

ICPSR 34808

SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2012 Election

Charles Prysby
University of North Carolina-Greensboro

Carmine Scavo
*East Carolina University-Greenville, North
Carolina*

American Political Science Association

*Inter-university Consortium for Political
and Social Research*

Codebook

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/34808/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR #34808
SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2012 Election

1. **Unavailable data:** Data are not available for variables **R07** and **R21**.
2. **Additional Information:** Please visit the [Voting Behavior: The 2012 Election](#) Web site for more information on SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2012 Election.

ICPSR #34808

SETUPS
VOTING BEHAVIOR: THE 2012 ELECTION

Original P.I. Documentation

SETUPS
VOTING BEHAVIOR: THE 2012 ELECTION
CODEBOOK

(The number of cases listed for each code is the weighted N)

A: VOTING BEHAVIOR AND RELATED ITEMS

A01 Voted in 2012 election

Did you vote in the November general election?

1	Voted	4283
2	Did not vote	1218
9	NA	413

A02 Presidential vote

For whom did you vote for President in 2012?

1	Obama	2175
2	Romney	1882
3	Other	131
9	NA	1726

A03 House vote

For whom did you vote for in the U.S. House elections? (Responses are classified by the party of the candidate)

1	Democrat	1695
2	Republican	1788
3	Other	93
9	NA	2338

A04 Senate vote

For whom did you vote in the U.S. Senate elections? (Responses are classified by the party of the candidate)

1	Democrat	1578
2	Republican	1340
3	Other	52
9	NA	2944

A05 Type of House race

Classification of the type of race for the House of Representatives in the respondent's congressional district. Districts were classified as to whether: (1) a Democratic incumbent was running for reelection (this category includes two California districts where two Democratic incumbents ran against each other in the general election); (2) a Democratic and a Republican incumbent were running against each other in the general election, which was the case in two districts in 2014; (3) no incumbent was running for reelection; (4) a Republican incumbent was running for reelection (this category includes one district in

Louisiana where two Republican incumbents faced each other in the general election).

1	Democratic incumbent running	2055
2	Democratic and Republican incumbent running	32
3	Open seat (no incumbent running)	737
4	Republican incumbent running	2387
9	NA	703

A06 Type of Senate race

Classification of the type of race for the U.S. Senate in the respondent's state. States were classified as to whether: (1) a Democratic incumbent was running for reelection; (2) no incumbent was running for reelection; (3) a Republican incumbent was running for reelection; or (4) no Senate race was being held in 2012.

1	Democratic incumbent running	2865
2	Open seat	1191
3	Republican incumbent running	533
4	No Senate race	1325

A07 Party identification

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or what? If Democrat or Republican, would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or a not very strong Democrat/Republican? If independent, do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

1	Strong Democrat	1156
2	Weak Democrat	890
3	Independent Democrat	691
4	Independent	839
5	Independent Republican	721
6	Weak Republican	731
7	Strong Republican	867
9	NA	20

A08 Feeling thermometer: Democratic Party

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Democratic Party? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	1099
2	25-49	1088
3	50	885
4	51-75	1569
5	76-100	1214
9	NA	60

A09 Feeling thermometer: Republican Party

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Democratic Party? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	1485
2	25-49	1173
3	50	970
4	51-75	1509
5	76-100	717
9	NA	61

A10 2008 presidential vote

For whom did you vote in the 2008 presidential election?

1	Obama	2376
2	McCain	1893
3	Other	106
4	Did not vote	1446
9	NA	92

B: POLITICAL INVOLVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION ITEMS**B01 Attention paid to government and politics**

How often do you pay attention to what's going on in government and politics?

1	Always	977
2	Most of the time	1851
3	About half the time	1275
4	Some of the time	1646
5	Never	161
9	NA	3

B02 Campaign involvement

Index of campaign involvement, built from the following questions:

(1) Did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates? (2) Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or other things in support of a particular candidate? (3) Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or a sign in your window/front of your house? (4) Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates? (5) Did you visit any presidential candidates' websites?

1	No acts	3194
2	One act	1824
3	Two or more acts	889
9	NA	7

B03 Campaign contributions

Respondents were classified as having contributed money if they gave money during the election year to an individual candidate running for public office, to a political party, or to any other group that supported or opposed candidates.

1	Yes	746
2	No	4754
9	NA	414

B04 Contacted public official

During the past 12 months, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?

1	Yes	1127
2	No	4374
9	NA	412

B05 Interest in campaign

How interested have you been in the political campaigns so far this year?

1	Very interested	2422
2	Somewhat interested	2508
3	Not much interested	981
9	NA	3

B06 Frequency of political discussions

How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with family or friends?

1	0 days	2298
2	1-2 days	1808
3	3-5 days	934
4	6-7 days	425
9	NA	448

B07 Community work/Community meeting

Index built from the following questions: During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community? During the past 12 months, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

1	Did both	988
2	Did one	1096
3	Did neither	3409
9	NA	421

C: MEDIA EXPOSURE AND CONSUMPTION ITEMS

C01 Frequency of reading newspaper

During a typical week, how many days do you read news in a printed newspaper, not including sports?

1	0 days	2507
2	1-2 days	1473
3	3-5 days	875
4	6-7 days	1049
9	NA	9

C02 Attention paid to national politics in newspaper

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics in printed newspapers?

1	A great deal	893
2	Some	1139
3	A little	1114
4	None at all	2759
9	NA	9

C03 Frequency of viewing news on television per week

During a typical week, how many days do you watch news on television, not including sports?

1	None	1020
2	1-2 days	1107
3	3-5 days	1741
4	6-7 days	2039
9	NA	8

C04 Attention paid to national politics on television

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on television?

1	A great deal	1841
2	Some	1711
3	A little	1203
4	None at all	1147
9	NA	11

C05 Frequency of viewing news on internet per week

During a typical week, how many days do you view news on the internet, not including sports?

1	0 days	1584
2	1-2 days	942
3	3-5 days	1521
4	6-7 days	1858
9	NA	8

C06 Attention paid to internet news on politics

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on the internet?

1	A great deal	1137
2	Some	1373
3	A little	1367
4	None at all	1805
9	NA	232

C07 Frequency of listening to news on radio

During a typical week, how many days do you listen to news on the radio, not including sports?

1	0 days	2341
2	1-2 days	1035
3	3-5 days	1730
4	6-7 days	797
9	NA	11

C08 Attention paid to radio news on politics

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on the radio?

1	A great deal	1094
2	Some	1130
3	A little	1099
4	None at all	2576
9	NA	14

C09 Learned about election on social media

Did you use social media such as Twitter or Facebook to learn about the election for President?

1	Yes	1960
2	No	3824
9	NA	130

C10 Learned about election on blogs

Did you use blogs to learn about the election for President?

1	Yes	500
2	No	5217
9	NA	197

D: CANDIDATE IMAGE ITEMS**D01 Obama: strong leader**

How well does the phrase 'he would provide strong leadership' describe Obama?

1	Extremely well	851
2	Very well	1299
3	Moderately well	1381
4	Slightly well	903
5	Not well at all	1412
9	NA	68

D02 Obama: cares

How well does the phrase 'he really cares about people like you' describe Obama?

1	Extremely well	1110
2	Very well	1217
3	Moderately well	1168
4	Slightly well	865
5	Not well at all	1486
9	NA	68

D03 Obama: knowledgeable

How well does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe Obama?

1	Extremely well	1310
2	Very well	1687
3	Moderately well	1408
4	Slightly well	840
5	Not well at all	606
9	NA	63

D04 Obama: intelligent

How well does the phrase 'he is intelligent' describe Obama?

1	Extremely well	1695
2	Very well	1953
3	Moderately well	1247
4	Slightly well	579
5	Not well at all	381
9	NA	60

D05 Obama: honest

How well does the phrase 'he is honest' describe Obama?

1	Extremely well	965
2	Very well	1324
3	Moderately well	1304
4	Slightly well	826

5	Not well at all	1426
9	NA	69

D06 Obama: moral

How well does the phrase 'he is moral' describe Obama?

1	Extremely well	1077
2	Very well	1425
3	Moderately well	1323
4	Slightly well	912
5	Not well at all	1071
9	NA	106

D07 Romney: strong leader

How well does the phrase 'he would provide strong leadership' describe Romney?

1	Extremely well	701
2	Very well	1195
3	Moderately well	1560
4	Slightly well	1039
5	Not well at all	1262
9	NA	157

D08 Romney: cares

How well does the phrase 'he cares about people like you' describe Romney?

1	Extremely well	362
2	Very well	765
3	Moderately well	1266
4	Slightly well	1010
5	Not well at all	2372
9	NA	138

D09 Romney: knowledgeable

How well does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe Romney?

1	Extremely well	758
2	Very well	1586
3	Moderately well	1657
4	Slightly well	1012
5	Not well at all	769
9	NA	131

D10 Romney: intelligent

How well does the phrase 'he is intelligent' describe Romney?

1	Extremely well	978
2	Very well	1949
3	Moderately well	1496

4	Slightly well	814
5	Not well at all	538
9	NA	138

D11 Romney: honest

How well does the phrase 'he is honest' describe Romney?

1	Extremely well	540
2	Very well	1005
3	Moderately well	1339
4	Slightly well	1109
5	Not well at all	1761
9	NA	160

D12 Romney: moral

How well does the phrase 'he is moral' describe Romney?

1	Extremely well	961
2	Very well	1217
3	Moderately well	1362
4	Slightly well	1096
5	Not well at all	1104
9	NA	174

D13 Feeling thermometer: Obama

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Barack Obama? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	1436
2	25-49	881
3	50	343
4	51-75	1325
5	76-100	1910
9	NA	19

D14 Feeling thermometer: Romney

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Mitt Romney? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	1541
2	25-49	1149
3	50	640
4	51-75	1365
5	76-100	1169
9	NA	50

D15 Feeling thermometer: Biden

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Joe Biden? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	1516
2	25-49	759
3	50	1047
4	51-75	1213
5	76-100	1163
9	NA	215

D16 Feeling thermometer: Ryan

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Paul Ryan? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	1434
2	25-49	735
3	50	1165
4	51-75	1111
5	76-100	1024
9	NA	443

D17 Obama: like-dislike scale

On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike the candidate and 10 means that you strongly like the candidate, where would you rate Barack Obama?

0	Strongly dislike	832
1		317
2		370
3		342
4		318
5		553
6		308
7		437
8		590
9		469
10	Strongly like	929
99	NA	451

D18 Romney: like-dislike scale

On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike the candidate and 10 means that you strongly like the candidate, where would you rate Mitt Romney?

0	Strongly dislike	860
1		386
2		497
3		465
4		410

5		726
6		425
7		538
8		553
9		250
10	Strongly like	330
99	NA	475

E. PRESIDENTIAL AND CONGRESSIONAL PERFORMANCE ITEMS

E01 Presidential job approval

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?

1	Strongly approve	1823
2	Approve	1099
3	Disapprove	700
4	Strongly disapprove	2131
9	NA	161

E02 Obama handling of economy

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling the economy?

1	Strongly approve	1541
2	Approve	1086
3	Disapprove	679
4	Strongly disapprove	2441
9	NA	167

E03 Obama handling of health care

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling health care?

1	Strongly approve	1907
2	Approve	853
3	Disapprove	582
4	Strongly disapprove	2403
9	NA	169

E04 Obama handling of foreign affairs

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling relations with foreign countries?

1	Strongly approve	1876
2	Approve	1129
3	Disapprove	676
4	Strongly disapprove	1973
9	NA	261

E05 Obama handling of Afghanistan

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling the war in Afghanistan?

1	Strongly approve	1792
2	Approve	1362
3	Disapprove	858
4	Strongly disapprove	1637
9	NA	266

E06 Congressional job approval

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

1	Strongly approve	342
2	Approve	900
3	Disapprove	1344
4	Strongly disapprove	2955
9	NA	374

F. ECONOMIC CONDITIONS ITEMS**F01 Financial situation past year**

Would you say you are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago?

1	Much better	516
2	Better	2180
3	About the same	477
4	Worse	1946
5	Much worse	723
9	NA	71

F02 Financial situation next year

Do you think that a year from now you will be better off financially, worse off, of just about the same as now?

1	Much better	787
2	Better	1350
3	About the same	2959
4	Worse	456
5	Much worse	217
9	NA	145

F03 Economy past year

Thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy as gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

1	Much better	178
2	Better	1545

3	About the same	2063
4	Worse	998
5	Much worse	1082
9	NA	48

F04 Economy next year

Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse in the next 12 months?

1	Much better	556
2	Better	1847
3	About the same	2469
4	Worse	514
5	Much worse	350
9	NA	177

F05 Unemployment past year

Would you say that over the past year, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

1	Much better	155
2	Better	1464
3	About the same	2084
4	Worse	1160
5	Much worse	994
9	NA	57

F06 Economic blame: Bush

How much is former President Bush to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years?

1	A great deal	1511
2	A lot	1215
3	A moderate amount	1655
4	A little	1202
5	Not at all	251
9	NA	80

F07 Economic blame: Obama

How much is President Obama to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years?

1	A great deal	844
2	A lot	891
3	A moderate amount	1446
4	A little	1628
5	Not at all	1027
9	NA	78

F08 Economic blame: Democrats

How much are Democrats in Congress to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years?

1	A great deal	738
2	A lot	1174
3	A moderate amount	2154
4	A little	1351
5	Not at all	346
9	NA	152

F09 Economic blame: Republicans

How much are Republicans in Congress to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years?

1	A great deal	989
2	A lot	1282
3	A moderate amount	2135
4	A little	1133
5	Not at all	209
9	NA	167

F10 Best party for economy

Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy?

1	Democrats	1860
2	Not much difference	2131
3	Republicans	1842
9	NA	82

F11 Economy if Obama wins

If Barack Obama wins the election, do you expect the economy in the country to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

1	Get better	2457
2	Stay the same	1459
3	Get worse	1945
9	NA	53

F12 Economy if Romney wins

If Mitt Romney wins the election, do you expect the economy in the country to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

1	Get better	2286
2	Stay the same	1493
3	Get worse	2014
9	NA	120

G: IDEOLOGY ITEMS**G01 Ideology**

Where would you place yourself on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

1	Very liberal	169
2	Liberal	603
3	Slightly liberal	1111
4	Moderate	1111
5	Slightly conservative	1478
6	Conservative	1047
7	Very conservative	250
9	NA	145

G02 Obama: Ideological placement

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

1	Very liberal	1557
2	Liberal	1628
3	Slightly liberal	893
4	Moderate	991
5	Slightly conservative	231
6	Conservative	239
7	Very conservative	140
9	NA	235

G03 Romney: Ideological placement

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

1	Very liberal	251
2	Liberal	293
3	Slightly liberal	308
4	Moderate	784
5	Slightly conservative	840
6	Conservative	2133
7	Very conservative	1003
9	NA	302

G04 Democratic Party: Ideological placement

Where would you place the Democratic Party on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

1	Very liberal	1309
2	Liberal	1942
3	Slightly liberal	927
4	Moderate	883
5	Slightly conservative	256
6	Conservative	243
7	Very conservative	122
9	NA	232

G05 Republican Party: Ideological placement

Where would you place the Republican Party on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

1	Very liberal	222
2	Liberal	273
3	Slightly liberal	310
4	Moderate	787
5	Slightly conservative	757
6	Conservative	1871
7	Very conservative	1450
9	NA	244

G06 Dem Party: Left-right placement

Where would you place the Democratic Party on a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? (Responses have been collapsed into five categories.)

1	Left	1258
2	Somewhat left	1402
3	Middle of the road	1516
4	Somewhat right	521
5	Right	487
9	NA	730

G07 Rep Party: Left-right placement

Where would you place the Republican Party on a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? (Responses have been collapsed into five categories.)

1	Left	429
2	Somewhat left	434
3	Middle of the road	1544
4	Somewhat right	1327
5	Right	1450
9	NA	731

H: TAXATION AND BUDGET ITEMS**H01 Favor/oppose reducing budget deficit**

How much do you favor or oppose the federal government doing things now to reduce the budget deficit?

1	Strongly favor	3372
2	Favor	848
3	Neither favor nor oppose	638
4	Oppose	270
5	Strongly oppose	302
9	NA	484

H02 Importance of reducing budget deficit

How important is it to reduce the federal budget deficit?

1	Extremely important	2460
2	Very important	1734
3	Moderately important	971
4	A little/not at all important	300
9	NA	449

H03 Reduce deficit by raising taxes over \$250K income

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by raising personal income taxes for those with incomes over \$250,000?

1	Favor	3728
2	Neither favor nor oppose	794
3	Oppose	939
9	NA	453

H04 Reduce deficit by changing Medicare to voucher

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by replacing Medicare with a voucher program?

1	Favor	691
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1495
3	Oppose	3144
9	NA	584

H05 Reduce deficit by raising corporate taxes

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by increasing corporate taxes?

1	Favor	3141
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1148
3	Oppose	1139
9	NA	486

H06 Reduce deficit by cutting military spending

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by cutting military spending?

1	Favor	1890
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1062
3	Oppose	2506
9	NA	456

H07 Reduce deficit by cutting federal employees 10%

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by reducing the number of federal employees by 10%?

1	Favor	3155
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1303
3	Oppose	988
9	NA	468

H08 Reduce deficit by cutting non-military spending

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by cutting non-military spending?

1	Favor	3482
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1033
3	Oppose	926
9	NA	473

H09 Favor/oppose tax on millionaires

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose increasing income taxes on people making over one million dollars per year?

1	Strongly favor	2929
2	Favor	1232
3	Neither favor nor oppose	695
4	Oppose	270
5	Strongly oppose	338
9	NA	451

J: ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL WELFARE ITEMS**J01 Government services and spending scale**

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should provide many fewer services and reduce spending a lot' and 7 means 'government should provide many more services and increase spending a lot'?

1	Provide many fewer services	483
2		796
3		957
4		1390
5		938
6		375
7	Provide many more services	293
9	NA	682

J02 Obama: Government services and spending scale

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should provide many fewer services and reduce spending a lot' and 7 means 'government should provide many more services and increase spending a lot'?

1	Provide many fewer services	136
2		167
3		265
4		971
5		1325
6		1378
7	Provide many more services	1578
9	NA	94

J03 Romney: Government services and spending scale

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should provide many fewer services and reduce spending a lot' and 7 means 'government should provide many more services and increase spending a lot'?

1	Provide many fewer services	1307
2		1523
3		1230
4		968
5		377
6		158
7	Provide many more services	166
9	NA	185

J04 Jobs and standard of living scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living' and 7 means 'government should let people get ahead on their own'?

1	Government should see to it	479
2		500
3		693
4		1278
5		863
6		924
7	Individuals on own	729
9	NA	448

J05 Obama: Jobs and standard of living scale

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living' and 7 means 'government should let people get ahead on their own'?

1	Government should see to it	1372
2		1284
3		1154
4		1145
5		413
6		194
7	Individuals on own	173
9	NA	180

J06 Romney: Jobs and standard of living scale

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living' and 7 means 'government should let people get ahead on their own'?

1	Government should see to it	142
2		187
3		311
4		820
5		925
6		1538
7	Individuals on own	1764
9	NA	227

J07 Health insurance plan scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone' and 7 means 'medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans'?

1	Government health plan	732
2		568
3		642
4		1037
5		787
6		867
7	Private health plans	811
9	NA	470

J08 Obama: Health insurance plan scale

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone' and 7 means 'medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans'?

1	Government health plan	2497
2		1161
3		748
4		693
5		288
6		224
7	Private health plans	201
9	NA	103

J09 Romney: Health insurance plan scale

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone' and 7 means 'medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans'?

1	Government health plan	174
2		145
3		319
4		905
5		985
6		1343
7	Private health plans	1836
9	NA	208

J10 Support for Obamacare

How much do you favor or oppose the health care reform law passed in 2010?

1	Favor greatly	1009
2	Favor somewhat	1211
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1393
4	Oppose somewhat	810
5	Oppose greatly	1422
9	NA	69

J11 Regulation of business

How much government regulation of business is good for society?

1	Great deal	933
2	Moderate amount	3136
3	Little or none	1357
9	NA	487

J12 Government action to reduce income inequality

How much do you agree or disagree with the statement, 'the government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels'?

1	Agree strongly	436
2	Agree somewhat	1104
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1430
4	Disagree somewhat	905
5	Disagree strongly	1564
9	NA	474

J13 Social security private accounts

How much do you favor or oppose the proposal that would allow people to put a portion of their social security payroll taxes into personal retirement accounts that would be invested in stocks and bonds?

1	Strongly favor	1449
2	Favor	1074
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1382
4	Oppose	489
5	Strongly oppose	1036
9	NA	484

J14 Environmental protection scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'the federal government needs to regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs' and 7 means 'federal government regulation will not do much to protect the environment and will cost us jobs'?

1	Regulate business	1023
2		919
3		821
4		967
5		568
6		397
7	Do not regulate	270
9	NA	951

J15 Obama: Environmental protection scale

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'the federal government needs to regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs' and 7 means 'federal government regulation will not do much to protect the environment and will cost us jobs'?

1	Regulate business	1468
2		1438
3		1094
4		1094
5		260
6		161
7	Do not regulate	89
9	NA	308

J16 Romney: Environmental protection scale

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven-point scale where 1 means ‘the federal government needs to regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs’ and 7 means ‘federal government regulation will not do much to protect the environment and will cost us jobs’?

1	Regulate business	182
2		238
3		439
4		1330
5		1022
6		1159
7	Do not regulate	1139
9	NA	405

J17 Offshore drilling

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose increased offshore drilling for oil and natural gas in U.S. waters?

1	Favor	3164
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1632
3	Oppose	1024
9	NA	94

J18 Nuclear power

Do you think the U.S. should have more nuclear power plants, fewer nuclear power plants, or the same number as it has now?

1	More nuclear plants	1719
2	Same number	2672
3	Fewer nuclear plants	1361
9	NA	162

J19 Outsourcing of jobs

Do you think the federal government should discourage companies from hiring workers in foreign countries to replace workers in the US, should encourage companies to do this, or stay out of the matter?

1	Should discourage	3722
2	Should stay out of matter	1526
3	Should encourage	176
9	NA	490

J20 Government spending on health care

How much more or less public expenditure on health should there be?

1	Much more	544
2	Somewhat more	1522
3	Same as now	2030
4	Somewhat less	881

5	Much less	453
9	NA	484

J21 Government spending on education

How much more or less public expenditure on education should there be?

1	Much more	1279
2	Somewhat more	1972
3	Same as now	1535
4	Somewhat less	443
5	Much less	238
9	NA	448

J22 Government spending on unemployment benefits

How much more or less public expenditure on unemployment benefits should there be?

1	Much more	368
2	Somewhat more	877
3	Same as now	2490
4	Somewhat less	1170
5	Much less	538
9	NA	471

J23 Government spending on social security

How much more or less public expenditure on social security should there be?

1	Much more	723
2	Somewhat more	1596
3	Same as now	2560
4	Somewhat less	411
5	Much less	140
9	NA	483

J24 Government spending on welfare

How much more or less public expenditure on welfare should there be?

1	Much more	202
2	Somewhat more	537
3	Same as now	2011
4	Somewhat less	1618
5	Much less	1063
9	NA	482

J25 Government spending on business and industry

How much more or less public expenditure on business and industry should there be?

1	Much more	255
2	Somewhat more	944
3	Same as now	2054

4	Somewhat less	1528
5	Much less	603
9	NA	530

J26 Government spending on law enforcement

How much more or less public expenditure on law enforcement should there be?

1	Much more	488
2	Somewhat more	1678
3	Same as now	2709
4	Somewhat less	446
5	Much less	132
9	NA	461

K: SOCIAL AND MORAL ISSUE ITEMS

K01 Abortion attitude

Which one of these opinions best agrees with your view on abortion? It should never be permitted; it should be permitted only in cases of rape, incest, and danger to the life of the mother; it should be permitted in other cases, if a clear need is established; it should be permitted whenever the mother chooses to have one.

1	Never permitted	719
2	Only for rape, etc.	1621
3	If clear need	916
4	Always	2605
9	NA	53

K02 Obama abortion position

Where would you place Barack Obama on the abortion scale?

1	Never permitted	250
2	Only for rape, etc.	703
3	If clear need	858
4	Always	3484
9	NA	618

K03 Romney abortion position

Where would you place Mitt Romney on the abortion scale?

1	Never permitted	1892
2	Only for rape, etc.	2370
3	If clear need	589
4	Always	392
9	NA	671

K04 Abortion woman's choice

How much do you favor or oppose abortion being legal if the woman chooses to have one?

1	Favor a great deal	1326
2	Favor moderately	593
3	Favor a little	417
4	Neither favor nor oppose	684
5	Oppose a little	233
6	Oppose moderately	388
7	Oppose a great deal	1775
9	NA	498

K05 Favor laws against gay/lesbian job discrimination

Do you favor or oppose laws to protect gays and lesbians against job discrimination?

1	Strongly favor	2972
2	Favor	1380
3	Oppose	578
4	Strongly oppose	779
9	NA	205

K06 Favor gays/lesbians serving in armed forces

Do you favor or oppose allowing gays and lesbians to serve in the United States Armed Forces?

1	Strongly favor	3551
2	Favor	1409
3	Oppose	227
4	Strongly oppose	576
9	NA	152

K07 Allow gays/lesbians to adopt

Should gays and lesbians be allowed to adopt children?

1	Yes	3613
2	No	2120
9	NA	182

K08 Gay/lesbian marriage

Should gays and lesbians be allowed to marry?

1	Should allow	2399
2	Allow civil unions only	1958
3	Should not allow	1489
9	NA	69

K09 US policy toward unauthorized immigrants

What should government policy be toward unauthorized immigrants now living in the U.S.?

- (1) Make all unauthorized immigrants felons and send them back to their home country.
- (2) Have a guest worker program that allows unauthorized immigrants to remain.
- (3) Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States, with certain requirement.
- (4) Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States without penalties.

1	Make felony and deport	1165
2	Guest worker program	989
3	Remain in US with requirements	3274
4	Remain in US without penalties	442
9	NA	44

K10 Allow citizenship to some unauthorized aliens

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the proposal to allow people who were illegally brought into the U.S. as children under the age of 16, who have lived in the U.S. five years or longer, and who have graduated from high school to become U.S. residents?

1	Favor	3175
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1593
3	Oppose	1123
9	NA	24

K11 State laws on unauthorized aliens

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose state laws that will require state and local police officers to determine the immigration status of a person if they find that there is reasonable suspicion that he or she is an undocumented immigrant?

1	Favor	3100
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1257
3	Oppose	1485
9	NA	71

K12 Desirable immigration level

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the U.S. to live should be increased, decreased, or kept the same?

1	Increased	744
2	Kept the same	2244
3	Decreased a little	1081
4	Decreased a lot	1307
9	NA	538

K13 Immigration reduces jobs

How likely is it that recent immigration levels will take jobs away from people already here?

1	Extremely likely	1049
2	Very likely	1110

3	Somewhat likely	2342
4	Not at all likely	941
9	NA	471

K14 Death penalty

How strongly do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

1	Strongly approve	3078
2	Approve	1138
3	Disapprove	710
4	Strongly disapprove	821
9	NA	167

K15 Gun control

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier, or keep the rules about the same as they are now?

1	More difficult	2725
2	The same/Easier	3169
9	NA	20

K16 Importance of gun control issue

How important is the issue of gun access to you personally?

1	Extremely important	748
2	Very important	1232
3	Somewhat important	1887
4	Not too important	1243
5	Not important at all	792
9	NA	12

K17 Moral Traditionalism

Index of support for traditional moral values, built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements:

(1) The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes (reversed); (2) The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society; (3) We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own (reversed); and (4) This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties.

Those who rank high on this index were more likely to select the traditional moral position on these items.

1	High traditionalism	1136
2		1286
3		1280
4		870
5	Low traditionalism	901
9	NA	441

K18 Equality Index

Index of commitment to equality, built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements:

(1) Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed; (2) We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country (reversed); (3) One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance; (4) This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are (reversed); (5) It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others (reversed).

Those who rank high on this index were more likely to choose the pro-equality position on each of these items.

1	Strong commitment	994
2		1116
3		1203
4		1142
5	Weak commitment	997
9	NA	462

K19 Legalization of marijuana

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the use of marijuana being legal?

1	Favor	2121
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1374
3	Oppose	1957
9	NA	462

L. WOMEN'S AND GENDER ISSUES**L01 Women in the home**

Do you think it is better, worse, or makes no difference for the family as a whole if the man works outside the home and the woman takes care of the home and family?

1	Much better	1339
2	Better	1172
3	No difference	2716
4	Worse	208
9	NA	478

L02 Women demanding equality seek special favors

When women demand equality these days, how often are they actually seeking special favors?

1	Never	1100
2	Sometimes	2718
3	Half the time	872
4	Most or all the time	700
9	NA	524

L03 Discrimination against women in employment

When employers make decisions about hiring and promotion, how often do they discriminate against women?

1	Never	292
2	Sometimes	3479
3	Half the time	1053
4	Most or all the time	559
9	NA	533

L04 Women complaining about discrimination cause more problems

When women complain about discrimination, how often do they cause more problems than they solve?

1	Never	495
2	Sometimes	2910
3	Half the time	1085
4	Most or all the time	904
9	NA	520

L05 Men or women have more opportunity

In the US today, do men have more opportunities for achievements than women have, do women have more opportunities than men, or do they have equal opportunities?

1	Men have more	3196
2	Equal opportunity	1995
3	Women have more	265
9	NA	458

L06 Better party for women

Which party do you think does a better job looking out for the interests of women?

1	Democrats	2495
2	Equal	743
3	Republicans	2128
9	NA	547

M: CIVIL RIGHTS AND RACE-RELATED ITEMS**M01 Aid to blacks scale**

Where would you place yourself on a seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should help blacks' and 7 means 'blacks should help themselves'.

1	Govt should help blacks	239
2		227
3		409
4		1240
5		697

6		942
7	Blacks should help themselves	1391
9	NA	767

M02 Obama: Aid to blacks scale

Where would you place Barack Obama on a seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should help blacks' and 7 means 'blacks should help themselves'.

1	Govt should help blacks	1440
2		1021
3		975
4		1387
5		398
6		203
7	Blacks should help themselves	218
9	NA	272

M03 Romney: Aid to blacks scale

Where would you place Mitt Romney on a seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should help blacks' and 7 means 'blacks should help themselves'.

1	Govt should help blacks	80
2		86
3		206
4		1308
5		952
6		1194
7	Blacks should help themselves	1653
9	NA	436

M04 Affirmative action in universities

How strongly do you favor or oppose allowing universities to increase the number of black students studying at their schools by considering race along with other factors when choosing students?

1	Strongly favor	273
2	Favor somewhat	541
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1851
4	Oppose somewhat	1343
5	Strongly oppose	1821
9	NA	84

M05 Affirmative action in workplace

How strongly do you favor or oppose allowing companies to increase the number of black workers by considering race along with other factors when choosing employees?

1	Strongly favor	245
2	Favor somewhat	475
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1790

4	Oppose somewhat	1311
5	Strongly oppose	2027
9	NA	66

M06 Fair job treatment for blacks

Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or is this not the federal government's business?

1	Government should insure	2098
2	Not business of government	2790
9	NA	1026

M07 Preferential hiring/promotion for blacks

How strongly do you support or oppose preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

1	Strongly support	555
2	Support	473
3	Against	1140
4	Strongly against	3130
9	NA	616

M08 Black support scale

Index of support for blacks, built from how strongly one agrees or disagrees with the following statements:

(1) Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors (reversed); (2) Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class; (3) Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.

Those who rank high on this index were more likely to give responses that were more sympathetic to blacks on each of these items.

1	High support	993
2		944
3		918
4		1333
5	Low support	1261
9	NA	465

M09 Discrimination against blacks

How much discrimination is there in the United States against blacks?

1	Great deal	1721
2	Moderate amount	2182
3	Little or none	1553
9	NA	457

M10 Discrimination against Hispanics

How much discrimination is there in the United States against Hispanics?

1	Great deal	1779
2	Moderate amount	2250
3	Little or none	1426
9	NA	459

M11 Discrimination against whites

How much discrimination is there in the United States against whites?

1	Great deal	414
2	Moderate amount	1177
3	Little or none	3863
9	NA	460

N: FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY ITEMS**N01 US security since Obama took office**

Would you say that compared to 2008, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

1	More secure	1850
2	No change	2334
3	Less secure	1669
9	NA	61

N02 US position in the world in last year

During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has grown stronger?

1	Weaker	2962
2	About the same	2251
3	Stronger	637
9	NA	64

N03 Limit US world role

Do you agree or disagree with the statement, 'this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.'

1	Agree	2217
2	Disagree	3589
9	NA	109

N04 War in Afghanistan worth the cost

Taking everything into account, do you think the war in Afghanistan has been worth the cost or not?

1	Worth it	1534
2	Not worth it	4198
9	NA	182

N05 War in Afghanistan effect on terrorism in US

As a result of the United States' war in Afghanistan, do you think the threat of terrorism in the United States has increased, decreased, or stayed about the same?

1	Increased	1497
2	Stayed the same	2918
3	Decreased	1436
9	NA	64

N06 Defense spending

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'greatly decrease defense spending' and 7 means 'greatly increase defense spending'?

1	Greatly decrease	295
2		473
3		802
4		1665
5		1036
6		575
7	Greatly increase	346
9	NA	722

N07 Obama: Defense spending

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'greatly decrease defense spending' and 7 means 'greatly increase defense spending'?

1	Greatly decrease	682
2		885
3		1212
4		1522
5		837
6		365
7	Greatly increase	209
9	NA	202

N08 Romney: Defense spending

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'greatly decrease defense spending' and 7 means 'greatly increase defense spending'?

1	Greatly decrease	190
2		332

3		451
4		1224
5		1286
6		1217
7	Greatly increase	885
9	NA	330

N09 Diplomatic action to control Iran nuclear activity

To try to stop Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose direct diplomatic talks between the United States and Iran to resolve the situation?

1	Favor	3870
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1102
3	Oppose	448
9	NA	494

N10 Economic sanctions to control Iran nuclear activity

To try to stop Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose increasing international economic sanctions against Iran?

1	Favor	3594
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1265
3	Oppose	469
9	NA	586

N11 Bombing sites to control Iran nuclear activity

To try to stop Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the United States bombing Iran's nuclear development sites?

1	Favor	1640
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1605
3	Oppose	2137
9	NA	532

N12 Invade to control Iran nuclear activity

To try to stop Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose invading with U.S. forces to remove the Iranian government from power?

1	Favor	1020
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1590
3	Oppose	2778
9	NA	526

N13 China economic expansion effect on US

Do you think the recent economic expansion of China has been general good for the U.S., bad for the U.S., or has no effect on the U.S. economy?

1	Good effect	1002
2	No effect	1126
3	Bad effect	3092
9	NA	694

N14 China military threat to US?

Do you think China is a major threat to the security of the United States, a minor threat, or not a threat?

1	Major threat	1466
2	Minor threat	2710
3	No threat	1142
9	NA	596

N15 US support for Israel

Thinking about the relationship between the United States and Israel, is the U.S. too supportive of Israel, not supportive enough of Israel, or is the US support of Israel about right?

1	Too supportive	1338
2	About right	2751
3	Not supportive enough	1178
9	NA	647

N16 Federal government powers threatening to citizens?

Do you think the federal government's powers pose a threat to the rights and freedoms of ordinary citizens, or not?

1	Not threatening	2455
2	Slightly threatening	568
3	Moderately threatening	1143
4	Very threatening	700
5	Extremely threatening	476
9	NA	571

N17 Government wiretap powers gone too far?

Have increases in the government's wiretapping powers since September 11, 2001, gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?

1	Gone too far	1732
2	Are just about right	2903
3	Don't go far enough	580
9	NA	699

N18 Changes in security in public places gone too far?

Have the changes in security at public places such as airports, stadiums and government buildings since September 11, 2001, gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?

1	Have gone too far	1206
2	Are just about right	3330
3	Do not go far enough	893
9	NA	484

P: GENERAL POLITICAL ATTITUDES AND ORIENTATIONS**P01 Trust in government index**

Index of trust in government, built from the following questions:

(1) How often can you trust the government in Washington to do what is right? (2) Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of the people? (3) Do you think that the people in government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it?

Those who rank high on this index were more likely to choose the more trusting response on each of these items.

1	High	1266
2	Medium	1508
3	Low	2979
9	NA	161

P02 Political efficacy index

Index of political efficacy, built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements:

(1) Public officials don't care much what people like me think (2) People like me don't have any say about what the government does.

Those who rank high on this index were more likely to indicate that people can influence their government on each of these items.

1	High	887
2		853
3		1573
4		1308
5	Low	1246
9	NA	46

P03 Big government index

Index of attitude toward big government, built from selections from the following pairs of statements:

(1) One of the main reasons government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves OR Government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger (reversed).

(2) We need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems OR The free market can handle these problems without government becoming involved.

(3) The less government the better OR There are more things that government should be doing (reversed).

Those who rank high on this index were more likely to feel that big government was necessary on each of these items.

1	High	1620
2		1071
3		978
4	Low	1629
9	NA	617

P04 Patriotism index

Index of support for traditional patriotic values, built from the following questions:

(1) When you see the American flag flying how good does it make you feel? (2) How do you feel about this country? Do you hate it, dislike it, neither like nor dislike it, like it, or love it (reversed)? (3) How important is being an American to you personally?

Those who rank high on this index were more likely to select the traditional patriotic response on each of these items.

1	High	1860
2		1736
3		957
4	Low	860
9	NA	501

P05 Feeling thermometer: George W. Bush

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward George W. Bush? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	1689
2	25-49	1020
3	50	705
4	51-75	1469
5	76-100	999
9	NA	33

P06 Feeling thermometer: Hillary Clinton

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Hillary Clinton? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	894
2	25-49	757
3	50	688
4	51-75	1674
5	76-100	1871
9	NA	30

P07 Feeling thermometer: federal government

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the federal government? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	1179
2	25-49	1809
3	50	831
4	51-75	1258
5	76-100	365
9	NA	472

P08 Feeling thermometer: Congress

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the U.S. Congress? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	1183
2	25-49	1686
3	50	1164
4	51-75	1171
5	76-100	249
9	NA	462

P09 Feeling thermometer: Supreme Court

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the U.S. Supreme Court? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	287
2	25-49	845
3	50	1594
4	51-75	1917
5	76-100	782
9	NA	489

P10 Feeling thermometer: Tea Party

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Tea Party? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

1	0-24	1346
2	25-49	832
3	50	1635
4	51-75	935
5	76-100	568
9	NA	598

P11 Tea Party support

How strongly do you support or oppose the Tea Party?

1	Strongly support	657
2	Support somewhat	786
3	Neither support nor oppose	2143
4	Oppose somewhat	531
5	Strongly oppose	1450
9	NA	347

P12 Make difference which party in power?

How much of a difference does it make who is in power?

1	Little or no difference	859
2		1262
3		1543
4	Big difference	1799
9	NA	451

P13 Make difference who one votes for?

How much of a difference does it make who one votes for?

1	Little or no difference	793
2		1161
3		1386
4	Big difference	2133
9	NA	442

R: DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS**R01 Gender**

Gender of respondent

1	Male	2835
2	Female	3079

R02 Race

Respondent's racial self-identification

1	White	4171
2	Black	701
3	Hispanic	654
4	Other	355
9	NA	32

R03 Age

What is your age?

1	18 - 24	619
2	25 - 34	1076
3	35 - 44	985
4	45 - 54	1004
5	55 - 64	1065
6	65 - 74	737
7	75+	367
9	NA	62

R04 Education

What is the highest level of school you have completed or the highest degree you have received?

1	Less than HS	600
2	HS diploma	1761
3	Some college	1776
4	College graduate	1106
5	Graduate degree	618
9	NA	52

R05 Family income

What was the total family income in 2011 for all of your family members living here?

1	Less than \$15K	942
2	\$15 K to \$30K	842
3	\$30K to \$50K	1048
4	\$50K to \$75K	1080
5	\$75K to \$110K	963
6	More than \$110K	824
9	NA	215

R06 Occupational status

Are you working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

1	Currently working	3179
2	Unemployed	419
3	Retired/disabled	1534

4	Homemaker	470
5	Student	295
9	NA	17

R07 Occupation

What is your current or most recent occupation?

(Data not yet available)

0		5916
---	--	------

R08 Marital status

Are you now married, widowed, divorced, separated or never married?

Are you currently living with a partner, or not?

1	Married	3143
2	Domestic partner	538
3	Widowed	323
4	Divorced/Separated	724
5	Never married	1174
9	NA	11

R09 Church attendance

How often do you attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?

1	Every week	1256
2	Almost every week	657
3	Once or twice a month	566
4	Few times a year	878
5	Never	2525
9	NA	32

R10 Religion

What is your religious preference or affiliation? The mainline Protestant category includes most Presbyterians, Methodists, and Lutherans, along with some smaller Protestant denominations. The evangelical Protestant category includes Baptists, Pentacostals, and a number of smaller evangelical groups. The other Christian group includes Mormans, Jehovah's Witnesses, Eastern Orthodox churches, along with other Christian churches not considered Protestant or Roman Catholic.

1	Catholic	1288
2	Mainline Protestant	1055
3	Evangelical Protestant	1607
4	Other Christian	270
5	Jewish	119
6	Non-Christian	79
7	None	1405
9	NA	90

R11 How often prays

Outside of attending religious services, how often do you pray?

1	Several times a day	1645
2	Once a day	1042
3	A few times a week	1096
4	Once a week or less	994
5	Never	1098
9	NA	38

R12 Bible interpretation

Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible?

1	Word of God	1809
2	Word of God but not literal	2765
3	Word of Man	1251
9	NA	88

R13 Religion guides day-to-day living

How much guidance would you say your religion provides in your day-to-day living?

1	A great deal	1908
2	Quite a bit	1160
3	Some	874
4	None	1933
9	NA	39

R14 Born again

Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

1	Yes	1872
2	No	2324
3	Not Christian	128
4	Not religious	1405
9	NA	115

R15 Veteran

Did you ever serve in the U.S. Armed Forces?

1	Yes	734
2	No	5173
9	NA	7

R16 Gun ownership

Do you or anyone else living here own a gun?

1	Yes	1961
2	No	3735
9	NA	218

R17 Union membership

Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union or to an employee association similar to a union?

1	Yes	969
2	No	4907
9	NA	38

R18 Social class

Most people say they belong to either the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes? Which one?

1	Middle class	3155
2	Working class	2593
9	NA	166

R19 Region

Region of the country where the respondent lives:

The Northeast includes CT,DE, MA,ME,NH,NJ,NY,PA,RI,VT,DC.

The South includes AL,AR,FL,GA,LA,MS,NC,SC,TN,TX,VA.

The Midwest includes IL,IN,IA,KS,KY,MI,MN,MO,ND,NE,OH,OK,SD,WI,WV.

The West includes AK,AZ,CA,CO,HI,ID,MT,NM,NV,OR,UT,WA,WY.

1	Northeast	1168
2	Midwest	1472
3	South	1906
4	West	1367

R20 Parents born in US

Where were your parents born? Were they both born in the U.S., was only one born in the U.S., or was neither one both born in the U.S.?

1	Both parents	4882
2	One parent	365
3	Neither parent	643
9	NA	23

R21 Place of residence

Size and type of community that the respondent lives in.

(Data not yet available)

0		5916
---	--	------

ICPSR 34808

SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2012 Election

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

CASEID: ANES 2012 Time Series Case ID

Based upon 5,914 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

Location: 1-4 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

A01: Voted in 2012 election

Did you vote in the November general election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Voted	4404	74.5 %
2	Did not vote	1106	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	404	6.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,510 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 5-5 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A02: Presidential vote

For whom did you vote for President in 2012?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Obama	2496	42.2 %
2	Romney	1692	28.6 %
3	Other	118	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	1608	27.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 4,306 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 6-6 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A03: House vote

For whom did you vote for in the U.S. House elections? (Responses are classified by the party of the candidate)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrat	2020	34.2 %
2	Republican	1600	27.1 %
3	Other	89	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	2205	37.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 3,709 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 7-7 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A04: Senate vote

For whom did you vote in the U.S. Senate elections? (Responses are classified by the party of the candidate)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrat	1738	29.4 %
2	Republican	1175	19.9 %
3	Other	46	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	2955	50.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 2,959 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 8-8 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A05: Type of House race

Classification of the type of race for the House of Representatives in the respondent's congressional district. Districts were classified as to whether: (1) a Democratic incumbent was running for reelection (this category includes two California districts where two Democratic incumbents ran against each other in the general election); (2) a Democratic and a Republican incumbent were running against each other in the general election, which was the case in two districts in 2014; (3) no incumbent was running for reelection; (4) a Republican incumbent was running for reelection (this category includes one district in Louisiana where two Republican incumbents faced each other in the general election).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democratic incumbent running	2108	35.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Democratic and Republican incumbent running	40	0.7 %
3	Open seat (no incumbent running)	794	13.4 %
4	Republican incumbent running	2249	38.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	723	12.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,191 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 9-9 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A06: Type of Senate race

Classification of the type of race for the U.S. Senate in the respondent's state. States were classified as to whether: (1) a Democratic incumbent was running for reelection; (2) no incumbent was running for reelection; (3) a Republican incumbent was running for reelection; or (4) no Senate race was being held in 2012.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democratic incumbent running	2887	48.8 %
2	Open seat	1205	20.4 %
3	Republican incumbent running	384	6.5 %
4	No Senate race	1438	24.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,914 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 10-10 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

A07: Party identification

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or what? If Democrat or Republican, would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or a not very strong Democrat/Republican? If independent, do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong Democrat	1485	25.1 %
2	Weak Democrat	871	14.7 %
3	Independent Democrat	747	12.6 %
4	Independent	792	13.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Independent Republican	610	10.3 %
6	Weak Republican	623	10.5 %
7	Strong Republican	762	12.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	24	0.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,890 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 11-11 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A08: Feeling thermometer: Democratic Party

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Democratic Party? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0 - 24	1008	17.0 %
2	25 - 49	943	15.9 %
3	50	805	13.6 %
4	51 - 75	1603	27.1 %
5	76 - 100	1497	25.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	58	1.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,856 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 12-12 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A09: Feeling thermometer: Republican Party

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Democratic Party? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0 - 24	1672	28.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	25 - 49	1193	20.2 %
3	50	971	16.4 %
4	51 - 75	1398	23.6 %
5	76 - 100	617	10.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	63	1.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,851 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 13-13 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

A10: 2008 presidential vote

For whom did you vote in the 2008 presidential election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Obama	2704	45.7 %
2	McCain	1702	28.8 %
3	Other	114	1.9 %
4	Did not vote	1307	22.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	87	1.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,827 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 14-14 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

B01: Attention paid to government and politics

How often do you pay attention to what's going on in government and politics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	1025	17.3 %
2	Most of the time	1960	33.1 %
3	About half the time	1247	21.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Some of the time	1527	25.8 %
5	Never	152	2.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	3	0.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,911 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 15-15 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

B02: Campaign involvement

Index of campaign involvement, built from the following questions: (1) Did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates? (2) Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or other things in support of a particular candidate? (3) Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or a sign in your window/front of your house? (4) Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates? (5) Did you visit any presidential candidates' websites?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No acts	3082	52.1 %
2	One act	1866	31.6 %
3	Two or more acts	962	16.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	4	0.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,910 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 16-16 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

B03: Campaign contributions

Respondents were classified as having contributed money if they gave money during the election year to an individual candidate running for public office, to a political party, or to any other group that supported or opposed candidates.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	834	14.1 %
2	No	4669	78.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	411	6.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,503 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 17-17 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

B04: Contacted public official

During the past 12 months, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1174	19.9 %
2	No	4333	73.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	407	6.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,507 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 18-18 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

B05: Interest in campaign

How interested have you been in the political campaigns so far this year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very interested	2554	43.2 %
2	Somewhat interested	2460	41.6 %
3	Not much interested	895	15.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	5	0.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,909 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 19-19 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

B06: Frequency of political discussions

How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with family or friends?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0 days	2194	37.1 %
2	1-2 days	1851	31.3 %
3	3-5 days	1012	17.1 %
4	6-7 days	427	7.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	430	7.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,484 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 20-20 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

B07: Community work/Community meeting

Index built from the following questions: During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community? During the past 12 months, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Did both	1008	17.0 %
2	Did one	1079	18.2 %
3	Did neither	3412	57.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	415	7.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,499 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 21-21 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C01: Frequency of reading newspaper

During a typical week, how many days do you read news in a printed newspaper, not including sports?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0 days	2433	41.1 %
2	1 - 2 days	1459	24.7 %
3	3 - 5 days	916	15.5 %
4	6 - 7 days	1098	18.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	8	0.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,906 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 22-22 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C02: Attention paid to national politics in newspaper

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics in printed newspapers?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	978	16.5 %
2	Some	1156	19.5 %
3	A little	1097	18.5 %
4	None at all	2675	45.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	8	0.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,906 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 23-23 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C03: Frequency of viewing news on television per week

During a typical week, how many days do you watch news on television, not including sports?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None	891	15.1 %
2	1 - 2 days	1031	17.4 %
3	3 - 5 days	1819	30.8 %
4	6 - 7 days	2167	36.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	6	0.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,908 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 24-24 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C04: Attention paid to national politics on television news

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on television?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	1964	33.2 %
2	Some	1736	29.4 %
3	A little	1171	19.8 %
4	None at all	1032	17.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	11	0.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,903 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 25-25 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C05: Frequency of viewing news on internet per week

During a typical week, how many days do you view news on the internet, not including sports?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0 days	1529	25.9 %
2	1 - 2 days	905	15.3 %
3	3 - 5 days	1515	25.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	6 - 7 days	1959	33.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	6	0.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,908 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 26-26 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C06: Attention paid to internet news on politics

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on the internet?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	1231	20.8 %
2	Some	1426	24.1 %
3	A little	1317	22.3 %
4	None at all	1640	27.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	300	5.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,614 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 27-27 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C07: Frequency of listening to news on radio

During a typical week, how many days do you listen to news on the radio, not including sports?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0 days	2284	38.6 %
2	1 - 2 days	1011	17.1 %
3	3 - 5 days	1708	28.9 %
4	6 - 7 days	901	15.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	10	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,904 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 28-28 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C08: Attention paid to radio news on politics

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on the radio?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	1137	19.2 %
2	Some	1158	19.6 %
3	A little	1083	18.3 %
4	None at all	2523	42.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	13	0.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,901 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 29-29 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C09: Learned about election on social media

Did you use social media such as Twitter or Facebook to learn about the election for President?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1967	33.3 %
2	No	3800	64.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	147	2.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,767 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 30-30 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

C10: Learned about election on blogs

Did you use blogs to learn about the election for President?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	554	9.4 %
2	No	5141	86.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	219	3.7 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,695 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 31-31 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D01: Obama: strong leader

How well does the phrase 'he would provide strong leadership' describe Obama?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	1074	18.2 %
2	Very well	1416	23.9 %
3	Moderately well	1269	21.5 %
4	Slightly well	809	13.7 %
5	Not well at all	1291	21.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	55	0.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,859 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 32-32 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D02: Obama: cares

How well does the phrase 'he really cares about people like you' describe Obama?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	1375	23.2 %
2	Very well	1292	21.8 %
3	Moderately well	1075	18.2 %
4	Slightly well	782	13.2 %
5	Not well at all	1332	22.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	58	1.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,856 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 33-33 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D03: Obama: knowledgeable

How well does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe Obama?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	1519	25.7 %
2	Very well	1718	29.0 %
3	Moderately well	1295	21.9 %
4	Slightly well	740	12.5 %
5	Not well at all	583	9.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	59	1.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,855 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 34-34 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D04: Obama: intelligent

How well does the phrase 'he is intelligent' describe Obama?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	1971	33.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Very well	1919	32.4 %
3	Moderately well	1109	18.8 %
4	Slightly well	513	8.7 %
5	Not well at all	354	6.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	48	0.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,866 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 35-35 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D05: Obama: honest

How well does the phrase 'he is honest' describe Obama?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	1193	20.2 %
2	Very well	1437	24.3 %
3	Moderately well	1200	20.3 %
4	Slightly well	740	12.5 %
5	Not well at all	1281	21.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	63	1.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,851 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 36-36 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D06: Obama: moral

How well does the phrase 'he is moral' describe Obama?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	1310	22.2 %
2	Very well	1551	26.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Moderately well	1175	19.9 %
4	Slightly well	783	13.2 %
5	Not well at all	991	16.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	104	1.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,810 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 37-37 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D07: Romney: strong leader

How well does the phrase 'he would provide strong leadership' describe Romney?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	653	11.0 %
2	Very well	1108	18.7 %
3	Moderately well	1483	25.1 %
4	Slightly well	1119	18.9 %
5	Not well at all	1396	23.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	155	2.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,759 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 38-38 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D08: Romney: cares

How well does the phrase 'he cares about people like you' describe Romney?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	342	5.8 %
2	Very well	684	11.6 %
3	Moderately well	1106	18.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Slightly well	1024	17.3 %
5	Not well at all	2625	44.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	133	2.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,781 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 39-39 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D09: Romney: knowledgeable

How well does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe Romney?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	704	11.9 %
2	Very well	1473	24.9 %
3	Moderately well	1642	27.8 %
4	Slightly well	1067	18.0 %
5	Not well at all	904	15.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	124	2.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,790 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 40-40 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D10: Romney: intelligent

How well does the phrase 'he is intelligent' describe Romney?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	954	16.1 %
2	Very well	1877	31.7 %
3	Moderately well	1481	25.0 %
4	Slightly well	849	14.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Not well at all	627	10.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	126	2.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,788 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 41-41 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D11: Romney: honest

How well does the phrase 'he is honest' describe Romney?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	549	9.3 %
2	Very well	899	15.2 %
3	Moderately well	1227	20.7 %
4	Slightly well	1140	19.3 %
5	Not well at all	1941	32.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	158	2.7 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,756 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 42-42 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D12: Romney: moral

How well does the phrase 'he is moral' describe Romney?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	940	15.9 %
2	Very well	1112	18.8 %
3	Moderately well	1337	22.6 %
4	Slightly well	1127	19.1 %
5	Not well at all	1221	20.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	177	3.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,737 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 43-43 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D13: Feeling thermometer: Obama

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Barack Obama? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1298	21.9 %
2	25-49	738	12.5 %
3	50	293	5.0 %
4	51-75	1282	21.7 %
5	76-100	2288	38.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	15	0.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,899 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 44-44 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D14: Feeling thermometer: Romney

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Mitt Romney? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1689	28.6 %
2	25-49	1196	20.2 %
3	50	679	11.5 %
4	51-75	1265	21.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	76-100	1033	17.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	52	0.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,862 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 45-45 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D15: Feeling thermometer: Biden

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Joe Biden? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1384	23.4 %
2	25-49	696	11.8 %
3	50	956	16.2 %
4	51-75	1233	20.8 %
5	76-100	1359	23.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	286	4.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,628 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 46-46 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D16: Feeling thermometer: Ryan

On a scale of 0 degrees to 100 degrees, how warm or cool do you feel towards Paul Ryan? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1541	26.1 %
2	25-49	795	13.4 %
3	50	1093	18.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	51-75	996	16.8 %
5	76-100	976	16.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	513	8.7 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,401 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 47-47 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

D17: Obama: like-dislike scale

On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike the candidate and 10 means that you strongly like the candidate, where would you rate Barack Obama?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Strongly dislike	786	13.3 %
1	-	275	4.6 %
2	-	301	5.1 %
3	-	274	4.6 %
4	-	273	4.6 %
5	-	463	7.8 %
6	-	293	5.0 %
7	-	420	7.1 %
8	-	663	11.2 %
9	-	544	9.2 %
10	Strongly like	1186	20.1 %
	Missing Data		
99	NA	436	7.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,478 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 5.82
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.59

Location: 48-49 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

D18: Romney: like-dislike scale

On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike the candidate and 10 means that you strongly like the candidate, where would you rate Mitt Romney?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Strongly dislike	983	16.6 %
1	-	423	7.2 %
2	-	536	9.1 %
3	-	497	8.4 %
4	-	434	7.3 %
5	-	718	12.1 %
6	-	388	6.6 %
7	-	457	7.7 %
8	-	480	8.1 %
9	-	239	4.0 %
10	Strongly like	299	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
99	NA	460	7.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,454 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 4.18
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.11

Location: 50-51 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99

E01: Presidential job approval

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	2179	36.8 %
2	Approve	1103	18.7 %
3	Disapprove	606	10.2 %
4	Strongly disapprove	1889	31.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	137	2.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,777 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 52-52 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E02: Obama handling of economy

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling the economy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	1864	31.5 %
2	Approve	1126	19.0 %
3	Disapprove	607	10.3 %
4	Strongly disapprove	2162	36.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	155	2.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,759 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 53-53 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E03: Obama handling of health care

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling health care?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	2306	39.0 %
2	Approve	812	13.7 %
3	Disapprove	528	8.9 %
4	Strongly disapprove	2107	35.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	161	2.7 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,753 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 54-54 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E04: Obama handling of foreign affairs

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling relations with foreign countries?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	2222	37.6 %
2	Approve	1036	17.5 %
3	Disapprove	596	10.1 %
4	Strongly disapprove	1801	30.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	259	4.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,655 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 55-55 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E05: Obama handling of Afghanistan

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling the war in Afghanistan?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	2075	35.1 %
2	Approve	1304	22.0 %
3	Disapprove	759	12.8 %
4	Strongly disapprove	1536	26.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	240	4.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,674 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 56-56 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

E06: Congressional job approval

How much do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	379	6.4 %
2	Approve	869	14.7 %
3	Disapprove	1202	20.3 %
4	Strongly disapprove	3059	51.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	405	6.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,509 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 57-57 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F01: Financial situation past year

Would you say you are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	570	9.6 %
2	Better	2240	37.9 %
3	About the same	465	7.9 %
4	Worse	1882	31.8 %
5	Much worse	690	11.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	67	1.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,847 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 58-58 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F02: Financial situation next year

Do you think that a year from now you will be better off financially, worse off, of just about the same as now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	921	15.6 %
2	Better	1337	22.6 %
3	About the same	2863	48.4 %
4	Worse	457	7.7 %
5	Much worse	211	3.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	125	2.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,789 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 59-59 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F03: Economy past year

Thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy as gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	222	3.8 %
2	Better	1688	28.5 %
3	About the same	2036	34.4 %
4	Worse	934	15.8 %
5	Much worse	991	16.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	43	0.7 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,871 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 60-60 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F04: Economy next year

Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse in the next 12 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	707	12.0 %
2	Better	1950	33.0 %
3	About the same	2327	39.3 %
4	Worse	465	7.9 %
5	Much worse	327	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	138	2.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,776 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 61-61 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F05: Unemployment past year

Would you say that over the past year, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	203	3.4 %
2	Better	1585	26.8 %
3	About the same	2050	34.7 %
4	Worse	1093	18.5 %
5	Much worse	931	15.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	52	0.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,862 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 62-62 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F06: Economic blame: Bush

How much is former President Bush to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	1751	29.6 %
2	A lot	1282	21.7 %
3	A moderate amount	1485	25.1 %
4	A little	1079	18.2 %
5	Not at all	237	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	80	1.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,834 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 63-63 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F07: Economic blame: Obama

How much is President Obama to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	798	13.5 %
2	A lot	816	13.8 %
3	A moderate amount	1322	22.4 %
4	A little	1657	28.0 %
5	Not at all	1239	21.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	82	1.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,832 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 64-64 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F08: Economic blame: Democrats

How much are Democrats in Congress to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	767	13.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	A lot	1093	18.5 %
3	A moderate amount	2084	35.2 %
4	A little	1405	23.8 %
5	Not at all	401	6.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	164	2.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,750 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 65-65 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F09: Economic blame: Republicans

How much are Republicans in Congress to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	1168	19.7 %
2	A lot	1364	23.1 %
3	A moderate amount	1983	33.5 %
4	A little	1046	17.7 %
5	Not at all	188	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	165	2.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,749 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 66-66 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F10: Best party for economy

Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats	2143	36.2 %
2	Not much difference	2054	34.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Republicans	1623	27.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	94	1.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,820 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 67-67 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F11: Economy if Obama wins

If Barack Obama wins the election, do you expect the economy in the country to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Get better	2787	47.1 %
2	Stay the same	1325	22.4 %
3	Get worse	1753	29.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	49	0.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,865 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 68-68 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

F12: Economy if Romney wins

If Mitt Romney wins the election, do you expect the economy in the country to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Get better	2069	35.0 %
2	Stay the same	1482	25.1 %
3	Get worse	2240	37.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	123	2.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,791 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 69-69 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G01: Ideology

Where would you place yourself on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	195	3.3 %
2	Liberal	638	10.8 %
3	Slightly liberal	1134	19.2 %
4	Moderate	1157	19.6 %
5	Slightly conservative	1436	24.3 %
6	Conservative	1001	16.9 %
7	Very conservative	208	3.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	145	2.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,769 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 70-70 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G02: Obama: Ideological placement

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	1489	25.2 %
2	Liberal	1531	25.9 %
3	Slightly liberal	943	15.9 %
4	Moderate	1008	17.0 %
5	Slightly conservative	254	4.3 %
6	Conservative	271	4.6 %
7	Very conservative	184	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	234	4.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,680 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 71-71 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G03: Romney: Ideological placement

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	282	4.8 %
2	Liberal	299	5.1 %
3	Slightly liberal	317	5.4 %
4	Moderate	742	12.5 %
5	Slightly conservative	840	14.2 %
6	Conservative	2008	34.0 %
7	Very conservative	1112	18.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	314	5.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,600 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 72-72 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G04: Democratic Party: Ideological placement

Where would you place the Democratic Party on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	1223	20.7 %
2	Liberal	1921	32.5 %
3	Slightly liberal	926	15.7 %
4	Moderate	903	15.3 %
5	Slightly conservative	298	5.0 %
6	Conservative	269	4.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	Very conservative	134	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	240	4.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,674 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 73-73 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G05: Republican Party: Ideological placement

Where would you place the Republican Party on this seven point liberal/conservative scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	240	4.1 %
2	Liberal	287	4.9 %
3	Slightly liberal	302	5.1 %
4	Moderate	730	12.3 %
5	Slightly conservative	733	12.4 %
6	Conservative	1784	30.2 %
7	Very conservative	1577	26.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	261	4.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,653 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 74-74 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G06: Dem Party: Left-right placement

Where would you place the Democratic Party on a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? (Responses have been collapsed into five categories.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Left	1201	20.3 %
2	Somewhat left	1303	22.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Middle of the road	1457	24.6 %
4	Somewhat right	550	9.3 %
5	Right	673	11.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	730	12.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,184 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 75-75 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

G07: Rep Party: Left-right placement

Where would you place the Republican Party on a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? (Responses have been collapsed into five categories.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Left	552	9.3 %
2	Somewhat left	485	8.2 %
3	Middle of the road	1455	24.6 %
4	Somewhat right	1251	21.2 %
5	Right	1436	24.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	735	12.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,179 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 76-76 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H01: Favor/oppose reducing budget deficit

How much do you favor or oppose the federal government doing things now to reduce the budget deficit?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly favor	3424	57.9 %
2	Favor	833	14.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Neither favor nor oppose	637	10.8 %
4	Oppose	241	4.1 %
5	Strongly oppose	297	5.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	482	8.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,432 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 77-77 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H02: Importance of reducing budget deficit

How important is it to reduce the federal budget deficit?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely important	2454	41.5 %
2	Very important	1785	30.2 %
3	Moderately important	905	15.3 %
4	A little/not at all important	321	5.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	449	7.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,465 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 78-78 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H03: Reduce deficit by raising taxes over \$250K income

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by raising personal income taxes for those with incomes over \$250,000?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	3863	65.3 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	710	12.0 %
3	Oppose	897	15.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	444	7.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,470 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 79-79 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H04: Reduce deficit by changing Medicare to voucher

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by replacing Medicare with a voucher program?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	704	11.9 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1348	22.8 %
3	Oppose	3271	55.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	591	10.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,323 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 80-80 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H05: Reduce deficit by raising corporate taxes

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by increasing corporate taxes?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	3251	55.0 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1095	18.5 %
3	Oppose	1087	18.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	481	8.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,433 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 81-81 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H06: Reduce deficit by cutting military spending

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by cutting military spending?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	1986	33.6 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1027	17.4 %
3	Oppose	2447	41.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	454	7.7 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,460 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 82-82 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H07: Reduce deficit by cutting federal employees 10%

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by reducing the number of federal employees by 10%?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	3105	52.5 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1260	21.3 %
3	Oppose	1080	18.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	469	7.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,445 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 83-83 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H08: Reduce deficit by cutting non-military spending

Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit by cutting non-military spending?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	3456	58.4 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1020	17.2 %
3	Oppose	970	16.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	468	7.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,446 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 84-84 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

H09: Favor/oppose tax on millionaires

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose increasing income taxes on people making over one million dollars per year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly favor	3064	51.8 %
2	Favor	1249	21.1 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	598	10.1 %
4	Oppose	240	4.1 %
5	Strongly oppose	319	5.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	444	7.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,470 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 85-85 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J01: Government services and spending scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should provide many fewer services and reduce spending a lot' and 7 means 'government should provide many more services and increase spending a lot'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Provide many fewer services	449	7.6 %
2	-	729	12.3 %
3	-	874	14.8 %
4	-	1362	23.0 %
5	-	976	16.5 %
6	-	461	7.8 %
7	Provide many more services	390	6.6 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	673	11.4 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,241 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 3.88
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Location: 86-86 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J02: Obama: Government services and spending scale

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should provide many fewer services and reduce spending a lot' and 7 means 'government should provide many more services and increase spending a lot'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Provide many fewer services	132	2.2 %
2	-	154	2.6 %
3	-	275	4.6 %
4	-	945	16.0 %
5	-	1367	23.1 %
6	-	1333	22.5 %
7	Provide many more services	1603	27.1 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	105	1.8 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,809 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 5.35
- Median: 6.00

- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.47

Location: 87-87 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J03: Romney: Government services and spending scale

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should provide many fewer services and reduce spending a lot' and 7 means 'government should provide many more services and increase spending a lot'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Provide many fewer services	1485	25.1 %
2	-	1494	25.3 %
3	-	1181	20.0 %
4	-	892	15.1 %
5	-	346	5.9 %
6	-	161	2.7 %
7	Provide many more services	158	2.7 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	197	3.3 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,717 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.69
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.52

Location: 88-88 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J04: Jobs and standard of living scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living' and 7 means 'government should let people get ahead on their own'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should see to it	609	10.3 %
2	-	536	9.1 %
3	-	708	12.0 %
4	-	1256	21.2 %
5	-	843	14.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	839	14.2 %
7	Individuals on own	685	11.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	438	7.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,476 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 4.18
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.84

Location: 89-89 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J05: Obama: Jobs and standard of living scale

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living' and 7 means 'government should let people get ahead on their own'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should see to it	1444	24.4 %
2	-	1263	21.4 %
3	-	1165	19.7 %
4	-	1105	18.7 %
5	-	410	6.9 %
6	-	193	3.3 %
7	Individuals on own	178	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	156	2.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,758 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.84
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Location: 90-90 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J06: Romney: Jobs and standard of living scale

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living' and 7 means 'government should let people get ahead on their own'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should see to it	163	2.8 %
2	-	181	3.1 %
3	-	284	4.8 %
4	-	763	12.9 %
5	-	892	15.1 %
6	-	1472	24.9 %
7	Individuals on own	1946	32.9 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	213	3.6 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,701 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 5.50
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Location: 91-91 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J07: Health insurance plan scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone' and 7 means 'medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government health plan	868	14.7 %
2	-	612	10.3 %
3	-	659	11.1 %
4	-	1087	18.4 %
5	-	741	12.5 %
6	-	775	13.1 %
7	Private health plans	729	12.3 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	443	7.5 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,471 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 4.00
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Location: 92-92 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J08: Obama: Health insurance plan scale

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone' and 7 means 'medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government health plan	2435	41.2 %
2	-	1180	20.0 %
3	-	743	12.6 %
4	-	692	11.7 %
5	-	290	4.9 %
6	-	241	4.1 %
7	Private health plans	225	3.8 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	108	1.8 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,806 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.46
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Location: 93-93 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J09: Romney: Health insurance plan scale

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone' and 7 means 'medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government health plan	177	3.0 %
2	-	177	3.0 %
3	-	297	5.0 %
4	-	804	13.6 %
5	-	907	15.3 %
6	-	1345	22.7 %
7	Private health plans	1992	33.7 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	215	3.6 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,699 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 5.47
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.59

Location: 94-94 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J10: Support for Obamacare

How much do you favor or oppose the health care reform law passed in 2010?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor greatly	1239	21.0 %
2	Favor somewhat	1302	22.0 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1302	22.0 %
4	Oppose somewhat	688	11.6 %
5	Oppose greatly	1310	22.2 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	73	1.2 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,841 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 95-95 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J11: Regulation of business

How much government regulation of business is good for society?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Great deal	1113	18.8 %
2	Moderate amount	3095	52.3 %
3	Little or none	1224	20.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	482	8.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,432 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 96-96 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J12: Government action to reduce income inequality

How much do you agree or disagree with the statement, 'the government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	519	8.8 %
2	Agree somewhat	1128	19.1 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1433	24.2 %
4	Disagree somewhat	884	14.9 %
5	Disagree strongly	1479	25.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	471	8.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,443 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 97-97 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J13: Social security private accounts

How much do you favor or oppose the proposal that would allow people to put a portion of their social security payroll taxes into personal retirement accounts that would be invested in stocks and bonds?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly favor	1415	23.9 %
2	Favor	974	16.5 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1377	23.3 %
4	Oppose	488	8.3 %
5	Strongly oppose	1173	19.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	487	8.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,427 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 98-98 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 9)

J14: Environmental protection scale

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'the federal government needs to regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs' and 7 means 'federal government regulation will not do much to protect the environment and will cost us jobs'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Regulate business	1161	19.6 %
2	-	962	16.3 %
3	-	805	13.6 %
4	-	929	15.7 %
5	-	523	8.8 %
6	-	383	6.5 %
7	Do not regulate	242	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	909	15.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,005 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 3.16
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.78

Location: 99-99 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

J15: Obama: Environmental protection scale

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'the federal government needs to regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs' and 7 means 'federal government regulation will not do much to protect the environment and will cost us jobs'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Regulate business	1573	26.6 %
2	-	1439	24.3 %
3	-	1123	19.0 %
4	-	1014	17.1 %
5	-	239	4.0 %
6	-	152	2.6 %
7	Do not regulate	101	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	273	4.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,641 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.60
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

Location: 100-100 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J16: Romney: Environmental protection scale

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'the federal government needs to regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs' and 7 means 'federal government regulation will not do much to protect the environment and will cost us jobs'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Regulate business	201	3.4 %
2	-	236	4.0 %
3	-	409	6.9 %
4	-	1197	20.2 %
5	-	995	16.8 %
6	-	1190	20.1 %
7	Do not regulate	1307	22.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	379	6.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,535 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 5.05
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.62

Location: 101-101 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J17: Offshore drilling

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose increased offshore drilling for oil and natural gas in U.S. waters?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	3078	52.0 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1652	27.9 %
3	Oppose	1063	18.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	121	2.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,793 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 102-102 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J18: Nuclear power

Do you think the U.S. should have more nuclear power plants, fewer nuclear power plants, or the same number as it has now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More nuclear plants	1677	28.4 %
2	Same number	2745	46.4 %
3	Fewer nuclear plants	1326	22.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	166	2.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,748 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 103-103 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J19: Outsourcing of jobs

Do you think the federal government should discourage companies from hiring workers in foreign countries to replace workers in the US, should encourage companies to do this, or stay out of the matter?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should discourage	3760	63.6 %
2	Should stay out of matter	1501	25.4 %
3	Should encourage	175	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	478	8.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,436 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 104-104 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J20: Government spending on health care

How much more or less public expenditure on health should there be?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much more	627	10.6 %
2	Somewhat more	1601	27.1 %
3	Same as now	1944	32.9 %
4	Somewhat less	833	14.1 %
5	Much less	432	7.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	477	8.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,437 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 105-105 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J21: Government spending on education

How much more or less public expenditure on education should there be?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much more	1437	24.3 %
2	Somewhat more	1945	32.9 %
3	Same as now	1422	24.0 %
4	Somewhat less	427	7.2 %
5	Much less	248	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	435	7.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,479 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 106-106 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J22: Government spending on unemployment benefits

How much more or less public expenditure on unemployment benefits should there be?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much more	440	7.4 %
2	Somewhat more	977	16.5 %
3	Same as now	2436	41.2 %
4	Somewhat less	1082	18.3 %
5	Much less	522	8.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	457	7.7 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,457 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 107-107 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J23: Government spending on social security

How much more or less public expenditure on social security should there be?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much more	796	13.5 %
2	Somewhat more	1668	28.2 %
3	Same as now	2459	41.6 %
4	Somewhat less	379	6.4 %
5	Much less	140	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	472	8.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,442 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 108-108 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J24: Government spending on welfare

How much more or less public expenditure on welfare should there be?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much more	235	4.0 %
2	Somewhat more	620	10.5 %
3	Same as now	2020	34.2 %
4	Somewhat less	1572	26.6 %
5	Much less	996	16.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	471	8.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,443 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 109-109 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J25: Government spending on business and industry

How much more or less public expenditure on business and industry should there be?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much more	275	4.6 %
2	Somewhat more	925	15.6 %
3	Same as now	2068	35.0 %
4	Somewhat less	1500	25.4 %
5	Much less	629	10.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	517	8.7 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,397 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 110-110 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

J26: Government spending on law enforcement

How much more or less public expenditure on law enforcement should there be?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much more	559	9.5 %
2	Somewhat more	1680	28.4 %
3	Same as now	2640	44.6 %
4	Somewhat less	446	7.5 %
5	Much less	137	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	452	7.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,462 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 111-111 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K01: Abortion attitude

Which one of these opinions best agrees with your view on abortion? It should never be permitted; it should be permitted only in cases of rape, incest, and danger to the life of the mother; it should be permitted in other cases, if a clear need is established; it should be permitted whenever the mother chooses to have one.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never permitted	672	11.4 %
2	Only for rape, etc.	1607	27.2 %
3	If clear need	898	15.2 %
4	Always	2678	45.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	59	1.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,855 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 112-112 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K02: Obama abortion position

Where would you place Barack Obama on the abortion scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never permitted	272	4.6 %
2	Only for rape, etc.	746	12.6 %
3	If clear need	846	14.3 %
4	Always	3460	58.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	590	10.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,324 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 113-113 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K03: Romney abortion position

Where would you place Mitt Romney on the abortion scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never permitted	1981	33.5 %
2	Only for rape, etc.	2271	38.4 %
3	If clear need	601	10.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Always	409	6.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	652	11.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,262 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 114-114 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K04: Abortion woman's choice

How much do you favor or oppose abortion being legal if the woman chooses to have one?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor a great deal	1357	22.9 %
2	Favor moderately	619	10.5 %
3	Favor a little	391	6.6 %
4	Neither favor nor oppose	711	12.0 %
5	Oppose a little	237	4.0 %
6	Oppose moderately	374	6.3 %
7	Oppose a great deal	1738	29.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	487	8.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,427 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 115-115 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K05: Favor laws against gay/lesbian job discrimination

Do you favor or oppose laws to protect gays and lesbians against job discrimination?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly favor	2997	50.7 %
2	Favor	1333	22.5 %
3	Oppose	556	9.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Strongly oppose	814	13.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	214	3.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,700 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 116-116 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K06: Favor gays/lesbians serving in armed forces

Do you favor or oppose allowing gays and lesbians to serve in the United States Armed Forces?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly favor	3625	61.3 %
2	Favor	1364	23.1 %
3	Oppose	216	3.7 %
4	Strongly oppose	567	9.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	142	2.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,772 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 117-117 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K07: Allow gays/lesbians to adopt

Should gays and lesbians be allowed to adopt children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3531	59.7 %
2	No	2197	37.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	186	3.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,728 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 118-118 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K08: Gay/lesbian marriage

Should gays and lesbians be allowed to marry?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should allow	2357	39.9 %
2	Allow civil unions only	1962	33.2 %
3	Should not allow	1515	25.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	80	1.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,834 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 119-119 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K09: US policy toward unauthorized immigrants

What should government policy be toward unauthorized immigrants now living in the U.S.? (1) Make all unauthorized immigrants felons and send them back to their home country. (2) Have a guest worker program that allows unauthorized immigrants to remain. (3) Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States, with certain requirement. (4) Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States without penalties.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Make felony and deport	1009	17.1 %
2	Guest worker program	969	16.4 %
3	Remain in US with requirements	3394	57.4 %
4	Remain in US without penalties	495	8.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	47	0.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,867 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 120-120 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K10: Allow citizenship to some unauthorized aliens

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the proposal to allow people who were illegally brought into the U.S. as children under the age of 16, who have lived in the U.S. five years or longer, and who have graduated from high school to become U.S. residents?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	3331	56.3 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1532	25.9 %
3	Oppose	1021	17.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	30	0.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,884 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 121-121 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K11: State laws on unauthorized aliens

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose state laws that will require state and local police officers to determine the immigration status of a person if they find that there is reasonable suspicion that he or she is an undocumented immigrant?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	2870	48.5 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1231	20.8 %
3	Oppose	1752	29.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	61	1.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,853 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 122-122 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K12: Desirable immigration level

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the U.S. to live should be increased, decreased, or kept the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	772	13.1 %
2	Kept the same	2318	39.2 %
3	Decreased a little	1103	18.7 %
4	Decreased a lot	1197	20.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	524	8.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,390 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 123-123 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K13: Immigration reduces jobs

How likely is it that recent immigration levels will take jobs away from people already here?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely likely	1025	17.3 %
2	Very likely	1060	17.9 %
3	Somewhat likely	2310	39.1 %
4	Not at all likely	1056	17.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	463	7.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,451 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 124-124 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K14: Death penalty

How strongly do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly approve	3015	51.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Approve	1056	17.9 %
3	Disapprove	709	12.0 %
4	Strongly disapprove	962	16.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	172	2.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,742 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 125-125 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K15: Gun control

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier, or keep the rules about the same as they are now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More difficult	2897	49.0 %
2	The same/Easier	2992	50.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	25	0.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,889 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 126-126 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K16: Importance of gun control issue

How important is the issue of gun access to you personally?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely important	795	13.4 %
2	Very important	1208	20.4 %
3	Somewhat important	1822	30.8 %
4	Not too important	1266	21.4 %
5	Not important at all	811	13.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	12	0.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,902 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 127-127 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K17: Moral Traditionalism

Index of support for traditional moral values, built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements: (1) The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes (reversed); (2) The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society; (3) We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own (reversed); and (4) This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties. Those who rank high on this index were more likely to select the traditional moral position on these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High traditionalism	1067	18.0 %
2	-	1255	21.2 %
3	-	1336	22.6 %
4	-	914	15.5 %
5	Low traditionalism	898	15.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	444	7.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,470 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.88
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Location: 128-128 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K18: Equality Index

Index of commitment to equality, built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements: (1) Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed; (2) We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country (reversed); (3) One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance;

(4) This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are (reversed); (5) It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others (reversed). Those who rank high on this index were more likely to choose the pro-equality position on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong commitment	1160	19.6 %
2	-	1145	19.4 %
3	-	1156	19.5 %
4	-	1085	18.3 %
5	Weak commitment	914	15.5 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	454	7.7 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,460 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.90
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.38

Location: 129-129 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

K19: Legalization of marijuana

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the use of marijuana being legal?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2120	35.8 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1362	23.0 %
3	Oppose	1984	33.5 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	448	7.6 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,466 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 130-130 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L01: Women in the home

Do you think it is better, worse, or makes no difference for the family as a whole if the man works outside the home and the woman takes care of the home and family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	1384	23.4 %
2	Better	1155	19.5 %
3	No difference	2695	45.6 %
4	Worse	221	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	459	7.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,455 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 131-131 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L02: Women demanding equality seek special favors

When women demand equality these days, how often are they actually seeking special favors?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never	1104	18.7 %
2	Sometimes	2716	45.9 %
3	Half the time	874	14.8 %
4	Most or all the time	695	11.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	525	8.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,389 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 132-132 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L03: Discrimination against women in employment

When employers make decisions about hiring and promotion, how often do they discriminate against women?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never	283	4.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Sometimes	3388	57.3 %
3	Half the time	1078	18.2 %
4	Most or all the time	647	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	518	8.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,396 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 133-133 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L04: Women complaining about discrimination cause more problems

When women complain about discrimination, how often do they cause more problems than they solve?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never	522	8.8 %
2	Sometimes	2960	50.1 %
3	Half the time	1041	17.6 %
4	Most or all the time	884	14.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	507	8.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,407 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 134-134 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L05: Men or women have more opportunity

In the US today, do men have more opportunities for achievements than women have, do women have more opportunities than men, or do they have equal opportunities?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Men have more	3208	54.2 %
2	Equal opportunity	1965	33.2 %
3	Women have more	296	5.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	445	7.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,469 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 135-135 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

L06: Better party for women

Which party do you think does a better job looking out for the interests of women?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats	2729	46.1 %
2	Equal	664	11.2 %
3	Republicans	1996	33.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	525	8.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,389 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 136-136 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M01: Aid to blacks scale

Where would you place yourself on a seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should help blacks' and 7 means 'blacks should help themselves'.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Govt should help blacks	360	6.1 %
2	-	269	4.5 %
3	-	458	7.7 %
4	-	1321	22.3 %
5	-	670	11.3 %
6	-	857	14.5 %
7	Blacks should help themselves	1253	21.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	NA	726	12.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,188 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 4.78
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.81

Location: 137-137 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M02: Obama: Aid to blacks scale

Where would you place Barack Obama on a seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should help blacks' and 7 means 'blacks should help themselves'.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Govt should help blacks	1494	25.3 %
2	-	948	16.0 %
3	-	979	16.6 %
4	-	1420	24.0 %
5	-	399	6.7 %
6	-	205	3.5 %
7	Blacks should help themselves	226	3.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	243	4.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,671 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.96
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.65

Location: 138-138 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M03: Romney: Aid to blacks scale

Where would you place Mitt Romney on a seven-point scale where 1 means 'government should help blacks' and 7 means 'blacks should help themselves'.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Govt should help blacks	107	1.8 %
2	-	85	1.4 %
3	-	202	3.4 %
4	-	1209	20.4 %
5	-	875	14.8 %
6	-	1200	20.3 %
7	Blacks should help themselves	1855	31.4 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	381	6.4 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,533 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 5.47
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.47

Location: 139-139 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M04: Affirmative action in universities

How strongly do you favor or oppose allowing universities to increase the number of black students studying at their schools by considering race along with other factors when choosing students?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly favor	387	6.5 %
2	Favor somewhat	595	10.1 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1856	31.4 %
4	Oppose somewhat	1299	22.0 %
5	Strongly oppose	1687	28.5 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	90	1.5 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,824 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 140-140 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M05: Affirmative action in workplace

How strongly do you favor or oppose allowing companies to increase the number of black workers by considering race along with other factors when choosing employees?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly favor	348	5.9 %
2	Favor somewhat	532	9.0 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1854	31.3 %
4	Oppose somewhat	1237	20.9 %
5	Strongly oppose	1868	31.6 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	75	1.3 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,839 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 141-141 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M06: Fair job treatment for blacks

Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or is this not the federal government's business?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should insure	2355	39.8 %
2	Not business of government	2547	43.1 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	1012	17.1 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 4,902 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 142-142 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M07: Preferential hiring/promotion for blacks

How strongly do you support or oppose preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly support	697	11.8 %
2	Support	518	8.8 %
3	Against	1176	19.9 %
4	Strongly against	2900	49.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	623	10.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,291 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 143-143 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M08: Black support scale

Index of support for blacks, built from how strongly one agrees or disagrees with the following statements: (1) Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors (reversed); (2) Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class; (3) Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve. Those who rank high on this index were more likely to give responses that were more sympathetic to blacks on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High support	1164	19.7 %
2	-	965	16.3 %
3	-	925	15.6 %
4	-	1270	21.5 %
5	Low support	1124	19.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	466	7.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,448 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 3.04
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

Location: 144-144 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M09: Discrimination against blacks

How much discrimination is there in the United States against blacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Great deal	1959	33.1 %
2	Moderate amount	2080	35.2 %
3	Little or none	1428	24.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	447	7.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,467 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 145-145 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M10: Discrimination against Hispanics

How much discrimination is there in the United States against Hispanics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Great deal	1969	33.3 %
2	Moderate amount	2143	36.2 %
3	Little or none	1354	22.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	448	7.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,466 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 146-146 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

M11: Discrimination against whites

How much discrimination is there in the United States against whites?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Great deal	397	6.7 %
2	Moderate amount	1117	18.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Little or none	3952	66.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	448	7.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,466 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 147-147 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N01: US security since Obama took office

Would you say that compared to 2008, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More secure	2000	33.8 %
2	No change	2282	38.6 %
3	Less secure	1564	26.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	68	1.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,846 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 148-148 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N02: US position in the world in last year

During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has grown stronger?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Weaker	2800	47.3 %
2	About the same	2270	38.4 %
3	Stronger	786	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	58	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,856 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 149-149 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N03: Limit US world role

Do you agree or disagree with the statement, 'this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.'

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree	2150	36.4 %
2	Disagree	3658	61.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	106	1.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,808 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 150-150 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N04: War in Afghanistan worth the cost

Taking everything into account, do you think the war in Afghanistan has been worth the cost or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Worth it	1562	26.4 %
2	Not worth it	4170	70.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	182	3.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,732 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 151-151 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N05: War in Afghanistan effect on terrorism in US

As a result of the United States' war in Afghanistan, do you think the threat of terrorism in the United States has increased, decreased, or stayed about the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	1479	25.0 %
2	Stayed the same	2936	49.6 %
3	Decreased	1440	24.3 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	59	1.0 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,855 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 152-152 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N06: Defense spending

Where would you place yourself on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'greatly decrease defense spending' and 7 means 'greatly increase defense spending'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly decrease	320	5.4 %
2	-	496	8.4 %
3	-	807	13.6 %
4	-	1638	27.7 %
5	-	984	16.6 %
6	-	578	9.8 %
7	Greatly increase	364	6.2 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	727	12.3 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,187 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 4.09
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

Location: 153-153 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N07: Obama: Defense spending

Where would you place Barack Obama on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'greatly decrease defense spending' and 7 means 'greatly increase defense spending'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly decrease	662	11.2 %
2	-	878	14.8 %
3	-	1168	19.7 %
4	-	1490	25.2 %
5	-	854	14.4 %
6	-	395	6.7 %
7	Greatly increase	283	4.8 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	184	3.1 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,730 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 3.58
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.60

Location: 154-154 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N08: Romney: Defense spending

Where would you place Mitt Romney on this seven-point scale where 1 means 'greatly decrease defense spending' and 7 means 'greatly increase defense spending'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greatly decrease	249	4.2 %
2	-	344	5.8 %
3	-	448	7.6 %
4	-	1074	18.2 %
5	-	1244	21.0 %
6	-	1257	21.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	Greatly increase	985	16.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	313	5.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,601 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 4.86
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Location: 155-155 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N09: Diplomatic action to control Iran nuclear activity

To try to stop Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose direct diplomatic talks between the United States and Iran to resolve the situation?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	3977	67.2 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1001	16.9 %
3	Oppose	444	7.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	492	8.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,422 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 156-156 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N10: Economic sanctions to control Iran nuclear activity

To try to stop Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose increasing international economic sanctions against Iran?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	3672	62.1 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1185	20.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Oppose	467	7.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	590	10.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,324 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 157-157 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N11: Bombing sites to control Iran nuclear activity

To try to stop Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the United States bombing Iran's nuclear development sites?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	1675	28.3 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1548	26.2 %
3	Oppose	2170	36.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	521	8.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,393 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 158-158 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N12: Invade to control Iran nuclear activity

To try to stop Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose invading with U.S. forces to remove the Iranian government from power?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	1098	18.6 %
2	Neither favor nor oppose	1486	25.1 %
3	Oppose	2796	47.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	534	9.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,380 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 159-159 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N13: China economic expansion effect on US

Do you think the recent economic expansion of China has been general good for the U.S., bad for the U.S., or has no effect on the U.S. economy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good effect	1096	18.5 %
2	No effect	1103	18.7 %
3	Bad effect	3017	51.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	698	11.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,216 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 160-160 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N14: China military threat to US?

Do you think China is a major threat to the security of the United States, a minor threat, or not a threat?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Major threat	1544	26.1 %
2	Minor threat	2625	44.4 %
3	No threat	1143	19.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	602	10.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,312 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 161-161 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N15: US support for Israel

Thinking about the relationship between the United States and Israel, is the U.S. too supportive of Israel, not supportive enough of Israel, or is the US support of Israel about right?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Too supportive	1347	22.8 %
2	About right	2793	47.2 %
3	Not supportive enough	1124	19.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	650	11.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,264 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 162-162 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N16: Federal government powers threatening to citizens?

Do you think the federal government's powers pose a threat to the rights and freedoms of ordinary citizens, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not threatening	2620	44.3 %
2	Slightly threatening	506	8.6 %
3	Moderately threatening	1053	17.8 %
4	Very threatening	666	11.3 %
5	Extremely threatening	478	8.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	591	10.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,323 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 163-163 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N17: Government wiretap powers gone too far?

Have increases in the government's wiretapping powers since September 11, 2001, gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Gone too far	1758	29.7 %
2	Are just about right	2913	49.3 %
3	Don't go far enough	582	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	661	11.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,253 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 164-164 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

N18: Changes in security in public places gone too far?

Have the changes in security at public places such as airports, stadiums and government buildings since September 11, 2001, gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Have gone too far	1234	20.9 %
2	Are just about right	3294	55.7 %
3	Do not go far enough	915	15.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	471	8.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,443 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 165-165 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P01: Trust in government index

Index of trust in government, built from the following questions: (1) How often can you trust the government in Washington to do what is right? (2) Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of the people? (3) Do you think that the people in government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it? Those who rank high on this index were more likely to choose the more trusting response on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High	1305	22.1 %
2	Medium	1557	26.3 %
3	Low	2870	48.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	182	3.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,732 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 166-166 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P02: Political efficacy index

Index of political efficacy, built from how strongly one agrees/disagrees with the following statements: (1) Public officials don't care much what people like me think (2) People like me don't have any say about what the government does. Those who rank high on this index were more likely to indicate that people can influence their government on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High	1013	17.1 %
2	-	856	14.5 %
3	-	1542	26.1 %
4	-	1241	21.0 %
5	Low	1217	20.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	45	0.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,869 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 3.14
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.36

Location: 167-167 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P03: Big government index

Index of attitude toward big government, built from selections from the following pairs of statements: (1) One of the main reasons government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves OR

Government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger (reversed). (2) We need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems OR The free market can handle these problems without government becoming involved. (3) The less government the better OR There are more things that government should be doing (reversed). Those who rank high on this index were more likely to feel that big government was necessary on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High	1874	31.7 %
2	-	1054	17.8 %
3	-	865	14.6 %
4	Low	1522	25.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	599	10.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,315 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.38
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.23

Location: 168-168 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P04: Patriotism index

Index of support for traditional patriotic values, built from the following questions: (1) When you see the American flag flying how good does it make you feel? (2) How do you feel about this country? Do you hate it, dislike it, neither like nor dislike it, like it, or love it (reversed)? (3) How important is being an American to you personally? Those who rank high on this index were more likely to select the traditional patriotic response on each of these items.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	High	1916	32.4 %
2	-	1746	29.5 %
3	-	990	16.7 %
4	Low	791	13.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	471	8.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,443 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.12
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

Location: 169-169 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P05: Feeling thermometer: George W. Bush

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward George W. Bush? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1854	31.3 %
2	25-49	1058	17.9 %
3	50	720	12.2 %
4	51-75	1320	22.3 %
5	76-100	931	15.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	31	0.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,883 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 170-170 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P06: Feeling thermometer: Hillary Clinton

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Hillary Clinton? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	800	13.5 %
2	25-49	694	11.7 %
3	50	619	10.5 %
4	51-75	1668	28.2 %
5	76-100	2105	35.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	28	0.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,886 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 171-171 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P07: Feeling thermometer: federal government

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the federal government? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1150	19.4 %
2	25-49	1642	27.8 %
3	50	778	13.2 %
4	51-75	1414	23.9 %
5	76-100	463	7.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	467	7.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,447 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 172-172 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P08: Feeling thermometer: Congress

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the U.S. Congress? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1194	20.2 %
2	25-49	1608	27.2 %
3	50	1066	18.0 %
4	51-75	1259	21.3 %
5	76-100	328	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	459	7.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,455 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 173-173 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P09: Feeling thermometer: Supreme Court

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the U.S. Supreme Court? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	289	4.9 %
2	25-49	826	14.0 %
3	50	1446	24.5 %
4	51-75	1997	33.8 %
5	76-100	878	14.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	478	8.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,436 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 174-174 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P10: Feeling thermometer: Tea Party

On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Tea Party? (Higher scores indicate a greater degree of warmth; 50 degrees is defined as neutral, neither warm nor cool.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0-24	1478	25.0 %
2	25-49	830	14.0 %
3	50	1566	26.5 %
4	51-75	902	15.3 %
5	76-100	534	9.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	604	10.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,310 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 175-175 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P11: Tea Party support

How strongly do you support or oppose the Tea Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly support	588	9.9 %
2	Support somewhat	777	13.1 %
3	Neither support nor oppose	2006	33.9 %
4	Oppose somewhat	545	9.2 %
5	Strongly oppose	1590	26.9 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	408	6.9 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,506 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 176-176 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P12: Make difference which party in power?

How much of a difference does it make who is in power?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Little or no difference	830	14.0 %
2	-	1206	20.4 %
3	-	1471	24.9 %
4	Big difference	1969	33.3 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	438	7.4 %
Total		5,914	100%

Based upon 5,476 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.84
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.08

Location: 177-177 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

P13: Make difference who one votes for?

How much of a difference does it make who one votes for?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Little or no difference	734	12.4 %
2	-	1083	18.3 %
3	-	1330	22.5 %
4	Big difference	2339	39.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	428	7.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,486 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 2.96
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.08

Location: 178-178 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R01: Gender

Gender of respondent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	2845	48.1 %
2	Female	3069	51.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,914 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 179-179 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

R02: Race

Respondent's racial self-identification

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White	3495	59.1 %
2	Black	1016	17.2 %
3	Hispanic	1005	17.0 %
4	Other	369	6.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	29	0.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,885 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 180-180 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R03: Age

What is your age?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18 - 24	511	8.6 %
2	25 - 34	881	14.9 %
3	35 - 44	887	15.0 %
4	45 - 54	1107	18.7 %
5	55 - 64	1256	21.2 %
6	65 - 74	851	14.4 %
7	75+	361	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	60	1.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,854 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 181-181 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R04: Education

What is the highest level of school you have completed or the highest degree you have received?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than HS	622	10.5 %
2	HS diploma	1442	24.4 %
3	Some college	1972	33.3 %
4	College graduate	1120	18.9 %
5	Graduate degree	708	12.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	50	0.8 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,864 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 182-182 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R05: Family income

What was the total family income in 2011 for all of your family members living here?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than \$15K	1159	19.6 %
2	\$15 K to \$30K	909	15.4 %
3	\$30K to \$50K	1050	17.8 %
4	\$50K to \$75K	961	16.2 %
5	\$75K to \$110K	863	14.6 %
6	More than \$110K	773	13.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	199	3.4 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,715 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 183-183 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R06: Occupational status

Are you working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Currently working	3095	52.3 %
2	Unemployed	424	7.2 %
3	Retired/disabled	1709	28.9 %
4	Homemaker	405	6.8 %
5	Student	268	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	13	0.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,901 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 184-184 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 9)

R07: Occupation

What is your current or most recent occupation? (Data not yet available)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	5914	100.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,914 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 185-185 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

R08: Marital status

Are you now married, widowed, divorced, separated or never married? Are you currently living with a partner, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Married	2941	49.7 %
2	Domestic partner	500	8.5 %
3	Widowed	369	6.2 %
4	Divorced/Separated	910	15.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Never married	1184	20.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	10	0.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,904 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 186-186 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R09: Church attendance

How often do you attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Every week	1316	22.3 %
2	Almost every week	693	11.7 %
3	Once or twice a month	615	10.4 %
4	Few times a year	880	14.9 %
5	Never	2376	40.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	34	0.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,880 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 187-187 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R10: Religious Affiliation

What is your religious preference or affiliation? The mainline Protestant category includes most Presbyterians, Methodists, and Lutherans, along with some smaller Protestant denominations. The evangelical Protestant category includes Baptists, Pentacostals, and a number of smaller evangelical groups. The other Christian group includes Mormons, Jehovah's Witnesses, Eastern Orthodox churches, along with other Christian churches not considered Protestant or Roman Catholic.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Catholic	1377	23.3 %
2	Mainline Protestant	1077	18.2 %
3	Evangelical Protestant	1680	28.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Other Christian	245	4.1 %
5	Jewish	110	1.9 %
6	Non-Christian	82	1.4 %
7	None	1257	21.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	86	1.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,828 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 188-188 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R11: How often prays

Outside of attending religious services, how often do you pray?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Several times a day	1761	29.8 %
2	Once a day	1092	18.5 %
3	A few times a week	1079	18.2 %
4	Once a week or less	987	16.7 %
5	Never	955	16.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	40	0.7 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,874 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 189-189 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R12: Bible interpretation

Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Word of God	1904	32.2 %
2	Word of God but not literal	2660	45.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Word of Man	1260	21.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	90	1.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,824 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 190-190 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R13: Religion guides day-to-day living

How much guidance would you say your religion provides in your day-to-day living?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	2034	34.4 %
2	Quite a bit	1225	20.7 %
3	Some	838	14.2 %
4	None	1779	30.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	38	0.6 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,876 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 191-191 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R14: Born again

Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1991	33.7 %
2	No	2362	39.9 %
3	Not Christian	192	3.2 %
4	Not religious	1257	21.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	112	1.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,802 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 192-192 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R15: Veteran

Did you ever serve in the U.S. Armed Forces?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	775	13.1 %
2	No	5135	86.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	4	0.1 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,910 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 193-193 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R16: Gun ownership

Do you or anyone else living here own a gun?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1820	30.8 %
2	No	3906	66.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	188	3.2 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,726 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 194-194 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R17: Union membership

Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union or to an employee association similar to a union?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	928	15.7 %
2	No	4957	83.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	29	0.5 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,885 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 195-195 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R18: Social class

Most people say they belong to either the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes? Which one?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Middle class	3041	51.4 %
2	Working class	2711	45.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	162	2.7 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,752 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 196-196 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R19: Region

Region of the country where the respondent lives: The Northeast includes CT,DE, MA,ME,NH,NJ,NY,PA,RI,VT,DC. The South includes AL,AR,FL,GA,LA,MS,NC,SC,TN,TX,VA. The Midwest includes IL,IN,IA,KS,KY,MI,MN,MO,ND,NE,OH,OK,SD,WI,WV. The West includes AK,AZ,CA,CO,HI,ID,MT,NM,NV,OR,UT,WA,WY.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Northeast	1103	18.7 %
2	Midwest	1432	24.2 %
3	South	1968	33.3 %
4	West	1411	23.9 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,914 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 197-197 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

R20: Parents born in US

Where were your parents born? Were they both born in the U.S., was only one born in the U.S., or was neither one both born in the U.S.?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Both parents	4679	79.1 %
2	One parent	404	6.8 %
3	Neither parent	814	13.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	17	0.3 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,897 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 198-198 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

R21: Place of residence

Size and type of community that the respondent lives in. (Data not yet available)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	5914	100.0 %
	Total	5,914	100%

Based upon 5,914 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00

- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 199-199 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEIGHT_FULL: ANES 2012 Time Series Post-stratified weight for full sample, preliminary release

Based upon 5,914 valid cases out of 5,914 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.0212
- Maximum: 4.9909
- Standard Deviation: 0.8984

Location: 200-205 (width: 6; decimal: 4)

Variable Type: numeric