $p(\beta) = 1$  and an  $p(\sigma^2) = IG(\sigma^2 \mid a, b)$ . Then log-posterior is:

$$-(a+n/2+1)z+z-\frac{1}{e^{z}}\{b+\frac{1}{2}(Y-X\beta)^{T}(Y-X\beta)\}.$$

- A symmetric proposal distribution, say  $q(\theta^*|\theta^{(j-1)}, \Sigma) = N(\theta^{(j-1)}, \Sigma)$ , cancels out in r. In practice it is better to compute  $\log(r)$ :  $\log(r) = \log(p(\theta^*|y) \log(p(\theta^{(j-1)}|y))$ . For the proposal,  $N(\theta^{(j-1)}, \Sigma)$ ,  $\Sigma$  is a  $d \times d$  variance-covariance matrix, and  $d = \dim(\theta) = p + 1$ .
- If  $\log r \ge 0$  then set  $\theta^{(j)} = \theta^*$ . If  $\log r \le 0$  then draw  $U \sim (0,1)$ . If  $U \le r$  (or  $\log U \le \log r$ ) then  $\theta^{(j)} = \theta^*$ . Otherwise,  $\theta^{(j)} = \theta^{(j-1)}$ .
- Repeat the above procedure for  $j=1,\ldots M$  to obtain samples  $\theta^{(1)},\ldots,\theta^{(M)}$ .