

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Based on a chapter by Chris Piech

We have learned many different distributions for random variables, and all of those distributions had **parameters**: the numbers that you provide as input when you define a random variable. So far when we were working with random variables, we either were explicitly told the values of the parameters, or we could divine the values by understanding the process that was generating the random variables.

What if we don't know the values of the parameters and we can't estimate them from our own expert knowledge? What if instead of knowing the random variables, we have a lot of examples of data generated with the same underlying distribution? In this chapter we are going to learn formal ways of estimating parameters from data.

These ideas are critical for artificial intelligence. Almost all modern machine learning algorithms work like this: (1) Specify a probabilistic model that has parameters. (2) Learn the value of those parameters from data.

Parameters

Before we dive into parameter estimation, first let's revisit the concept of parameters. Given a model, the parameters are the numbers that yield the actual distribution. In the case of a Bernoulli random variable, the single parameter was the value p . In the case of a Uniform random variable, the parameters are the a and b values that define the min and max value. Here is a list of random variables and the corresponding parameters. From now on, we are going to use the notation θ to be a vector of all the parameters:

Distribution	Parameters
Bernoulli(p)	$\theta = p$
Poisson(λ)	$\theta = \lambda$
Uniform(a, b)	$\theta = (a, b)$
Normal(μ, σ^2)	$\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$
$Y = mX + b$	$\theta = (m, b)$

In the real world often you don't know the "true" parameters, but you get to observe data. Next up, we will explore how we can use data to estimate the model parameters.

It turns out there isn't just one way to estimate the value of parameters. There are two main approaches: Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) and Maximum A Posteriori (MAP). Both of these approaches assume that your data are IID samples: X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n where all X_i are independent and have the same distribution.

Maximum Likelihood

Our first algorithm for estimating parameters is called **maximum likelihood estimation** (MLE). The central idea behind MLE is to select that parameters (θ) that make the observed data the most likely.

The data that we are going to use to estimate the parameters are going to be n independent and identically distributed (IID) samples: X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

Likelihood

We made the assumption that our data are identically distributed. This means that they must have either the same probability mass function (if the data are discrete) or the same probability density function (if the data are continuous). To simplify our conversation about parameter estimation, we are going to use the notation $f(X | \theta)$ to refer to this shared PMF or PDF. Our new notation is interesting in two ways. First, we have now included a conditional on θ which is our way of indicating that the likelihood of different values of X depends on the values of our parameters. Second, we are going to use the same symbol f for both discrete and continuous distributions.

What does likelihood mean and how is “likelihood” different than “probability”? In the case of discrete distributions, likelihood is a synonym for the joint probability of your data. In the case of continuous distribution, likelihood refers to the joint probability density of your data.

Since we assumed each data point is independent, the likelihood of all our data is the product of the likelihood of each data point. Mathematically, the likelihood of our data given parameters θ is:

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i | \theta)$$

For different values of parameters, the likelihood of our data will be different. If we have correct parameters, our data will be much more probable than if we have incorrect parameters. For that reason we write likelihood as a function of our parameters (θ).

Maximization

In maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) our goal is to chose values of our parameters (θ) that maximizes the likelihood function from the previous section. We are going to use the notation $\hat{\theta}$ to represent the best choice of values for our parameters. Formally, MLE assumes that:

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} L(\theta)$$

“Arg max” is short for *argument of the maximum*. The arg max of a function is the value of the domain at which the function is maximized. It applies for domains of any dimension.

A cool property of arg max is that since log is a monotonic function, the arg max of a function is the same as the arg max of the log of the function! That’s nice because logs make the math simpler.

If we find the arg max of the log of likelihood, it will be equal to the arg max of the likelihood. Therefore, for MLE, we first write the **log likelihood** function (LL)

$$LL(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \log \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i|\theta)$$

To use a maximum likelihood estimator, first write the log likelihood of the data given your parameters. Then chose the value of parameters that maximize the log likelihood function. Argmax can be computed in many ways. All of the methods that we cover in this class require computing the first derivative of the function.

Bernoulli MLE Estimation

For our first example, we are going to use MLE to estimate the p parameter of a Bernoulli distribution. We are going to make our estimate based on n data points which we will refer to as IID random variables $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n$. Every one of these random variables is assumed to be a sample from the same Bernoulli, with the same p , $X_i \sim \text{Ber}(p)$. We want to find out what that p is.

Step one of MLE is to write the likelihood of a Bernoulli as a function that we can maximize. Since a Bernoulli is a discrete distribution, the likelihood is the probability mass function.

You may not have realized before that the probability mass function of a Bernoulli X can be written as $f(X) = p^X(1-p)^{1-X}$. Interesting! Where did that come from? It's an equation that allows us to say that the probability that $X = 1$ is p and the probability that $X = 0$ is $1-p$. Convince yourself that when $X_i = 0$ and $X_i = 1$ the PMF returns the right probabilities. We write the PMF this way because it is differentiable.

Let's do some maximum likelihood estimation:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n p^{X_i}(1-p)^{1-X_i} && \text{first write the likelihood function} \\ LL(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log p^{X_i}(1-p)^{1-X_i} && \text{then take the log} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\log p) + (1-X_i)\log(1-p) \\ &= Y \log p + (n-Y)\log(1-p) && \text{where } Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \end{aligned}$$

We have a formula for the log likelihood. Now we simply need to chose the value of p that maximizes our log likelihood. As your calculus teacher probably taught you, one way to find the value which maximizes a function that is to find the first derivative of the function and set it equal to 0.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta LL(p)}{\delta p} &= Y \frac{1}{p} + (n-Y) \frac{-1}{1-p} = 0 \\ \hat{p} &= \frac{Y}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \end{aligned}$$

All that work to find out that the maximum likelihood estimate is simply the sample mean...

Poisson MLE Estimation

Practice is key. Let us estimate the best parameter values for a Poisson distribution. Like before, suppose we have n samples from our Poisson, which we represent as random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . We assume that for all i , X_i are IID and $X_i \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$. Our parameter is therefore $\theta = \lambda$. The PMF of a Poisson is $f(x|\lambda) = e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x / x!$. Let's write the log-likelihood function first:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{X_i}}{X_i!} && \text{(likelihood function)} \\ LL(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\lambda \log e + X_i \log \lambda - \log(X_i!) && \text{(log-likelihood function)} \\ &= -n\lambda + \log \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i!) && \text{(use log with base } e) \end{aligned}$$

Then, we differentiate with respect to our parameter λ and set it equal to 0. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)$ is a constant with respect to λ :

$$\frac{\partial LL(\theta)}{\partial \lambda} = -n + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 0$$

Finally, we solve and find that $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Yup, it's the sample mean again!

Normal MLE Estimation

Let's keep practicing. Next, we will estimate the best parameter values for a normal distribution. All we have access to are n samples from our normal, which we represent as IID random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . We assume that for all i , $X_i \sim N(\mu = \theta_0, \sigma^2 = \theta_1)$. This example seems trickier because a normal has **two** parameters that we have to estimate. In this case, θ is a vector with two values. The first is the mean (μ) parameter, and the second is the variance (σ^2) parameter.

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i|\theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta_1}} e^{-\frac{(X_i - \theta_0)^2}{2\theta_1}} && \text{Likelihood for a continuous variable is the PDF} \\ LL(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta_1}} e^{-\frac{(X_i - \theta_0)^2}{2\theta_1}} && \text{We want to calculate log likelihood} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[-\log(\sqrt{2\pi\theta_1}) - \frac{1}{2\theta_1} (X_i - \theta_0)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Again, the last step of MLE is to choose values of θ that maximize the log likelihood function. In this case, we can calculate the partial derivative of the LL function with respect to both θ_0 and θ_1 ,

set both equations to equal 0, and then solve for the values of θ . Doing so results in the equations for the values $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\theta}_0$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\theta}_1$ that maximize likelihood. The result is: $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mu})^2$.