

11 Major Problems in Physics

Doug Sweetser
sweetser@alum.mit.edu


Fall APS Meeting, 2014
Wentworth Institute of Technology

11 Problems in Physics

1. Weak Gravity
2. Old Gravity
3. Big Gravity
4. Small Gravity
5. Small Physics
6. Fast Physics
7. Small and Fast Physics
8. The 4 Fundamental Forces
9. Unified Physics
10. The Arrow of Space-Time
11. Visualizing Physics

Weak Gravity

When gravity is $10^{-10} g$, Newton's law doesn't work



History

1932 Jan Oort, Milky Way motions too fast

1922 Fritz Zwicky, motions of galaxies too fast

1962 Alar Toomre, thin disc galaxies too fast, unstable

Current Efforts

Dark matter - plug in what is needed

MOND - change Newton's law from $1/R^2$ to $1/R$

My Effort

Need a stable, constant velocity solution for gravity

Galaxies are not a static, non-rotating, point sources


The product rule may come into play

Account for loss of light

Old Gravity



The start of the Universe is not stable, it should ball up.
There is no reason matter should move at the same speed.

Flatness problem:
initial conditions
are unstable



Horizon problem:
velocities have no
way to agree to
1 part in 100,000

$$\left(\frac{\rho_c}{\rho} - 1\right) \rho a^2 = -\frac{3}{8\pi G} k c^2$$
$$\frac{\rho}{\rho_c \text{ now}} \approx 1.01$$
$$\frac{\rho}{\rho_c \text{ Big Bang}} \approx 1.00..(\text{lots of } 0's)..001$$



History

1969 Dicke, the Universe is flat now, but had to be far
more flat at the start

Current Efforts


Inflation - magic to make Universe briefly grow like crazy

My Effort

Don't use Newton out-of-the-box, things are moving
Need a stable, constant velocity solution for gravity.

Big Gravity

The Universe is now going faster, not slowing down



History

1998 Perlmutter, Schmidt, Riess

Type 1a supernovae moving slow in the past
meaning we are accelerating now

Current Efforts

Dark energy The cosmological constant fix

My Effort

The data looks like a real, but small, math error

Nothing can do nothing (observering nothing is subtle)

The Universe is not a static, non-rotating, spherical mass

The product rule could come into play

Account for light

Small Gravity

Get gravity to work nicely with quantum mechanics.

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{simple} + A^\mu \dots \text{perturbation}$$

The issue: does the perturbation converge in a scattering calculation?
 "Yes" for 4 linear EM eqs,
 "no" for 10 nonlinear GR eqs.


History

1916+ Force laws the same, so quantization the same, no?

Current Efforts

Work with strings

Loop quantum gravity




My Effort

Make a 1 nonlinear gravity field theory.
It changes measurements of all quanta.

Small Physics

Why is quantum mechanics wierd?



History

1920s Einstein vs Bohr

Your choice: Copenhagen, many worlds, ... no winner

Double slit experiment - wave and a particle - how so?

Current Efforts

Philosophical physicist continue the stalemate

My Effort

Visualize complex numbers correctly

Not a totally ordered set

Coherent source is well-organized in space-time

Fast Physics

How does Nature impose the speed of light limit?



History

1887 Michelson-Morley, speed of light unchanged
by the speed of the Earth

1905 Einstein's special relativity

Current Efforts

Its the Lorentz group, stupid (no need to explain)

My Effort

Wavelength and frequency do change, their ratio doesn't
Changes in time = changes in space, the definition of unity
in space-time

Photons have no story to tell

Small and Fast Physics

Calculations in relativistic quantum field theory require management of infinities (regularization and renormalization)

$$\nabla A^\mu = J^\mu \xrightarrow[\text{Invert by choosing a gauge}]{\text{Field eqs.}} A^\mu = e^{ikx} \dots \xrightarrow{\text{Propagator}}$$

\mathcal{L} = simple
+ $A^\mu \dots$ perturbation

History

1940s Developed by Feynman, Tomonaga, Schwinger
Old masters uncomfortable (Feynman, Dirac)

Current Efforts

Shut up and calculate the most precise calcs in physics


My Effort

Nature uses all well-formed terms.

Use a Lagrangian's neighbors. $(\mathcal{L}, \vec{P}) = \frac{1}{2}(B^2 - E^2, 4 \vec{E} \times \vec{B})$
Using the complete set, field equations can be inverted without choosing a gauge

Fundamental Forces

Why are these 4 forces in particular the ones Nature uses?



Force	Symmetry
Gravity	Diff(M)
EM	U(1)
Weak	SU(2)
Strong	SU(3) w/confinement

History

- 1666 Newton wrote equations for gravity
- 1767 Priestley shows similar equation for EM
- 1865 Maxwell unified E w/M, has U(1) sym.
- 1930s Initial work on weak and strong forces
- 1960s Electroweak unification w/SU(2)xU(1)
- 1970s Strong force w/confinement SU(3)

Current Efforts


- Groups SU(5) and SU(10) tried, so far failed
- Work on strings has no testable predictions so far

My Efforts

- Only symmetries, not forces, work for 13 billion years
- Symmetries should be baked into the math
- Exploring modifications of the quaternion group Q_8

Unified Physics

How does Nature make it all work, from tiny to HUGE?



Field equations of general relativity

Dirac equations of quantum field theory

History

Common goal at the end of a career in physics

Current Efforts

Work on strings makes the most claims

My Effort



Build causality into number theory:

[0, 1) timelike, [1] lightlike, (1, ∞) spacelike

Quaternion analysis needs factors of $1/3$, good for quarks

Working on the quaternion manifold may need maps that
return in $2\pi i$ and $4\pi i$, good for bosons and fermions

Give zero - the observer, the right topology to 1 in space-time



The Arrow of Time

The laws of physics are symmetrical for time reversal, but the Universe apparently is not

$$F = m \frac{d^2 R}{dt^2} = m \frac{d^2 R}{d(-t)^2} \quad \Lambda_{\text{time reversal}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

CPT symmetry

History

1800s Boltzmann saw asymmetry put into 2nd law of thermodynamics "by hand"

Current Efforts

Information theory

Holographic principle


My Effort

$$F = \left(\frac{d}{dt}, c \vec{\nabla} \right) \left(E^2/c^4 - P^2/c^2, 2E\vec{P}/c^3 \right) \left(\frac{d}{dt}, c \vec{\nabla} \right) (ct, \vec{R}) = m \frac{d^2 R}{dt^2} + \delta$$

Classically, delta is super tiny, but adds up with 10^{23} particles, so laws are not space-time symmetric.

Visualizing Physics

People cannot understand calculations, but can get pictures



History

1637 Descartes created analytic geometry

1660+ Newton graphed infinitesimal change

Current Efforts

Many sites devoted to visualizing classical physics

Bernd Thaller worked on quantum mechanics

My Efforts

Created animated gifs

Need to treat as a "game" that can be changed on the fly

