

# Midterm CSCI 356

Spring 2023

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: \_\_\_\_\_

Problem	Points	Max
1		10
2		10
3		10
4		10
5		10
6		10
7		20
8		20
Total		100

Do not progress to the next page before being told to do so.

## NOTES REGARDING TIME COMPLEXITY ANALYSIS

The time-complexity using Big-O notation for a code fragment establishes an upper bound on worst-case performance. When solving for the time complexity of a code fragment, always answer with the tightest possible time complexity you can justify. If your time complexity is greater than the tightest that can be justified given a good understanding of the problem, but your time complexity is a correct upper bound, you will get partial credit.

Assume all of the following operations take exactly 1 step.

- mathematical operators: division, multiplication, addition, and subtraction operators ( $/$ ,  $*$ ,  $+$ ,  $-$ )
- assignment (e.g.,  $x = 5$ )
- relational operators ( $<$ ,  $>$ ,  $<=$ ,  $>=$ ,  $==$ )

We do not know the exact number of steps taken by operations on lists or dicts. For such operations just use the Big-O notation for the number of steps those operations take.

Operations on dicts and lists may take greater than  $O(1)$  steps depending on the operation.

The time complexity of a function call depends on the code within the function. A function that does nothing but return takes exactly 1 step to call and return, add to this the time in steps to execute the body of the function.

### Example Acceptable Answer

When answering with regard to time complexity be sure to specify which group of lines are repeated and how many times. For example,

```
for i in range(n):      # (1)  n * (lines (2) and (3))
    for j in range(n):  # (2)  n * (line (3))
        k = 2 + 2      # (3)  2 steps
```

Clearly define the time in steps for each block of code. The following would be a complete answer:

Let  $T(n)$  denote the execution time measured in steps of the code fragment above.

Let  $T_3(n)$  denote the time in steps to execute line (3) as a function of  $n$ .

Let  $T_2(n)$  denote the time in steps to execute lines (2) and (3).

Let  $T_1(n)$  denote the time in steps to execute lines (1), (2), and (3). Since this encompasses all lines  $T(n) = T_1(n)$  is the total number of steps to complete the code fragment above.

Let's start by analyzing the code inside the loops, i.e., line (3).

`k = 2 + 2`

As per the notes on page 2, the above is exactly 2 steps.

$$\begin{aligned}T_3(n) &= 2 \text{ steps} \\T_2(n) &= n \cdot T_3(n) = 2n \text{ steps} \\T_1(n) &= n \cdot T_2(n) = n \cdot 2n = 2n^2 \text{ steps}\end{aligned}$$

Because  $T_1$  covers all steps,

$$T(n) = 2n^2 \tag{1}$$

The definition of big-O states that function  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  provided there exists a  $C$  and  $n_0$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $f(n) \leq Cg(n)$ . Therefore,

$$T(n) = 2n^2 \leq Cn^2 \text{ for } C \geq 2. \tag{2}$$

Thus,

$$\boxed{T(n) = O(n^2)} \tag{3}$$

**STOP**

**Do not progress to the next page before being told to do so.**

**Problem 1** (10 points)

What is the tightest time complexity you can justify for the following code fragment? Consult the “Example Acceptable Answer” on page 3.

```
x = 0
for i in range(0, n, 3):    # the 3 denotes skip 3 so i = 0, 3, ...
    x = x + i
```

**Problem 2** (10 points)

What is the tightest time complexity you can justify for the following code fragment? Consult the “Example Acceptable Answer” on page 3.

```
def f(x):
    x *= 2
    return x
```

```
def h(x):
    x = f(x)
    x = f(x)
```

```
h(x)
```

**Problem 3** (10 points)

What is the tightest time complexity you can justify for the following code fragment. Consult the “Example Acceptable Answer” on page 3.

```
i = 0
j = 1
while i * i < n: # n is set before this code fragment
    j *= 2
    i += 1
```

**Problem 4** (10 points)

What is the tightest time complexity you can justify for the following code fragment? Consult the “Example Acceptable Answer” on page 3.

```
x = 0
for i in range(n):
    for j in range(i, n):
        x += i * j
```

**Problem 5** (10 points)

What is the tightest time complexity you can justify for calling  $f(n)$  as a function of  $n$ ? Consult the “Example Acceptable Answer” on page 3. NOTE: Calling `randint()` once takes  $O(1)$  time. Ignore the cost of importing.

```
from random import randint

def f(n):
    x = []
    for _ in range(n):
        x.append(randint(0,1000))

    for _ in range(n):
        x.insert(randint(0, len(x)), randint(0, 1000))
```

**Problem 6** (10 points)

What is the tightest time complexity you can justify for calling  $f(n)$  as a function of  $n$ ? the following code fragment. Consult the “Example Acceptable Answer” on page 3. NOTE: Calling `randint()` takes  $O(1)$  time. Ignore the cost of importing. `shuffle()` takes  $O(n)$  time.

```
from random import randint, shuffle

def f(n):
    keys = []
    for i in range(n):
        keys.append(i)
    shuffle(keys) # shuffle takes  $O(n)$ . It randomly reorders the list.
    d = {}
    for k in keys:
        d[k] = randint(0, 1000)
    shuffle(keys)
    for k in keys:
        del d[k]
```



**Problem 7** (20 points)

The following is based on the `high_low` example we went over in class.

What is the tightest time complexity you can justify for calling `find_multi` given a `sorted_list` of length  $n$  and a list of `targets` of length  $m$ . The resulting time complexity will be a function of both  $n$  and  $m$ . Consult the “Example Acceptable Answer” on page 3.

```
def high_low(sorted_list, target):
    """
    find whether the target value is in the sorted list using binary
    search.
    """

    low = 0
    high = len(sorted_list) - 1

    while low <= high:
        mid = (low + high) // 2
        mid_value = sorted_list[mid]

        if mid_value == target:
            return mid
        elif mid_value < target:
            low = mid + 1
        else:
            high = mid - 1
    return None

def find_multi(sorted_list: list, targets: list):
    """
    This returns the subset of the targets that were
    found in the passed `sorted list`. The targets
    are not in necessarily in order.
    """

    found = []
    for tgt in targets:
        i = high_low(sorted_list, tgt)
        if i is not None:
            found.append(tgt)

    return found
```

**Problem 7** (cont.)

More space for problem 7.

**Problem 8** (20 points)

Write an iterator class that traverses a list in reverse order, i.e., provide the body of the `__init__` and `__next__` methods.

```
class ReverseIterator:

    def __init__(self, x: list):
        ...

    def __iter__(self):
        return self

    def __next__(self):
        ...
```

- (b) Write a code fragment showing the iterator being used by a for loop to iterate over an example list.

**Problem 8** (cont.)

- (c) What is the time complexity of iterating over all elements in the list in reverse order?