The Vacuum Catastrophe Solved: Holographic Dark Energy from Horizon Entropy

Douglas H. M. Fulber

Federal University of Rio de Janeiro • January 2026 • TARDIS Framework

---

Abstract

The discrepancy between the vacuum energy density predicted by Quantum Field Theory ($\rho \sim M_p^4$) and the observed Dark Energy density ($\rho \sim 10^{-120} M_p^4$) is often cited as the worst prediction in the history of physics. We propose that this error arises from treating the vacuum as a bulk extensive quantity. By applying the **Holographic Principle** within the TARDIS framework, where the information content of the universe is bounded by its event horizon area, we derive the correct scaling law: $\rho \sim (M_p/L)^2$. Our calculation using the current Hubble radius (1.37×10^{26} m) yields a density of 8.5×10^{-27} kg/m³, matching the observational value (5.8×10^{-27} kg/m³) within a factor of 1.46, effectively solving the catastrophe without fine-tuning.

Keywords: *Cosmological Constant, Vacuum Catastrophe, Holographic Principle, Dark Energy, Horizon Entropy*

1. THE PROBLEM: BULK VS. BOUNDARY

Standard Quantum Field Theory (QFT) assumes that vacuum fluctuations occur independently at every point in space. Summing the zero-point energy up to the Planck scale yields:

$$\rho_{QFT} \approx \int_0^{\Lambda_{UV}} E_k d^3k \sim M_p^4 \approx 10^{96}\ \mathrm{kg/m^3}$$

This is 10^{123} times larger than the observed value. It assumes the universe allows $N \sim Volume$ degrees of freedom. However, General Relativity dictates that the maximum entropy is proportional to **Surface Area** (Bekenstein Bound).

2. THE HOLOGRAPHIC SOLUTION

If the universe is a holographic system, the maximum energy density is constrained such that the total mass does not exceed a Black Hole of the same size (L).

$$\rho_\Lambda \leq \frac{3c^2}{8\pi G L^2} \sim M_p^2 H^2$$

Vacuum energy density scales with the Infrared Cutoff (Horizon Radius)

This implies that the "Vacuum Energy" is not a local substance, but a non-local boundary pressure exerted by the information saturation of the cosmic horizon.

3. NUMERICAL CALCULATION

We compared the theoretical holographic prediction against Planck 2018 observational data.

Parameter	Value
Hubble Constant (H_0)	$67.4 \mathrm{~km/s/Mpc} \approx 2.18 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
Hubble Radius ($L = c/H_0$)	$1.37 \times 10^{26} \mathrm{~m}$
Planck Mass (M_p)	$2.17 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~kg}$

3.1 Results

Model	Energy Density (ρ)	Discrepancy
Standard QFT	$5.1 \times 10^{96} \mathrm{~kg/m}^3$	10^{123}
Observation (Ω_Λ)	$5.8 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg/m}^3$	-
Holographic Prediction	$8.5 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg/m}^3$	**1.46x (Success)**

The holographic model predicts the correct scale of Dark Energy purely from geometric constants (c, G, H_0).

4. CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated that the "Vacuum Catastrophe" is a misunderstanding of the relevant degrees of freedom in quantum gravity.

- QFT overcounts degrees of freedom by assuming extensive scaling (L^3).
- Gravity imposes a holographic cutoff (L^2), rendering the vacuum energy density extremely small ($\sim 1/L^2$).
- Dark Energy is simply the manifestation of the universe's event horizon entropy.

REFERENCES

1. Cohen, A. G., Kaplan, D. B., & Nelson, A. E. (1999). *Effective Field Theory, Gravity, and the Cosmological Constant*. Phys. Rev. Lett.
2. Hsu, S. D. H. (2004). *Entropy Bounds and Dark Energy*. Phys. Lett. B.
3. Li, M. (2004). *A Model of Holographic Dark Energy*. Phys. Lett. B.
4. Fulber, D. (2026). *TARDIS Framework: Holographic Vacuum*. Zenodo.