GENE638 - Homework 3

Daniel Osorio - dcosorioh@tamu.edu
Department of Veterinary Integrative Biosciences
Texas A&M University

1. Given the Lactation Milfat Yield Data and assuming the model $y_{ijk} = \mu + H_i + L_j + C_k + \epsilon_{ijk}$ where herd (H), lactation (L), and cow (C) effects are fixed effects, and $\underline{y} = X\underline{\beta} + \epsilon$; $E[\underline{y}] = X\underline{\beta}$; $Var(\underline{y}) = Var(\underline{\epsilon}) = I\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 = V$.

COW	HERD	LACTATION	MILKFAT (lb)
1	1	1	600
1	1	2	680
2	1	1	500
3	2	1	800
3	2	2	895
4	2	1	775
5	2	1	600
5	2	2	715

(a) What are y, X and β for this design?

(b) Write the equations $X'X\beta = X'\underline{y}$ in matrix form (after multiplication of both sides by σ_{ϵ}^2)

(c) Show that $\hat{\mu} = 705.8$; $\hat{H}_1 = -109.2$; $\hat{H}_2 = 0$; $\hat{L}_1 = -96.7$; $\hat{L}_2 = 0$; $\hat{C}_1 = 91.7$; $\hat{C}_2 = 0$; $\hat{C}_3 = 190$; $\hat{C}_4 = 165.8$; $\hat{C}_5 = 0$, provide a solution for the systems of equation in (b).

1

(d) Find $\hat{\underline{\epsilon}} = \underline{y} - X\beta$, which are the fitted errors when fixed effects model assumed. The deviation with respect to the mean of the phenotypes after subtracting all the effects associated with the fixed effects.

[4,] 0.9 [5,] -0.8

[6,] 0.9

[7,] -9.1

[8,] 9.2

(e) Find $\underline{\hat{\epsilon}}'\underline{\hat{\epsilon}}$, the error sum of squares.

2. Now, setting cow (C) effects as random effect, the model is: $\underline{y} = X\beta + Zu + \epsilon$, and:

$$E\begin{bmatrix} \underline{y} \\ \underline{u} \\ \underline{e} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X\underline{\beta} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}; Var \left(\begin{bmatrix} \underline{y} \\ \underline{u} \\ \underline{e} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} ZGZ' + R & ZG & R \\ GZ' & G & 0 \\ R & 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$$

The difference here is that cows are not longer in $\underline{\beta}$. Generalized least-squares estimate of $\underline{\beta}$ and predictor \underline{u} satisfy:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} X'R^{-1}X & X'R^{-1}Z \\ Z'R^{-1}X & Z'R^{-1}Z+G^{-1} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \hat{\underline{\beta}} \\ \underline{\hat{u}} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} X'R^{-1}\underline{y} \\ Z'R^{-1}\underline{y} \end{array}\right]$$

and if $G=I\sigma_g^2,\,R=I\sigma_\epsilon^2,$ and $\lambda=\frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{\sigma_g^2},$ these may be written as:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} X'X & X'Z \\ Z'X & Z'Z + I\lambda \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \hat{\underline{\beta}} \\ \hat{\underline{u}} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} X'\underline{y} \\ Z'\overline{y} \end{array}\right]$$

(a) What are X, Z, β and \underline{u} for this design?

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \underline{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ H_1 \\ H_2 \\ L_1 \\ L_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{u} = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \\ C_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) If $\lambda = 0$, write these equations and show that they are identical to the equations in 1(b) where cows were modeled as a fixed effect.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 3 & 5 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ H_1 \\ H_2 \\ L_1 \\ L_2 \\ C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \\ C_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 600 \\ 680 \\ 500 \\ 800 \\ 895 \\ 775 \\ 600 \\ 715 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. And now X in 1(a) can be partitioned as [X:Z] for X and Z as defined in 2(a), so now:

$$\begin{split} \underline{y} &= X \underline{\beta} + Z \underline{u} + \epsilon \\ &= [X:Z] \left[\begin{array}{c} \underline{\hat{\beta}} \\ \underline{\hat{u}} \end{array} \right] + \epsilon \\ &= W \underline{\theta} + \epsilon \end{split}$$

Which is of the same form as $\underline{y} = X\underline{\beta} + \underline{\epsilon}$. See therefore that separating fixed and random effects in the model as $\underline{y} = X\underline{\beta} + Z\underline{u} + \underline{\epsilon}$ is only convention. Elements of \underline{u} are not treated as random effects for purpose of prediction until G^{-1} is included in the MME model.

(a) Assume $\lambda = \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}{\sigma_q^2} = 1.5$. Now write the completed equations from above

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8.0 & 3.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 3.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 2.0 \\ 3.0 & 3.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 5.0 & 0.0 & 5.0 & 3.0 & 2.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 2.0 \\ 5.0 & 2.0 & 3.0 & 5.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\ 3.0 & 1.0 & 2.0 & 0.0 & 3.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 \\ 2.0 & 2.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 3.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.5 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.5 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.5 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.5 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.5 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.5 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.5 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 3.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.1 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.$$

(b) Show that $\hat{\mu}=819.4; \ \hat{H}_1=-168.6; \ \hat{H}_2=0; \ \hat{L}_1=-96.9; \ \hat{L}_2=0; \ \hat{C}_1=21.5; \ \hat{C}_2=-21.5; \ \hat{C}_3=43.8; \ \hat{C}_4=21; \ \hat{C}_5=-64.8$ provide a solution to the systems of equations.

- > betaHat <- cbind(c(mu = 819.4, H1 = -186.6, H2 = 0, L1 = -96.9, L2 = 0))
- > uHat <- cbind(c(C1 = 21.5, C2 = -21.5, C3 = 43.8, C4 = 21, C5 = -64.8))
- > yHat <- X %*% betaHat + Z %*% uHat
- > yHat

```
[,1]
[1,] 557.4
[2,] 654.3
[3,] 514.4
[4,] 766.3
[5,] 863.2
[6,] 743.5
[7,] 657.7
[8,] 754.6
```

- * Note here that $\hat{\mu}$ estimates $\mu + H_2 + L_2$; \hat{H}_1 estimates $H_1 H_2$; \hat{H}_2 estimates 0; \hat{L}_1 estimates $L_1 L_2$; \hat{L}_2 estimates 0; \hat{C}_k predicts C_k
- (c) Find $\hat{\underline{\epsilon}} = \underline{y} X\hat{\underline{\beta}} Z\hat{\underline{u}}$.
 - i. Which are the fitted errors when mixed model assumed?

- ii. What do the residuals here and in 1(d) predict? The deviation with respect to the mean of the phenotypes after subtracting all the effects associated with the fixed effects (1(d)) and also the random effects (3(c)).
- (d) Find $\underline{\hat{\epsilon}}'\underline{\hat{\epsilon}}$ and compare results to those in 1(e). What appears to be a consequence of modeling animals as fixed effects? An increase of the measurable variability of the individuals in the model.
 - > t(eHat) %*% eHat [,1] [1,] 10719.24