Squawk Technology

Nik Shaylor
Doug Simon
Bill Bush

Overview

- The Project
- Technical Issues

The Squawk Project

The Squawk Project

- Technical Overview
- What we've done so far

The Squawk Project

- Goal: CLDC/KVM compliant implementation for small devices
- Goal: system written in Java for portability and ease of development

Small JVM Technology

- **Small Footprint**
  - The next generation of smart cards:
    - 8 K of RAM
    - 32 K of EEPROM
    - 160 K of ROM
    - 32 bit processor
  - Size issues include
    - Size of transmitted class files
    - RAM needed for class file loading
    - Size of loaded class files
    - RAM required during execution
    - Size of interpreter and memory system

Implemented in Java

- The whole VM is written in Java
  - Test: run under Hotspot
  - Deploy: translate to C and compiled using a C compiler
- The VM has two types of components
  - Core functionality (interpreter and GC)
  - System classes
    - Regular Java system classes
    - Loader
    - Verifier
    - Thread scheduler
    - Object synchronization

Split VM

- Off-Device Translator
  - Reads pre-verified class files
  - Writes space-optimized collections of classes called *Suites*
- Suites
  - Contain only inter-suite symbolic information
  - Internally linked
  - Compact class representation
  - Space-optimized bytecodes
  - Optimized to simplify verification and GC

Published Specification

- Squawk Specification -- Draft 2.1
  - Defines Architecture
  - Defines Suite file format
  - Defines Bytecode set
  - Defines Bytecode transformations for verification and GC
- Specification has two forms
  - A standard form in which all Java programs can be represented
  - A minimal form for small programs that limits quantities like the number of fields and methods in a class
- See http://sunlabs.eng/projects/squawk

Implementation Status

- A complete prototype implementation has been written
- Developed and tested in Java
- Translated to C and compiled on Solaris/x86/Mac

Conformance Tests

- Product-level testing is complete
  - All 4628 CLDC 1.0 TCK compatibility tests have been run
  - 4537 pass (98.05%)
  - 37 fail because VM constraints are exceeded (such as a class with more than 256 static fields)
  - 43 fail in the translator due to its current inability to handle esoteric or border case constructs
  - 11 fail due to the absence of complete runtime access control (the verifier currently ignores *private*, *protected*, and *public* access modifiers)

Results

- Results
  - Suites are between 35% and 45% size of J2ME class files
  - Less than 4K of RAM is needed to install small suites
  - The footprint of the core interpreter is about 20K (x86)
  - Execution speed is between 85% and 107% of KVM.

(These are all very early results)

Next Generation Java Card

- Collaboration with Java Card group
  - Artifact
  - Standards work
- Java Card Forum
  - Three contenders: JEFF, standard CLDC, Squawk
  - Choice will not be technical
- Ongoing work to adapt Squawk to the Java Card platform

Technical Issues

Application architecture

Suite construction



- Java source is converted into class files
- Class files are converted into a suite
- Suites are installed onto the Java card

Bytecode quickening



Translation

Source example

A method from java.lang.Object

```
public boolean equals(Object obj) {
   return (this == obj);
}
```

javac output

```
Method boolean equals(java.lang.Object)
   0 aload_0
   1 aload_1
   2 if_acmpne 9
   5 iconst_1
   6 goto 10
   9 iconst_0
  10 ireturn
```

After translation (XML output)

```
<method_body>
  <type>1</type>
  <entry>7</entry>
  <locals>
    <type>1</type>
    <type>1</type>
  </locals>
  <stack>2</stack>
  <code>
    <load_0/>
    <load_1/>
    <if_icmpne/><byte>2</byte>
    <const_1/>
    <return/>
    <const_0/>
    <return/>
  </code>
</method_body>
```

Bytecode manipulation

- The Java stack must be empty at basic block boundaries.



This is an issue that simplifies verification.

Bytecode manipulation

- The stack must only contain the operands for certain operations such as invoke, getstatic etc.



Bytecode manipulation

- **Local variables can only be used for one data type.**

Normal Java



Squawk



This is an issue that simplifies verification and GC.

Cost are minimal:

~5% increase in local variables.

~3% increase in code size.

Loading

Suites

- A suite is a complete collection of classes consisting of proxy classes, suite classes and method code.



Proxy Classes

- Proxy classes represent classes that the suite will bind to at load time. They contain minimal symbolic information.



Suite Classes

- Suite classes contain the full information for a new class being loaded.



Method code

- The method code is the collection of bytecodes that define the methods of the suite classes.



Bytecode compression

- The Squawk bytecodes are smaller then regular Java bytecodes.

Opcode	Java	Squawk
goto	3 bytes	2 bytes
getfield	3 bytes	2 bytes
load_n	3 bytes	2 bytes
invokevirtual	3 bytes	2 bytes

Loading process



1 - An input stream is opened

Loading process



2 – The Proxy class definitions are read into RAM

Loading process



3 – The Suite class definitions are read into RAM

Loading process



4 – The bytecodes are checked, converted, linked and written into EEPROM

Loading process



5 – A final check is made to see that the branch targets are all valid.

Loading process



6 – The vtables for the methods are created

Loading process



7 – The new class data structures are created

Loading process



8 – The temporary data structures are deleted

Loading process



Everything is now fully resolved, read-only, and ready for execution.

Memory model

Memory model



- Squawk uses three object memories.
- All Squawk data structures are Java objects.
- Different garbage collectors are used for the RAM and EEPROM.

Class references

- Objects directly refer to the immutable information of their class. This can therefore be kept in ROM.
- The mutable class state is held separately in an associatively mapped location.



Activation records, Stack chunks, and Threads



Garbage Collection

GC Features

- All the collectors are exact.
- The translator makes exact garbage collection much easier
  - Local variables are either pointers or non-pointers
  - Sections of evaluation stack are not found between activation records.
- RAM Collector
  - Cheney collector
  - Two generational “Lisp 2” mark/sweep/compact collector
- EEPROM Collector
  - Non compacting mark/sweep.
    - Minimizes EEPROM writes (which are both slow & finite)
- Support for
  - Finalization
  - Object migration

Copying to EEPROM

```
Foo foo2 = (Foo)PersistentMemory.makePersistentCopy(foo1);
```

RAM

EEPROM



Copying to EEPROM

```
Foo foo2 = (Foo)PersistentMemory.makePersistentCopy(foo1);
```



Migrating to EEPROM

PersistentMemory.makePersistent(foo1);

RAM

EEPROM



Migrating to EEPROM

PersistentMemory.makePersistent(foo1);



Interpreter core engineering

Java to C conversion

All of the Squawk project is written in Java. The core interpreter is converted into C.


```
$ls -l *.java

     17519 Dec 20 13:28 Interpreter.java
    114021 Dec 18 17:30 Interpret.java
     14871 Dec 18 17:30 Memory.java
     99364 Dec 20 10:59 ObjectMemory.java
     47358 Dec 23 14:12 PlatformAbstraction.java
```

```
$ls -l *.c

     16972 Dec 23 14:39 squawk.c
    113779 Dec 23 14:39 interp.c
     14341 Dec 23 14:39 memory.c
     93520 Dec 23 14:39 object.c
     46381 Dec 23 14:39 platform.c
```

Example code

```
case OPC_IADD:  { int r = pop() ; int l = pop() ; push(l + r); continue; }
case OPC_ISUB:  { int r = pop() ; int l = pop() ; push(l - r); continue; }
case OPC_IAND:  { int r = pop() ; int l = pop() ; push(l & r); continue; }
case OPC_IOR:   { int r = pop() ; int l = pop() ; push(l | r); continue; }
case OPC_IXOR:  { int r = pop() ; int l = pop() ; push(l ^ r); continue; }
etc…
```

Most of the interpreter is written in a subset or Java and C.

Language differences

Original Java

```
    void copyBytes(int src, int dst, int num) {
        if (num < 0) {
            fatalVMError("Negative range");
        }
/*IFJ*/ System.arraycopy(memory, src, memory, dst, num);
//IFC// memmove(memory+dst, memory+src, num);
    }
```

Derived C

```
    void copyBytes(int src, int dst, int num) {
        if (num < 0) {
            fatalVMError("Negative range");
        }
/**** Line deleted by Squawk builder ****/
        memmove(memory+dst, memory+src, num);
    }
```

Language differences are solved using a special form of conditional compilation

Feature elimination

```
/*if[FLOATS]*/

    public final void writeFloat(float v) throws IOException {
        writeInt(Float.floatToIntBits(v));
    }

/*end[FLOATS]*/
```

Features can be excluded from the interpreter core and the Java runtime libraries.

Native Methods

Native methods

- Squawk supports a native method interface that can cause a Java thread to be suspended while it is waiting for an asynchronous event to take place.



Native methods

- However thread scheduling is never done in native code.
- Native methods are called using the following sequence:

1. Execute native code
2. Wait for event
3. Get native result

```
public int read(byte b[], int off, int len) throws IOException {
    for (;;) {
        int result = execute(channel, b, off, len);
        if (result == 0) {
            return result(channel);
        }
        if (result < 0) {
            switch (result) {
                case EXNO_NoConnection: throw new ConnectionNotFoundException();
                case EXNO_IOException:  throw new IOException();
                default:                fatalVMError();
            }
        } else {
            Thread.waitForEvent(result);
        }
    }
}
```

Native methods

- This interface has the following advantages:
  - The system is fully asynchronous allowing Java threads to execute while other threads are waiting for I/O.
  - Pointers into the object memory are never retained in native code because requests that cannot be satisfied immediately are always repeated.
  - This means that when the garbage collector runs there is never an object pointer in native code that needs updating.
  - Thread scheduling is all done in Java code.

The Demo

Files

- squawk.jar contains the java version and the I/O library.
- squawk.exe is the C version.
- squawk.image is an image of the ROM, EEPROM, and RAM.
- squawk.txt is an description of how to use the demo.

```
  36864 Jan  4 13:44 squawk.exe
4784160 Jan  4 13:37 squawk.image
 204775 Jan  4 13:44 squawk.jar
   3028 Dec 31 17:15 squawk.txt
```

I/O

- The version of the demo in C uses the same Java code for I/O.



Java version



C version