



Git, GitLab, CI, Code Quality, Security and SonarQube

David Parter,
Computer Sciences Department

UW IT Professionals Conference
June 6, 2019

Continuous Integration



Continuous Deployment

Traditional Release Cycle

- One or more scheduled major and minor releases
- Each release/deployment is an **event**, and a cause for **dread**:



Traditional Release Cycle Dread:

Developers and vendors:

- Deadlines!!
- Long delays in getting features & fixes to customers
- Long delays in getting feedback from customers
- Difficulty in understanding all the changes

Customers:

- What changed? What else did it break?
- How long will the upgrade take? How much down time?
- When can we schedule the upgrade?
- Long wait for fixes and features, don't know if they will work

Continuous Deployment

- Continuous roll-out of new features and fixes (“small batch”)
- Automated deployment
- Managed and Monitored
- Immediate feedback
- Well-suited for Software as a Service providers
- Netflix, Facebook, ...

Use for smaller-scale tools and services too

Continuous Integration

Integrate (commit/check-in) code frequently

- No massive commits (“small batch”)



Automated tests on every commit

- Automation is good
- Consistent
- Easy (once it is setup)



Catch errors and problems immediately

- While you still remember the code
- Before you have to change lots of code





Continuous Integration Challenges

Culture
Infrastructure and Tools
Project management

CI Challenges: Culture

Frequent commits:

- Many developers are used to long coding sessions, and a check-in when it is “done”
- Each “commit” is an *integration*
 - Needs to build and work
 - Less branching, merging and code conflicts?

Immediate automated testing:

- Functional tests
- Regression tests
- Code and security standards
- No one likes tests

Continuous Integration at the CSL

Application-specific testing

Unit tests, functional tests, regression tests, etc

One-shot/single-purpose quality and basic checks:

Syntax checks, enforce the basics

Code Inspection and Analysis: SonarQube

Continuous Integration: Puppet

Yamllint with custom configuration for Puppet node files

Puppet-lint checks puppet configuration

Run on every commit

Won't deploy configuration to Production unless passes with no errors

Simple Code is Better Code

Debugging is twice as hard as writing the code in the first place. Therefore, if you write the code as cleverly as possible, you are, by definition, not smart enough to debug it.

– Brian W. Kernighan

The Elements of Programming Style, 2nd edition, Chapter 2

Python: Pre-Commit hooks

Pre-commit-hooks framework:

trailing-whitespace

end-of-file-fixer

check-docstring-first

check-json

check-yaml

debug-statements

requirements-txt-fixer

Additional hooks:

Flake8

autopep8

pyupgrade

reorder_python_imports

Add-trailing-comma

bandit

check-hooks-apply

check-useless-excludes

SonarQube: Continuous Code Quality

- 25+ Languages
- Integrates with git and other SCMs
- Database stores quality snapshots, history
- **Issues and issue status remembered between analysis runs**
- Adjust Rules to fit your project, organization, etc
- Project Dashboard
- Community edition

SonarQube: Code Analysis and Issues

- **Code Smells**: Code quality and maintainability
- **Bugs**: Reliability
- **Vulnerabilities**: Security issues
- **Security Hotspots**: Security-sensitive code, require review by designated staff

SonarQube: Issue Lifecycle

- Open
- Confirmed
- Resolved
- Reopened
- Closed

SonarQube: Issue Resolution

Closed (Automatic):

- Fixed: when issue not found in later analysis

- Removed: if rule removed from quality profile

Resolved (Manual):

- False Positive

- Won't Fix

SonarQube Example: Cognitive Complexity

Cognitive Complexity Score: How hard it is to **understand** the code's control flow

- Count breaks in the linear flow of the code
- Count nesting of flow-breaking structures
- Don't penalize code that is a good practice (shorthand and structures that make the code more readable)

SonarQube Example: Cognitive Complexity

- Code flagged for Cognitive Complexity
- Developer said that what it had to do was complicated, so complexity was expected and OK
- Reviewed the code ...

SonarQube Example: Cognitive Complexity

Reviewed complex code:

- Creating/Provisioning a new user
- 7 distinct steps/tasks
- Lots of duplicated code, complicated error handling

Refactored code:

- Simplified code into one Python `try:` clause
- Only two exception cases to handle: Fatal and Retry

Significant reduction in Cognitive Complexity score

Significant improvement and simplification of the code

hiringadmin master

March 12, 2019, 4:34 PM Version 1.0

[Overview](#)[Issues](#)[Security Reports](#)[Measures](#)[Code](#)[Activity](#)[Administration](#)

Quality Gate

Passed

Bugs



Vulnerabilities



13

E

Bugs

0

A

Vulnerabilities

Code Smells



2d

A

Debt

started 3 months ago

216

Code Smells

Coverage



0.0%

Coverage

About This Project

No tags

M 13k

Lines of Code

CSS 7.4k

Python 2.8k

HTML 2.3k

JavaScript 791

Project Activity

March 12, 2019

1.0

[Show More](#)

Quality Gate

(Default) [Sonar way](#)

Quality Profiles

(CSS) [Sonar way](#)(JavaScript) [Sonar way](#)(Python) [Sonar way](#)

My Issues

All

Filters

Clear All Filters

Type Code Smell

Reset

Bug 13

Vulnerability 0

Code Smell 216

Security Hotspot 0

Ctrl + click to add to selection

Severity

Blocker 0 Minor 15

Critical 8 Info 0

Major 193

Resolution

Status

Creation Date

Bulk Change



to select issues



to navigate



1 / 216 issues

2d 3h effort

appointments/forms.py

Remove this commented out code. ...

2 years ago L118

Code Smell Major Open Not assigned 5min effort Comment

misra, unused

appointments/models.py

Refactor this function to reduce its Cognitive Complexity from 18 to the 15

2 years ago L338 18

allowed. ...

Code Smell Critical Open Not assigned 8min effort Comment

brain-overload

Method "gen_letter" has 9 parameters, which is greater than the 7 authorized. ...

2 years ago L418

Code Smell Major Open Not assigned 20min effort Comment

brain-overload

Refactor this function to reduce its Cognitive Complexity from 22 to the 15

2 years ago L729 10

allowed. ...

Code Smell Critical Open Not assigned 12min effort Comment

brain-overload

Rename function "create_CSPerson" to match the regular expression ^[a-z_][a-z0-9_]

2 years ago L729

{2,}\$. ...

My Issues

All



Bulk Change



to select issues



to navigate



1 / 216 issues

2d 3h effort

Filters

Clear All Filters

Type Code Smell

Reset



Bug

13



Vulnerability

0

appointments/forms.py

☐ Remove this commented out code. ...

2 years ago L118



Code Smell



Major



Open

Not assigned

5min effort

Comment



misra, unused

appointments/models.py

Sections of code should not be commented out



Sections of code should not be commented out

python:S125



Code Smell Major Main sources misra, unused Available Since Mar 01, 2019 SonarAnalyzer (Python) Constant/issue: 5min

Programmers should not comment out code as it bloats programs and reduces readability.

Unused code should be deleted and can be retrieved from source control history if required.

See

- MISRA C:2004, 2.4 - Sections of code should not be "commented out".
- MISRA C++:2008, 2-7-2 - Sections of code shall not be "commented out" using C-style comments.
- MISRA C++:2008, 2-7-3 - Sections of code should not be "commented out" using C++ comments.
- MISRA C:2012, Dir. 4.4 - Sections of code should not be "commented out".

In Conclusion...

Continuous Integration takes some setup, but it's worth it

Improve code quality and consistency

Allows for Continuous Deployment, or at least faster deployment

Linters and other single-purpose checkers are great

SonarQube brings a lot of tools and more sophisticated analysis

Questions?

Thanks to former CSL student employee Marco Carini for CI setup and deploying as many CI hooks as he could find.

Also thanks to the CSL staff for adopting CI and assisting with this presentation.