

LATTICE SIMPLICES IN $[0, k]^d$

BY

LIONEL POURNIN

LIPN, Université Paris 13, Villetaneuse, France
e-mail: lionel.pournin@univ-paris13.fr

ABSTRACT

Consider a lattice simplex S contained in the cube $[0, k]^d$ where k is a positive integer and d is at least 2. It is shown in this note that there exists a lattice point $v \in [0, k]^d$ such that the convex hull of $S \cup \{v\}$ admits, as vertices, v and all the vertices of S .

In this note, a lattice (d, k) -polytope is a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ whose coordinates of vertices belong to $\{0, \dots, k\}$. Note that, by this definition, the dimension of a lattice (d, k) -polytope is possibly less than d .

Consider a d -dimensional lattice (d, k) -polytope S and assume that S is a simplex. Note that we restrict the claim in the abstract to S being d -dimensional, but this will be shown to be without loss of generality later (see Lemma 0.4). Let \mathcal{V} be the set of vertices of S . For any $u \in \mathcal{V}$, denote by H_u the affine hull of the facet of S opposite u , and by H_u^- the closed half-space of \mathbb{R}^d limited by H_u that does not contain u . For any $v \in \mathcal{V}$, the set

$$C_v = \bigcap_{u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{v\}} H_u^-$$

is a d -dimensional cone pointed at v . This cone is exactly the set of the points $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that the convex hull of $S \cup \{x\}$ does not admit v as a vertex. By this remark, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 0.1: *Let x be a lattice point in $[0, k]^d$. The convex hull of $S \cup \{x\}$ admits, as vertices, x and all the vertices of S if and only if x does not belong to S and, for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, x does not belong to C_v .*

Call $\gamma = \min\{x_1 : x \in S\}$. Consider the following hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^d :

$$X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 = \gamma\}$$

Denote by X^- the open half-space of \mathbb{R}^d bounded by X and that does not contain S . By construction, the intersection $S \cap X$ is a non-empty, proper face of S . This face will be denoted by F in the following. Since S is a simplex, it admits another, non-empty face F^* whose vertices are exactly the vertices of S that do not belong to F .

By construction,

$$\dim(F) + \dim(F^*) = d - 1.$$

In particular, there exists a vector c that is orthogonal to both F and F^* . Consider the hyperplane Y of \mathbb{R}^d that admits c as a normal vector and such that $F^* \subset Y$. The intersection $S \cap Y$ is precisely F^* . Denote by Y^- the closed half-space of \mathbb{R}^d bounded by Y that does not contain F . It will be assumed that c has norm 1 and that it points towards Y^- . Let

$$(1) \quad \delta = \min\{c \cdot x : x \in X \cap [0, k]^d\}.$$

Further denote $G = \{x \in X \cap [0, k]^d : c \cdot x = \delta\}$. In the statement of the following lemma, $\text{aff}(F)$ denotes the affine hull of F .

LEMMA 0.2: *If v is a vertex of S , then*

$$C_v \subset \text{aff}(F) \cup X^- \cup Y^-.$$

Proof. First observe that, if s is a face of S and H is a hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^d that intersects S exactly along s , then

$$\bigcap_{u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus s} H_u^- \subset H^-,$$

where H^- is the closed half space of \mathbb{R}^d bounded by H and disjoint from the interior of S . Further observe that the intersection of H with

$$\bigcap_{u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus s} H_u^-$$

is precisely the affine hull of s . As a direct consequence, taking in turn $s = F^*$ and $s = F$, one obtains that, if v is a vertex of F , then $C_v \subset \text{aff}(F) \cup X^-$ and if v is a vertex of F^* , then $C_v \subset Y^-$. The result therefore holds because any vertex of S is either a vertex of F or a vertex of F^* . ■

As an immediate consequence of this and Lemma 0.1, for any lattice point $x \in [0, k]^d$ that does not belong to X^- , to Y^- , or to the affine hull of F , the convex hull of $S \cup \{x\}$ admits, as vertices, x and all the vertices of S .

Recall that c is orthogonal to F and F^* . As a consequence, the map $x \mapsto c \cdot x$ is constant within F and within F^* . Call ε the value of $c \cdot x$ when $x \in F$ and ε^* the value of $c \cdot x$ when $x \in F^*$. Since F and Y^- are disjoint, $\varepsilon < \varepsilon^*$. Moreover, by (1), $\delta \leq \varepsilon$. Observe that if the latter inequality is strict, then G is disjoint from both $\text{aff}(F)$ and Y^- . By definition, it is also disjoint from X^- and the following lemma is then obtained as a consequence of Lemmas 0.1 and 0.2.

LEMMA 0.3: *If $\delta < \varepsilon$, then for any lattice point $x \in G$, the convex hull of $S \cup \{x\}$ admits, as vertices, x and all the vertices of S .*

If, on the contrary, δ and ε coincide, then $F \subset G$. This situation is familiar: we are looking at a lattice simplex F contained in a (possibly degenerate) lattice cube G . If the dimension of G is greater than the dimension of F , then the following lemma provides the desired result.

LEMMA 0.4: *If k and d are positive and if P is a lattice (d, k) -polytope of dimension less than d then there exists a lattice point x that belongs to $[0, k]^d$ but that does not belong to the affine hull of P .*

Proof. If P is a lattice (d, k) -polytope of dimension less than d , then the intersection I of its affine hull with $[0, k]^d$ cannot contain more than $(k+1)^{d-1}$ lattice points. Indeed, one can always project I orthogonally on a facet of $[0, k]^d$ in such a way that the dimension of the projection is not less than that of I . Such a projection induces an injection from the lattice points in I into the lattice points in the facet on which the projection is made.

Now observe that $[0, k]^d$ contains $(k+1)^d$ lattice points. Since k is positive, $(k+1)^{d-1} < (k+1)^d$ and the lemma is proven. ■

Hence, it remains to solve the case when F is a subset of G and both have the same dimension. If this dimension is at least 2, then the strategy is to argue by induction on d . The base case of the induction is given by the following lemma.

LEMMA 0.5: *If $d = 2$ then there exists a lattice point $x \in [0, k]^2$ such that the convex hull of $S \cup \{x\}$ admits, as vertices, x and all the vertices of S .*

Proof. Probably just a careful, hopefully short disjunction. Rado, Julien? ■

The case when F is a subset of G and their common dimension is either 0 or 1 has to be treated separately.

LEMMA 0.6: *Assume that d is greater than 2. If G has dimension at most 1 and admits F as a subset, then there exists at least one lattice point x in $[0, k]^d$ that does not belong to $\text{aff}(F) \cup X^- \cup Y^-$.*

Proof. Assume that the dimension of G is 0 or 1 and that F is a subset of G . Because of the latter assumption, $\delta = \varepsilon$. Further assume that every lattice point in $X \cap [0, k]^d$ belongs to either $\text{aff}(F)$ or Y^- . Since Y^- is the set of points $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $c \cdot x \geq \varepsilon^*$, this assumption yields that any lattice point x in $X \cap [0, k]^d$ such that $c \cdot x < \varepsilon^*$ satisfies $c \cdot x = \delta$.

In particular, the only lattice points in $X \cap [0, k]^d$ that may belong to Y have distance exactly 1 to some lattice point in G .

Now consider the set N of the points x in $[0, k]^d$ whose orthogonal projection on X belongs to G and such that $x_i = \gamma + 1$. By construction, $\gamma < k$ and therefore, N is non-empty. More precisely, N is made up of a single point if G has dimension 0, and N is a line segment parallel to G if G has dimension 1. In particular, the map $x \mapsto c \cdot x$ is constant within N . Call this constant η . If $\eta \geq \varepsilon^*$, then the only lattice points x in $[0, k]^d$ such that $x_i \geq \gamma$ that may belong to Y are the ones whose distance to some lattice point in G is exactly 1. Among these candidates, the only ones that can also be vertices of S are the lattice point in N . Indeed, all the other candidates belong to X . This is impossible because S would then be contained in the convex hull of $G \cup N$ whose dimension is either 1 or 2 depending on the dimension of G , whereas the dimension of S is at least 3.

It follows from this contradiction that $\eta < \varepsilon^*$. In other words, N is disjoint from Y^- . By construction, N is also disjoint from X^- and from the affine hull of F . As N contains lattice points, this proves the lemma. ■

We are now ready to prove the desired result.

THEOREM 0.7: *There exists a lattice point x in the cube $[0, k]^d$ such that the convex hull of $S \cup \{x\}$ admits, as vertices, x and all the vertices of S .*

Proof. We need to write the induction carefully. Rado, Julien? ■