

Dataflow Analysis of Hugs with ascent

Douglas Wilson

June 27, 2024

Outline

- 1 Datalog
- 2 An important quantum optimisation problem
- 3 Conclusion

Example

```
ascent! {  
    relation edge(i32, i32);  
    relation path(i32, i32);  
  
    path(x, y) <-- edge(x, y);  
    path(x, z) <-- edge(x, y), path(y, z);  
}
```

- Here is an example that, given the edges of a directed graph, computes whether a path exists between any two nodes.
- A datalog program is a collection of *Horn Clauses* (Horn, Alfred, 1951).
- The most mature open source implementation of Datalog is *souffle* (Scholz, Bernhard and Jordan, Herbert and Subotić, Pavle and Westmann, Till, 2016, Herbert Jordan and Bernhard Scholz and Pavle Subotić, 2016). It works by generating C++ code from a Datalog program.

Example

```
ascent! {  
    relation edge(i32, i32);  
    relation path(i32, i32);  
  
    path(x, y) <-- edge(x, y);  
    path(x, z) <-- edge(x, y), path(y, z);  
}
```

- Think of relations as sets of facts.
- A datalog solver computes all true facts from a set of initial facts.
- A datalog solver mutates relations as it iterates
- This mutation is *monotone*: once a fact exists, it will always exist.

Example

```
ascent! {  
    relation edge(i32, i32);  
    relation path(i32, i32);  
  
    path(x, y) <-- edge(x, y);  
    path(x, z) <-- edge(x, y), path(y, z);  
}
```

ascent (Sahebolamri, Arash and Gilray, Thomas and Micinski, Kristopher, 2022) is an implementation of Datalog via rust proc-macro.

```
fn main() {  
    let mut prog = AscentProgram::default();  
    prog.edge = vec![(1, 2), (2, 3)];  
    prog.run();  
    println!("path: {:?}", prog.path);  
}
```

Example

```
ascent! {  
    relation edge(i32, i32);  
    relation path(i32, i32);  
  
    path(x, y) <-- edge(x, y);  
    path(x, z) <-- edge(x, y), path(y, z);  
}
```

Datalog is tractable to solve because of *semi-naive evaluation*. Once a fact exists it always exists, therefore during an iteration we know that any new fact we find must depend on a fact that we discovered in the previous iteration.

Conditions and Generative Clauses

```
ascent! {  
  relation node(i32, Rc<Vec<i32>>);  
  relation edge(i32, i32);  
  
  edge(x, y) <--  
    node(x, neighbors),  
    for &y in neighbors.iter(),  
    if x != y;  
}
```

Negation and Aggregation

```
use ascent::aggregators::*;
type Student = u32;
type Course = u32;
type Grade = u16;
ascent! {
    relation student(Student);
    relation course_grade(Student, Course, Grade);
    relation avg_grade(Student, Grade);

    avg_grade(s, avg as Grade) <--
        student(s),
        agg avg = mean(g) in course_grade(s, _, g);
}
```


A *Lattice* is a partial order equipped with:

- a binary operation *join*, or least upper bound;
- a binary operation *meet*, or greatest lower bound.

A *Bounded Lattice* has a unique maximum element, called *top* or \top and a unique minimum element called *bottom* or \perp .

Lattices In ascent

```
ascent! {  
  lattice shortest_path(i32, i32, Dual<u32>);  
  relation edge(i32, i32, u32);  
  
  shortest_path(x, y, Dual(*w)) <-- edge(x, y, w);  
  
  shortest_path(x, z, Dual(w + 1)) <--  
    edge(x, y, w),  
    shortest_path(y, z, ?Dual(1));  
}
```

- a member of a *lattice* (k, L) is a fact that implies that $(k, l), l \leq L$ is a fact.
- `Dual` is a newtype wrapper that swaps *meet* and *join*. Unfortunately `longest_path` will fail to terminate on any graph with cycles.

Pluggable data structures in ascent

An equivlence relation:

```
ascent! {  
    relation rel(u32, u32);  
    rel(a,b) <- rel(b, a)  
    rel(a,c) <- rel(a, b), rel(b, c)  
}
```

will create N^2 facts.

We can store those facts using only N using a *union-find* data structure:

```
ascent! {  
    #[ds(rels_ascent::eqrel)]  
    relation rel(u32, u32);  
    // ...  
}
```

Pluggable data structures in ascent

(Sahebolamri, Arash and Barrett, Langston and Moore, Scott and Micinski, Kristopher, 2023) describes an interface to store relations in user-defined data structures.

Users implement several macros, which are then expanded by the ascent! macro.

TODO

Why use a Datalog solver at all?

- Split one hard problem into two slightly easier problems:
 - A Datalog solver
 - A Datalog program

Separate the specification and the implementation of your problem.

Downsides of ascent

- the proc-macro implementation seems to make it difficult to write an extensible tool.

Can't optimise this

```
@guppy
def circuit(q: Qubit) -> Qubit:
    i = 0
    while i < 2:
        u = h(Qubit())
        if i % 2 == 0:
            q, u = cx(q, u)
        else:
            q, u = cy(q, u)
        i = i + 1
        u.free()
    return q
```


Can optimise this

@guppy

```
def circuit(q: Qubit) -> Qubit:
    u1, u2 = (Qubit(), Qubit())
    u1 = h(u1)
    q, u1 = cx(q, u1)
    u2 = h(u2)
    q, u2 = cy(q, u2)
    u1.free()
    u2.free()
    return q
```

Dataflow Analysis is a general technique for static program analysis. *SSA* is particularly well suited for this:

- Choose a *Lattice* type with a *bottom*.
- Assign \perp to each edge. (i.e. each “value” in an SSA graph)
- Define a *transfer function* that takes a node and Lattice values for each of its edges, and returns Lattice values for each of its edges.
- Apply the transfer function to each node and mutate the Lattice values for each of its edges by *joining* with the result of the transfer function.
- Iterate the previous step until you reach a fixed point.

Liveness Analysis

Lattice: Define \perp to be *Dead* and \top to be *Live*.

Transfer function: The arguments of `return` are *Live*, the arguments of any node with *Live* results are *Live*.

@guppy

```
def circuit(q: Qubit, theta: float) -> Qubit: # theta is dead
    theta = -theta
    return q # q is live
```

Constant Value Propagation

Define the following lattice:

```
enum ConstantValue { Bottom, Value(u64), Top }  
fn join(lhs: ConstantValue, rhs: ConstantValue) -> ConstantValue {  
    match (lhs, rhs) {  
        (Bottom, x) => x,  
        (x, Bottom) => x,  
        (Value(x), Value(y)) if x == y => Value(x),  
        _ => Top  
    }  
}
```

Transfer Function: this is constant folding.

Consider:

- add(Value(x), Value(y))
- mult(Top, Value(0))

Constant Value Propagation

Define the following lattice:

```
enum ConstantValue { Bottom, Value(u64), Top }  
fn join(lhs: ConstantValue, rhs: ConstantValue) -> ConstantValue {  
    match (lhs, rhs) {  
        (Bottom, x) => x,  
        (x, Bottom) => x,  
        (Value(x), Value(y)) if x == y => Value(x),  
        _ => Top  
    }  
}
```

- After iterating the transfer function, if any node has a \perp input, then that node is *Unreachable*. Perhaps it is in the *else* branch of an always-true *if* statement.
 - Theorem: Values of type *The sum of zero variants* (also called \perp). Will always be assigned the lattice value \perp .
- Liveness analysis should only mark the inputs of *Reachable* return statements.

PartialValue

```
enum PartialValue {  
    Bottom,  
    Value(hugr::ops::Value),  
    PartialSum(HashSet<usize, Vec<PartialValue>>),  
    Top  
}
```

- *PartialValue* refines the idea of *ConstantValue* to try a little bit harder to not *join* to \top .
- *PartialSum* keeps track of which variant it might be, and what those variant's values might be.
- In a *Hugr* all non-function call control flow is controlled by the *tag* of a variant.
 - Conditional
 - TailLoop
 - CFG (arbitrary control flow graph)
- Let's look at `dataflow.rs` in

<https://github.com/CQCL/hugr/tree/doug/const-fold2-talk>

Loop unrolling

Imagine a function:

```
/// Apply constant value propagation to the inner DFG of a  
/// dataflow parent, using `in_values` as the values for the  
/// `Input` node. Returns the values for the `Output` node  
pub fn cvp_dataflow_parent(hugr: &Hugr, dataflow_parent: Node,  
    in_values: Vec[PartialValue]) -> Vec[PartialValue];
```

We can use this to unroll a TailLoop node t1:

- Do constant value propagation on the hugr: Hugr, and retrieve the input values for the t1.
 - Call `let out_values = cvp_dataflow_parent(hugr, t1, in_values);`.
 - if `!out_values[0].supports_tag(1)` then the tailloop is proven to iterate at least once.
 - Create a DFG before t1, containing a copy of t1, wired up to the old inputs of t1, and with its outputs becoming the new inputs of t1.
 - set `in_values = out_values` and iterate.

- Dataflow Analysis is a useful tool and Hughs are well suited for it to be directly applied. (Heidemann, ????)
- Constant Value Propagation is strong enough to unroll loops in Hugs.
- It is not clear whether ascent is an appropriate tool. How can we write modular interdependent analyses?